



International Journal of Scientometrics,
Informetrics and Bibliometrics
ISSN 1137-5019

> [Homepage](#) > [The Journal](#) > [Issues Contents](#) > [Vol. 4](#)
(2000) > [Correspondence](#)

December 2, 2003

The Journal	
Cybermetrics News	
Editorial Board	
Guide for Authors	
Issues Contents	➤
The Seminars	
The Source	
Scientometrics	➤
Tools	➤
R&D Policy & Resources	➤
World Situation Report	➤

VOLUME 4 (2000): ISSUE 1. CORRESPONDENCE

Rejoinder



Brendan Rousseau and Ronald Rousseau

The Oakies, Blauwvoetstraat 10.

B-8400 Oostende, Belgium

oak@pandora.be

We welcome the thoughtful comments of Mark Newman and Eric Archambault providing alternative approaches to the difficult problem a fitting a power law. They, moreover, offer interesting suggestions for further research.

We would like to make one comment on Archambault's correspondence. It has been shown (e.g. by Bookstein (1990), Egghe (1990) and R. Rousseau (1988,1990)) that the so-called bibliometric or informetric laws are equivalent, under some reasonable mathematical conditions. These imply that, usually, Lotka's law is not (strictly) equivalent to Zipf's, but to the Mandelbrot version of the rank-frequency form. This comes down to shifting ranks by one unit. Yet, in the economical as well as the physical literature, Zipf's law and Zipf plots are often used in a 'power law' context (Redner, 1998; Urzúa, 2000). So, we wonder why bibliometricians should be stricter than others. Anyway, the bibliometric community seems to be split up in 'believers' (in these equivalences) and 'non-believers'. According to his correspondence Archambault is an extreme non-believer, as he even claims that Lotka's law only fits Lotka's data. We leave this statement to him and just say that we do not agree at all.

This brings us to Sylvan Katz' comment and the clear conflict between his expectations and these of the authors, the reviewers and the editor. Katz requires that software documentation includes all information concerning theory, methods, limitations and user interface. In particular, his interpretation of 'including' means 'to be immediately at hand when using the program'. We are sure that he knows software where this is the case, but we are equally convinced that this is not the case for most software. We would just like to point that our Cybermetrics publication contains all the information Katz would like to see: an explanation of how to use the program, with a worked-out example and references to the (mainstream!) literature where the mathematics can be checked. The fact that it is a maximum likelihood approach and the main references are repeated under the info button (for those who did not take the time to carefully read the article).

Thanks to the work of Pao (1985), Nicholls (1987) and Rousseau (1993), finding maximum likelihood estimators for Lotka's law has been reduced to a simple table look-up. Our program is just meant as a service to the informetric community so that even this simple step is not necessary anymore.

Finally, we are at a loss why Katz acts as if he does not know the meaning of the word 'distribution' (in a statistical context). A discrete statistical distribution is characterized by the fact that all probabilities add to one. For Lotka's law (defined on an infinite interval, hence without specified maximum

production) this implies that it contains only one free parameter.

References

Bookstein, A. (1990). Informetric distributions, Part I: Unified overview. **Journal of the American Society for Information Science**, 42:368-375.

Egghe, L. (1990). The duality of informetric systems with applications to the empirical laws. **Journal of Information Science**, 16:17-27.

Nicholls, P.T. (1987). Estimation of Zipf parameters. **Journal of the American Society of Information Science**, 38:443-445.

Pao, M.L. (1985). Lotka's law: a testing procedure. **Information Processing and Management**, 21:305-320.

Redner, S. (1998). How popular is your paper? An empirical study of the citation distribution. **The European Physical Journal B**, 4:131-135.

Rousseau, R. (1988). Lotka's law and its Leimkuhler representation. **Library Science with a Slant to Documentation Studies**, 25:150-178.

Rousseau, R. (1990). Relations between continuous versions of bibliometric laws. **Journal of the American Society for Information Science**, 41:197-203.

Rousseau, R. (1993). A table for estimating the exponent in Lotka's law. **Journal of Documentation**, 49:409-412.

Urzúa, C. M. (2000). A simple and efficient test for Zipf's law. **Economics Letters**, 66: 257-260.

Received 25/February/2001

MAIN PAPER	CORRESPONDENCE
<p><u>LOTKA: A program to fit a power law distribution to observed frequency data</u> Brendan Rousseau, Ronald Rousseau</p>	<p><u>Comments to the article by Rousseau & Rousseau</u> Eric Archambault</p>
	<p><u>Comments to the article by Rousseau & Rousseau</u> Mark Newman</p>
	<p><u>Software and Peer-Review: The Rousseau Case</u> J. Sylvan Katz</p>
	<p><u>Rejoinder</u> Brendan Rousseau, Ronald Rousseau</p>