

## Derivations of crossed modules

A.M. VIEITES RODRÍGUEZ AND J.M. CASAS MIRÁS

*Dpto. de Matemática Aplicada, E.T.S.I. Industriales, Univ. de Vigo, 36200-Vigo, Spain*  
*Dpto. de Matemática Aplicada, E.U.I.T. Forestal, Univ. de Vigo, 36005-Pontevedra, Spain*  
*e-mail: avieites@dma.uvigo.es, jmcasas@uvigo.es*

(Research announcement presented by A.M. Cegarra)

AMS *Subject Class.* (1991): 18A20, 18G99

*Received April 13, 1998*

Let us start by considering  $(T, G, \partial)$  a crossed module. We denote by  $\text{Der}(G, T)$  the set of all derivations from  $G$  to  $T$ . Each derivation  $d$  defines endomorphisms  $\vartheta_d$  and  $\Theta_d$  of  $G$  and  $T$  respectively, given by

$$\vartheta_d(g) = \partial(d(g))g, \quad \Theta_d(t) = d(\partial(t))t, \quad g \in G, \quad t \in T.$$

If  $d_1, d_2 \in \text{Der}(G, T)$  then the formula  $d_1 \cdot d_2 = d$  with

$$d(g) = d_1(\vartheta_{d_2}(g))d_2(g) = \theta_{d_1}(d_2(g))d_1(g)$$

defines on  $\text{Der}(G, T)$  a monoid structure. The *group of Whitehead*  $D(G, T)$  is defined as the group of units of  $\text{Der}(G, T)$ , [5].

If  $(M, P, \mu)$  is also a crossed module, an *action* of  $(M, P, \mu)$  on  $(T, G, \partial)$  is a morphism of crossed modules  $(\alpha, \beta)$  from  $(M, P, \mu)$  to  $\text{Act}(T, G, \partial)$ , [5].

Let  $(f_1, f_2) : (T, G, \partial) \rightarrow (T', G', \partial')$  be a morphism of crossed modules. The crossed module  $\text{Ker}(f_1, f_2) = (\text{Ker } f_1, \text{Ker } f_2, \partial|_{\text{Ker } f_1})$  is the *kernel* and the crossed module  $\text{Im}(f_1, f_2) = (f_1(T), f_2(G), \partial'_{|f_1(T)})$  is the *image* of  $(f_1, f_2)$ . If, moreover, there are actions,  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\alpha', \beta')$ , of  $(M, P, \mu)$  on  $(T, G, \partial)$  and  $(T', G', \partial')$  respectively, then we say that  $(f_1, f_2) : ((T, G, \partial), (\alpha, \beta)) \rightarrow ((T', G', \partial'), (\alpha', \beta'))$  preserves the action of  $(M, P, \mu)$  if it satisfies:

- (a)  $f_1({}^p t) = {}^p f_1(t)$ ,
- (b)  $f_2({}^p g) = {}^p f_2(g)$ ,
- (c)  $f_1(\alpha(m)(g)) = \alpha'(m)(f_2(g))$ ,

for all  $p \in P$ ,  $t \in T$  and  $m \in M$ . The set of these morphisms will be denoted by  $\text{Hom}_{(M, P, \mu)}((T, G, \partial), (T', G', \partial'))$ .

A sequence of morphisms of crossed modules of the form

$$(T', G', \partial') \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} (T, G, \partial) \xrightarrow{(f'_1, f'_2)} (T'', G'', \partial'')$$

is exact if  $\text{Im}(f_1, f_2) = \text{Ker}(f'_1, f'_2)$ , [5], [4].

DEFINITION 1. A derivation from  $(M, P, \mu)$  to  $((T, G, \partial), (\alpha, \beta))$  is a pair of groups derivations  $(d_1, d_2)$  that make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & P \\ d_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow d_2 \\ T & \xrightarrow{\partial} & G \end{array}$$

commutative and  $d_1({}^p m) = d_2({}^p)({}^p d_1(m))(\alpha({}^p m)(d_2({}^p)))^{-1}$ , for all  $p \in P$ ,  $m \in M$ .

Let  $\text{Der}((M, P, \mu), (T, G, \partial), (\alpha, \beta))$  be the set of all derivations from  $(M, P, \mu)$  to  $(T, G, \partial)$ . This set may be given an obvious abelian group structure when  $(T, G, \partial)$  is an  $(M, P, \mu)$ -module, that is if  $(T, G, \partial)$  is abelian ( $Z(T, G, \partial) = (T, G, \partial)$ , [5]) and  $(M, P, \mu)$  acts over it.

