# A review on self-thinning in mussels

Una revisión del auto-raleo en mitílidos

### Ricardo Guiñez

Instituto de Investigaciones Oceanológicas, Facultad de Recursos del Mar, Universidad de Antofagasta
Casilla 170, Antofagasta, Chile
Fellow "Center for Advanced Studies in Ecology & Biodiversity"

Casilla 114-D, Santiago, Chile
rguinez@uantof.cl

Abstract.- Marine bivalves of the family Mytilidae have achieved an impressive ability to dominate rocky shores. Mussel populations usually form highly dense, overcrowded and multilayered matrices or beds, where intraspecific competition is intense and so, self-thinning processes are expected to occur. In this work, I review the literature on self-thinning in mussels with special reference to the development of new models. I suggest that more experimental and theoretical work is needed to properly understand space- and food-driven self-thinning in overcrowded and multilayered mollusks. I conclude that the development of new kinds of 3D models that include explicitly the crowding or layering effects on self-thinning would open new theoretical and experimental avenues to explore the relationship between density and size, not only in mussels but also in gregarious animals in general.

Key words: Littoral, intraspecific competition, self-thinning, space driven, food driven, bivalves

**Resumen.-** Los bivalvos marinos de la familia Mytilidae, más conocidos como choritos, se destacan por su abundancia y capacidad de ser especies dominantes en las costas rocosas de todo el mundo. En general, las especies de choritos tienden a formar matrices (o mantos) altamente densas v multiestratificadas, en cuyo interior ocurre una intensa competencia intraespecífica, siendo esperable la existencia de procesos de auto-raleo. En este trabajo, reviso la literatura de auto-raleo en mitílidos, con especial referencia al desarrollo de nuevos modelos. Es evidente la necesidad de realizar más trabajo experimental y teórico para comprender apropiadamente el rol de la competencia por espacio o por explotación sobre la dinámica del auto-raleo en especies gregarias. Se concluye que el desarrollo de nuevos modelos tridimensionales, que incluyen explícitamente los efectos del modo en que se ocupa el espacio, está permitiendo abrir nuevas avenidas teóricas y experimentales para explorar la relación entre densidad, tamaño corporal y biomasa no sólo en choritos, sino que también en animales gregarios en general.

Palabras clave: Litoral, competencia intraspecífica, auto-raleo, competencia por espacio, competencia por explotación, bivalvos

## Introduction

Despite recurrent criticism of its empirical and conceptual foundations, the self-thinning rule is one of the few long-lived quantitative propositions in ecology (Torres *et al.* 2001). This success lies not so much in its accurate description of self-thinning as in its capacity to attract the attention of ecologists to the relationship between size and number of individuals (Zeide 1991). The process of self-thinning (ST) occurs when individuals grow at high population density, resulting in a negative relationship between individuals per area (*N*) and average individual mass (*m*) or biomass per area (*B*) (Westoby 1984). Self-thinning has been observed in crowded animal and plant populations as a result of

intraspecific competition, and plays an important role in determining population dynamics and community structure (Westoby 1984, Puntieri 1993, Fréchette & Lefaivre 1995, Marquet *et al.* 1995, Petraitis 1995, Fréchette *et al.* 1996, Guiñez & Castilla 1999, 2001, Guiñez *et al.* 2005). The aim in this paper is to review the evidence of self-thinning process as applied to mussels with special reference to the development of new self-thinning models proposed.

### Mussels and crowding

Mollusks represent the second most diverse group of animals, and marine bivalves are the second most abundant mollusks after gastropods, from which

mussels (family Mytilidae) have achieved an impressive ability to dominate rocky shores, especially littoral, on all continents (Suchanek 1986). Their world-wide success as dominant space occupiers is most pronounced at exposed or semi-exposed sites in temperate habitats, especially on horizontal or gently sloping rocky substrata. Mussel species usually form highly dense and structurally complex matrices, which sometimes are overcrowded and form multilayered beds (Suchanek 1986, Alvarado & Castilla 1996, Guiñez 1996, Guiñez & Castilla 1999). For example, Aulacomya ater (Molina, 1872), Mytilus galloprovincialis (Lamarck), Mytilus californianus (Conrad, 1837) and *Perumytilus purpuratus* (Lamarck, 1819) form dense, multilayered beds, whereas Choromytilus meridionalis (Lamarck) is usually monolayered (Hosomi 1985, Suchanek 1986, Van Erkom Schurink & Griffiths 1993, Alvarado & Castilla 1996, Guiñez 1996). Also, it has been observed that multilayering varies among different sites populations, as in the case of P. purpuratus and M. californianus (Alvarado & Castilla 1996, Connolly & Roughgarden 1998, Guiñez & Castilla 1999). Suchanek (1986) reported that the beds of M. californianus were often five or six layers deep; Hosomi (1985) estimated the occurrence of up to four layers in M. galloprovincialis; and in P. purpuratus beds Alvarado & Castilla (1996) reported up to three layers, and Guiñez et al. (2005) have estimated up to five layers in beds located in northern Chile. This mussel overcrowding leads to intense intraspecific competition (Seed 1968, Harger & Ladenberger 1971, Bertness & Grosholz 1985, Okamura 1986, Ardisson & Bourget 1991, Alvarado & Castilla 1996, Guiñez 1996, Guiñez & Castilla 1999), which has been identified as a major source of mortality (Stiven & Kuenzler 1979, Griffiths & Hockey 1987, McGrorty et al. 1990, Richardson & Seed 1990, Stillman et al. 2000). Consequently, the existence of ST processes in mussels is highly expected (Hosomi 1985, Hughes & Griffiths 1988, Fréchette & Lefaivre 1990, Ardisson & Bourget 1991, Fréchette & Lefaivre 1995, Petraitis 1995, Guiñez & Castilla 1999, Guiñez et al. 2005).

