

ON THE DEPENDENCE OF KOSZUL HOMOLOGY FROM THE GENERATORS OF AN IDEAL

José M. Giral Silió

Facultad de Matemáticas. Universidad de Barcelona  
Gran Vía, 585. Barcelona 08007 (Spain)

A.M.S. clas. 13D25, 13C10

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with 1 and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a sequence of elements in  $A$ . We have the associated (homological) Koszul complex  $K(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , whose homology groups we will denote  $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  or  $H_i(\underline{x})$ .

The aim of this note is to state some results around the following question:

If the ideal  $I$  of  $A$  has generator sets  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ , how are related  $H_i(\underline{x})$  and  $H_i(\underline{y})$ ? In other words: can we obtain invariants of  $I$  through Koszul homology? There is a known easy answer when  $A$  is a local ring, because two minimal generator sets of  $I$  have the same cardinality  $n=m$  and we pass from  $\underline{x}$  to  $\underline{y}$  by means of an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, obtaining by functoriality isomorphic Koszul complexes and homology.

For general  $A$  and  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  the "extreme" cases  $i=0, n$  are clear, since  $H_0(\underline{x})=A/I$  and  $H_n(\underline{x})=\text{Ann}_A(I)$ . Then the first interesting case is  $H_1(\underline{x})$  with  $n \geq 2$ . To our knowledge, the only existent result is due to Simis:

Proposition 1 ([2]). Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  an ideal of  $A$ . Then there is an isomorphism of  $A/I$ -modules

$$H_1(\underline{x}) \otimes (A/I)^m \approx H_1(\underline{y}) \otimes (A/I)^n$$

For the others  $H_i(\underline{x})$  we have proved

Proposition 2. Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  an ideal of  $A$ . For each  $i \geq 0$  there is an isomorphism of  $A/I$ -modules

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^m H_{i-j}(\underline{x}) \binom{m}{j} \approx \bigoplus_{k=0}^n H_{i-k}(\underline{y}) \binom{n}{k}$$

The cancellation problem posed by the above result can be solved in so

me cases. The most general we have reached is

Proposition 3. Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  an ideal of  $A$  such that  $A/I$  is semilocal noetherian. Then for every  $i$

$$H_1(\underline{x}) \approx H_1(\underline{y})$$

More particular results are in fact consequences of proposition 1.

Corollary 1 ([4]). Let  $A$  be commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  an ideal of  $A$  such that  $H_1(\underline{x})=0$ . Then  $H_1(\underline{y})=0$ .

Corollary 2. Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$  an ideal of  $A$  such that  $H_1(\underline{x})=0$ . Then  $H_1(\underline{y})=A/I$ .

Let  $\text{Max } A$  be the maximal spectrum of  $A$ . The strong cancellation theorems from [1], [3] give us

Corollary 3. Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  an ideal of  $A$ . if  $n > \dim \text{Max } (A/I)$ , then

$$H_1(\underline{x}) \approx H_1(\underline{y})$$

and the same is true if  $n \geq \dim(A/I)$  when  $A$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

Simis ([2]) suggest the possibility that the freeness of the  $A/I$  module  $H_1(\underline{x})$  would be independent of the choice of generators for  $I$ . Our following example shows that this is not so.

Example 1. Let  $B=R[X,Y,Z]/(X^2+Y^2+Z^2-1)$  the coordinate ring of the real sphere,  $A=B[T]$ ,  $I$  the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $T$ . Denote by  $x,y,z$  the images of  $X,Y,Z$  in  $B$ . We have  $I=(T)=(xT,yT,zT)$ ,  $H_1(T)=0$  and

$$H_1(xT,yT,zT) \otimes A/I \approx (A/I)^3$$

but  $H_1(xT,yT,zT)$  is a rang 2 projective non free  $B$ -module.

This example is minimal in some sense. Corollary 3 excludes a similar example over an algebraically closed field and corollary 2 one with only two generators. On the other hand, Bass cancellation theorem [1] excludes the use of more than three generators.

Example 1 answers in the negative the question of cancellation in proposition 1 with  $n=m$ , simply repeating twice  $T$  as a superflous generator. The following one uses only minimal sets of generators for  $I$ .

Example 2. With the same notations as in example 1, we have  $I=(xT,yT,zT)=(xT,yT,(y+x+1)T)$  and

$$H_1(xT,yT,zT) \neq H_1(xT,yT,(y+x+1)T)$$

We close this note characterizing ideals which can be generated by A-sequences through Koszul homology.

Proposition 4. Let A be a commutative noetherian ring,  $I=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  an ideal of A. I can be generated by some A-sequence if and only if  $H_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a stably free A/I-module with rang  $n-\mu(I)$  (with  $\mu(I)$ =least number of generators of I).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. BASS            K-theory and stable algebra, Publ. Math I.H.E.S. 22 (1964), 5-60.
- [2] A. SIMIS            Koszul homology and its syzygy-theoretic part, J. Algebra 55 (1978), 28-42.
- [3] A. A. SUSLIN        A cancellation theorem for projective modules over algebras, Soviet Math. Dokl. 18 (1977) 1281-1284.
- [4] G. VALLA            Remarks on generalized analytic independence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 85 (1979), 281-289.