EXAMPLE. If  $A$  is a  $G$ -module then there exists actions of  $(1, G, i)$  on  $(0, A, i)$  and of  $(G, G, id)$  on  $(A, A, id)$ . In this case the groups  $\text{Der}((1, G, i), (0, A, i))$  and  $\text{Der}((G, G, id), (A, A, id))$  are  $\text{Der}(G, A)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let us to consider  $(f_1, f_2) : (M', P', \mu') \rightarrow (M, P, \mu)$  a morphism,  $(d_1, d_2) : (M, P, \mu) \rightarrow (T, G, \partial)$  a derivation and  $(g_1, g_2) : (T, G, \partial) \rightarrow (T', G', \partial')$  a morphism that preserves the action of  $(M, P, \mu)$ , then

$$(d_1, d_2)(f_1, f_2) \in \text{Der}((M', P', \mu'), (T, G, \partial), (\alpha, \beta)(f_1, f_2))$$

$$(g_1, g_2)(d_1, d_2) \in \text{Der}((M, P, \mu), (T', G', \partial'), (\alpha', \beta')).$$

We now remember Norrie's definition of the *semidirect-product* [5]: let  $(\alpha, \beta) : (M, P, \mu) \rightarrow \text{Act}(T, G, \partial)$  be an action of  $(M, P, \mu)$  on  $(T, G, \partial)$ . We can consider the semi-direct products  $T \rtimes M$  and  $G \rtimes P$  and there exists an action of  $G \rtimes P$  on  $T \rtimes M$  defined as follows:

$$({}^{g,p})(t, m) = \left( g({}^p t)(\alpha({}^p m)(g))^{-1}, {}^p m \right),$$

for  $(g, p) \in G \rtimes P$  and  $(t, m) \in T \rtimes M$ . Then  $(T, G, \partial) \rtimes_{(\alpha, \beta)} (M, P, \mu) = (T \rtimes M, G \rtimes P, \partial \times \mu)$ . We can prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose given two crossed modules  $(M, P, \mu)$  and  $(T, G, \partial)$  and an action  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $(M, P, \mu)$  over  $(T, G, \partial)$ . To every morphism  $(f_1, f_2) : (N, R, \nu) \rightarrow (M, P, \mu)$  and to every derivation  $(d_1, d_2) \in \text{Der}((N, R, \nu), (T, G, \partial), (\alpha, \beta)(f_1, f_2))$ , there exists a unique morphism of crossed modules  $(h_1, h_2) : (N, R, \nu) \rightarrow (T, G, \partial) \rtimes_{(\alpha, \beta)} (M, P, \mu)$  such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (N, R, \nu) & & \\
 & \swarrow (d_1, d_2) & \downarrow (h_1, h_2) & \searrow (f_1, f_2) & \\
 (T, G, \partial) & \xrightarrow{(i_1, i_2)} & (T, G, \partial) \rtimes_{(\alpha, \beta)} (M, P, \mu) & \xrightarrow{(p_1, p_2)} & (M, P, \mu) \\
 & \xleftarrow{(q_1, q_2)} & & & 
 \end{array}$$

Conversely, every morphism  $(h_1, h_2) : (N, R, \nu) \rightarrow (T, G, \partial) \rtimes_{(\alpha, \beta)} (M, P, \mu)$  determines a morphism  $(f_1, f_2) = (p_1, p_2)(h_1, h_2) : (N, R, \nu) \rightarrow (M, P, \mu)$  and a derivation  $(d_1, d_2) = (q_1, q_2)(h_1, h_2) : (N, R, \nu) \rightarrow (T, G, \partial)$ .

By taking  $(N, R, \nu) = (M, P, \mu)$  and  $(f_1, f_2) = id_{(M, P, \mu)}$  we obtain

**COROLLARY 1.** *The set of derivations from  $(M, P, \mu)$  to  $(T, G, \partial)$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of morphisms of crossed modules  $(h_1, h_2) : (M, P, \mu) \rightarrow (T, G, \partial) \rtimes_{(\alpha, \beta)} (M, P, \mu)$  for which  $(p_1, p_2)(h_1, h_2) = id_{(M, P, \mu)}$ .*

As an application we shall prove the following result

**THEOREM 2.** *Let*

$$(N, R, \nu) \xrightarrow{(\chi_1, \chi_2)} (T, G, \partial) \xrightarrow{(\pi_1, \pi_2)} (M, P, \mu)$$

be an exact sequence of crossed modules and let  $(A, H, \sigma)$  be an  $(M, P, \mu)$ -module,  $(\alpha, \beta) : (M, P, \mu) \rightarrow \text{Act}(A, H, \sigma)$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 \rightarrow \text{Der}((M, P, \mu), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2)} & \\
 & & \text{Der}((T, G, \partial), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta)(\pi_1, \pi_2)) \xrightarrow{\rho} \\
 & & \text{Hom}_{(M, P, \mu)}((N, R, \nu)_{ab}, (A, H, \sigma))
 \end{array}$$

is a natural exact sequence of abelian groups.