# Traditional models of self-thinning and related patterns

It has been customary to describe ST as a single bidimensional self-thinning line, represented by the log transformed form of the power equation:  $B = k_2 N^{\beta_2}$ (Eq. 1) or alternatively  $m = k_2 N^{\gamma_2}$  (Eq. 2). Where, N is individuals per unit area, m is population mean mass, Bis total biomass per area and  $k_2$ ,  $k_2$  ( $k_2 = k_2$ -1) are constants known as the thinning coefficient and exponent, respectively. The subscript 2 recalls that this model corresponds to a bidimensional approach (2D) (Guiñez & Castilla 1999).

Theoretical and experimental evidence of ST has been abundantly reported for plants where the classical exponent  $\beta_2 = -1/2$  was suggested (Westoby 1984). The usual explanation for ST has involved competition for space (SST, space-regulated self-thinning) based on packing theory, which assumes isometric growth where shape does not change with increasing size of the growing individuals (Weller 1987). The assumptions in SST are: (a) that the population is monolayered, (b) their cover is 100% and (c) that space is a limiting factor, in such a way that N is inversely related to S, the mean individual surface projected to the substrate  $(N \propto S^{-1})$  (Westoby 1984). The exponent  $\beta$ may take other values for SST considering different criteria of similarity, such as changes in shape with size (i.e. allometric growth, Weller 1987), or elastic characteristics (Weller 1987, Norberg 1988, 1988). Nevertheless, the value  $\beta_2 = -1/2$  has been shown to hold for plants in both single and mixedspecies stands and over a size range spanning 23 orders of magnitude from unicellular algae to the tallest trees (see Enquist et al. 1998, Midgley 2001).

For mobile animals it has been proposed that the ST process would be best described as reflecting food limitation (FST, food-regulated self-thinning) (Begon et 1986). Under the hypothesis of energetic equivalence, which states that total energy flow through a population tends to be constant, the theory of FST suggests that  $\beta_2 = -1/3$  (see also Norberg 1988, Elliott 1993, Grant 1993, Bohlin et al. 1994, Latto 1994, Fréchette & Lefaivre 1995, Armstrong 1997, Dunham & Vinyard 1997, Dunham et al. 2000, Keeley 2003, but see Steingrimsson & Grant 1999). The same value is predicted for plants and animals, if the rate of resource supply per unit area is constant (at equilibrium) (Enquist et al. 1998, Belgrano et al. 2002, Brown et al. 2004). However, Rincón & Lobón-Cerviá (2002) have shown evidence that the relationship between per capita resource availability and body size may be dependent on local conditions and therefore not predictable solely from scaling arguments, thus leading to a nonlinear selfthinning relationship.

# Self-thinning in mussels and new models

By analogy with plants, it is expected that spaceregulated self-thinning models should also apply to sessile animals such as mussels and barnacles (Hughes & Griffiths 1988). When ST models were applied to