*Proof.* For  $(d_1, d_2) \in \text{Der}((M, P, \mu), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta))$  we define

$$(\text{Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2))(d_1, d_2) := (d_1, d_2)(\pi_1, \pi_2).$$

It is easy to see that  $\text{Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is injective.

Let  $(d_1, d_2)$  be in  $\text{Der}((T, G, \partial), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta)(\pi_1, \pi_2))$ , then the composition  $(d_1, d_2)(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}((N, R, \nu), (A, H, \sigma))$ . So we can construct the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [(N, R, \nu), (N, R, \nu)] & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{(0,0)} & \\ (N, R, \nu) & \xrightarrow{(d_1, d_2)(\chi_1, \chi_2)} & (A, H, \sigma) \\ \downarrow^{(p_1, p_2)} & \swarrow_{(\phi_1, \phi_2)} & \\ (N, R, \nu)_{ab} & & \end{array}$$

where  $[(N, R, \nu), (N, R, \nu)] = (\text{D}_R(N), [R, R], \nu|_{\text{D}_R(N)})$ ,  $(N, R, \nu)_{ab} = (N/\text{D}_R(N), R/[R, R], \bar{\nu})$ , [5] and  $(\phi_1, \phi_2) : (N, R, \nu)_{ab} \rightarrow (A, H, \sigma)$  is the unique morphism of crossed modules which satisfies

$$(\phi_1, \phi_2)(p_1, p_2) = (d_1, d_2)(\chi_1, \chi_2).$$

We define  $\rho(d_1, d_2) = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  being the action of  $(M, P, \mu)$  over  $(N, R, \nu)_{ab}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(m)(r[R, R]) &= t^r t^{-1} \text{D}_R(N) & \text{if } \pi_1(t) = m, \\ \bar{\theta}_1(p)(n \text{D}_R(N)) &= {}^g n \text{D}_R(N) & \text{if } \pi_2(g) = p, \\ \bar{\theta}_2(p)(r[R, R]) &= grg^{-1}[R, R] & \text{if } \pi_2(g) = p. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously  $\text{Im Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2) \subseteq \text{Ker } \rho$  since to a given derivation  $(d_1, d_2) \in \text{Der}((M, P, \mu), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta))$  we have

$$(\rho \text{Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2))(d_1, d_2) = \rho((d_1, d_2)(\pi_1, \pi_2)) = (0, 0).$$

Conversely, if  $(d_1, d_2) \in \text{Der}((T, G, \partial), (A, H, \sigma), (\alpha, \beta)(\pi_1, \pi_2))$  satisfies  $\rho(d_1, d_2) = (0, 0)$ , (we mean,  $(d_1, d_2)$  is the trivial derivation restricted to  $(N, R, \nu)$ ) then the pair  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(m) &= d_1(t) & \text{if } t \in T, m = \pi_1(t), \\ \gamma_2(p) &= d_2(g) & \text{if } g \in G, p = \pi_2(g), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \in M, p \in P$ , is a derivation from  $(M, P, \mu)$  to  $(A, H, \sigma)$  that satisfies  $(\text{Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2))(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(\pi_1, \pi_2) = (d_1, d_2)$ . Hence  $\text{Ker } \rho \subseteq \text{Im Der}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ . ■

This exact and natural sequence is easily obtained by keeping in mind the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Der}(-, (A, H, \sigma)) & \\
 \mathcal{CM}/(M, P, \mu) & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hspace{1.5cm}} \\ \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\hspace{1.5cm}} \end{array} & \mathcal{A}b \\
 & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CM}/(M, P, \mu)} \left( -, \begin{array}{c} (A, H, \sigma) \times (M, P, \mu) \\ \downarrow \\ (M, P, \mu) \end{array} \right) & 
 \end{array}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BEYL, F.R., J. TAPPE, “Group Extensions, Representations and the Schur Multiplier”, Lecture Notes in Math. 958, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [2] GILBERT, N.D., Derivations, automorphisms and crossed modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **18** (8) (1990), 2703–2734.
- [3] HILTON, P.J., STAMMBACH, U., “A Course in Homological Algebra”, Graduate Texts in Math. 4, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1971.
- [4] LADRA, M., GRANDJEÁN, A.R., Crossed modules and homology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **95** (1994), 41–55.
- [5] NORRIE, K.J., “Crossed Modules and Analogues of Groups Theorems”, Ph. D. Thesis, University of London, London, 1987.
- [6] NORRIE, K.J., Actions and automorphisms of crossed modules, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **118** (1990), 129–146.