(*C*. meridionalis, М. edulis and M. galloprovincialis), it was recognized that their multilayered packing would change the ST relationship (Hosomi 1985, Hughes & Griffiths 1988, Ardisson & Bourget 1991). This was formalized in a bidimensional space-driven self-thinning model that incorporated the allometric effect of growth  $(\alpha)$  and multilayering through their indirect effect ( $\varepsilon$ ) on the relationship between density and surface, where  $\beta_2 = 1 - [3(1-\alpha)/2(1-\varepsilon)]$ (Fréchette & Lefaivre 1990, 1995). These propositions were experimentally tested using the intertidal mussel P. purpuratus (Guiñez 1996); any one of the nine mussel beds monitored during 28-mo period (in central Chile) showed the expected ST patterns, even when the experimental conditions for their occurrence were met (Guiñez & Castilla 1999). This led to a critical revision of classical bidimensional (2D) model assumptions and it was evident that the assumption that area (available resource space) was a limiting factor was not true for P. purpuratus, therefore, it was not expected that in this mussel  $N \propto S^{-1}$ ; particularly for those populations forming multilayered matrices (Guiñez 1996, Guiñez & Castilla 1999). As a solution the authors developed a new kind of tridimensional models (3D), following Petraitis (1995) and Hosomi (1985), incorporating explicitly the effect of layering, which was represented by the equation:  $B = k_3 L^{1-\beta_3} N^{\beta_3}$  (Eq. 3), where  $k_3$  is a population-specific constant, L represents an index of crowding or number of layers, and  $\beta_2$  is the selfthinning coefficient (Guiñez & Castilla 1999, 2001, Guiñez et al. 2005). A key assumption is that in mussels it holds true that  $N = L \cdot S^{-1}$ . The 3D model adjusted to P. purpuratus field data was able to: i) explain more variance than the bidimensional models, ii) show that when 3D model is better suited, the expected  $\beta_2$  value is a biased estimate of the  $\beta_3$  value, iii) demonstrate that for mussels, the 2D models are a particular case of 3D models; that is to say when the number of layers is strictly one (100% cover), iv) suggest that mussels matrices of *P. purpuratus* could be near their biomass carrying capacity (Guiñez & Castilla 1999). We also have been able to develop successfully a 3D model for the tunicate Pyura praeputialis (Guiñez & Castilla 2001) that dominate rocky intertidal platforms in the Bay of Antofagasta, northern Chile (Castilla & Guiñez 2000, Castilla et al. 2002, Castilla et al. 2004). I think that this kind of effort has opened new perspectives in the theoretical analysis of ST patterns in marine invertebrates (Guiñez & Castilla 1999, 2001, Guiñez et al. 2005). In particular, we have proposed a new definition of density, which results from a combination of number of individuals and size, the effective density, which also can be defined as the expected density if the individuals would conform as a monolayered matrix. This may be a useful definition, permitting comparison

of ST among different populations or species with different crowding strategies (Guiñez & Castilla 1999, 2001, Guiñez *et al.* 2005).

Evidence using field data for FST has been obtained partially by Fréchette & Lefaivre (1990) in M. edulis. The authors found that seasonally those populations could alternatively be driven by food or by space regulation. Recently, Alunno-Bruscia et al. (2000) studied M. edulis under controlled conditions with food limitation that should have induced food-driven ST but found an unexpected  $\beta_2$  value greater than zero ( $\beta_2 = 0.352$ ), suggesting the lack of evidence of ST process. Hence, they proposed that further studies are still needed to reformulate and to readdress present modeling of FST (Alunno-Bruscia et al. 2000). So far, I have been unable to develop a convincing 3D model for FST, despite some progress (Guiñez 1996).

# **Perspectives**

Our efforts, jointly with a recent 3D model, developed for plants, where the third variable is productivity, not layering (Bi 2001), have for the first time attempted to define ST process as a self-thinning surface. Despite the amount of literature on self-thinning, principally in plants (Puntieri 1993), to date no attempts have been made to define the self-thinning rule as a surface (Bi 2001). I think that this would open new theoretical and experimental avenues to explore the relationship between layering, density and size with respect to space and food driven ST: Is layering or density mainly determined by space or food regulation? Another issue which has been seldom explored in animals is that of general intraspecific mass-density patterns of a given species based on 2D and 3D representation. In this regard, we have shown, on the basis of 253 samples in P. purpuratus from central and northern Chile, that the classical 2D approach is (as expected) biased by layering effects (Guiñez et al. 2005). However, the promise of the extensions of the 3D self-thinning models comes at the price of introducing one more quantity to be measured (crowding in the marine version, productivity in the plant version), thus complicating biological measurements. However, using the present classical 2D approaches with respect to mussels which are typically multi-layered (where 3D models are better suited) can lead to overestimation of the ST exponents and to an inability to detect real density-dependence patterns. I suspect that little work has been done or published in mussels because density dependence is much more frequent than hitherto indicated by bidimensional models. Finally, I think that the next great challenge with ST 3D models it is to

explicitly incorporate both space and food regulation in the modeling in the context of the metabolic theory of ecology developed recently by Brown *et al.* (2004).

### Acknowledgements

This corresponds to a review paper that I compromised when I was invited as a member of the editorial committee (Comité Editorial) of the journal. The aim of this kind of effort is that the journal may be recognized as an ISI journal. This paper benefited from helpful suggestions and critical comments by J. C. Castilla, T. Ashton and an anonymous referee.

I acknowledge the financial support from the FONDECYT project 1020484 (to R.G.), and partial financial support from Minera Escondida Limitada—Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile grant; the Mellon Foundation—Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile grant (to S. Navarrete and J. C. C.) and from the Center for Advanced Studies in Ecology and Biodiversity, CONICYT-FONDECYT Project 1501-0001, Program 6, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile.

#### Literature cited

- **Alunno-Bruscia M, PS Petraitis, E Bourget & M Fréchette. 2000.** Body size-density relationship for *Mytilus edulis* in an experimental food-regulated situation. Oikos 90: 28-42.
- **Alvarado JL & JC Castilla. 1996.** Tridimensional matrices of *Perumytilus purpuratus* on intertidal platforms with varying wave forces in central Chile. Marine Ecology Progress Series 133: 135-141.
- Ardisson PL & E Bourget. 1991. Abundance, growth, and production estimation of the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* on moored navigation buoys in the Estuary and northwestern Gulf of St. Lawrence. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 48: 2408-2419.
- **Armstrong JD. 1997**. Self-thinning in juvenile sea trout and other salmonid fishes revisited. Journal of Animal Ecology 66: 519-526.
- **Begon M, L Fairbanks & R Wall. 1986**. Is there a self-thinning rule for animal populations? Oikos 46: 122-124.
- Belgrano A, AP Allen, BJ Enquist & JF Gillooly. 2002. Allometric scaling of maximum population density: a common rule for marine phytoplankton and terrestrial plants. Ecology Letters 5: 611-613.

- **Bertness MD & ED Grosholz. 1985**. Population dynamics of the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*: The costs and benefits of an aggregated distribution. Oecologia 67: 192-204
- **Bi H. 2001**. The Self-Thinning Surface. Forest Science 47: 361-370.
- Bohlin T, C Delleford, U Faremo & A Johlander. 1994.

  The energetics equivalence hypothesis and the relation between population density and body size in stream-living salmonids. American Naturalist 143: 478-493.
- Brown JH, JF Gillooly, AP Allen, VA Savage & GB West. 2004. Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology 85: 1771-1789.
- Castilla J & R Guiñez. 2000. Disjoint geographical distribution of intertidal and nearshore benthic invertebrates in the Southern Hemisphere. Revista Chilena de Historia Natural 73: 585-603.
- Castilla JC, R Guiñez, AU Caro & V Ortiz. 2004. Invasion of a rocky intertidal shore by the tunicate *Pyura praeputialis* in the Bay of Antofagasta, Chile. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101: 8517-8524.
- Castilla JC, AG Collins, CP Meyer, R Guiñez & DR Lindberg. 2002. Recent introduction of the dominant tunicate, *Pyura praeputialis* (Urochordata, Pyuridae) to Antofagasta, Chile. Molecular Ecology 11: 1579-1584.
- Connolly SR & J Roughgarden. 1998. A latitudinal gradient in Northeast Pacific intertidal community structure: Evidence for an oceanographically based synthesis of marine community theory. American Naturalist 151: 311-326.
- **Dunham JB & GL Vinyard. 1997**. Relationships between body mass, population density, and the self-thinning rule in stream-living salmonids. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54: 1025-1030.
- Dunham JB, BR Dickerson, E Beever, RD Duncan & GL Vinyard. 2000. Effects of food limitation and emigration on self-thinning in experimental minnow cohorts. Journal of Animal Ecology 69: 927-934.
- **Elliott JM. 1993**. The self-thinning rule applied to juvenile sea-trout, *Salmo trutta*. Journal of Animal Ecology 62: 371-379.
- **Enquist BJ, JH Brown & GB West. 1998**. Allometric scaling of plant energetics and population density. Nature 395: 163-165.

- Fréchette M & D Lefaivre. 1990. Discriminating between food and space limitation in benthic suspension feeders using self-thinning relationships. Marine Ecology Progress Series 65: 15-23.
- Fréchette M & D Lefaivre. 1995. On self-thinning in animals. Oikos 73: 425-428.
- Fréchette M, P Bergeron & P Gagnon. 1996. On the use of self-thinning relationships in stocking experiments. Aquaculture 145: 91-112.
- Grant JWA. 1993. Self-thinning in stream-dwelling salmonids. In: Gibson RJ & RE Cuting (eds). Production of juvenile Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in natural waters, pp. 99-102. Canadian Special Publication in Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.
- Griffiths CL & PAR Hockey. 1987. A model describing the interactive roles of predation, competition and tidal elevation in structuring mussel populations. South African Journal Marine Science 5: 547-556.
- Guiñez R. 1996. Dinámica poblacional del chorito maico, Perumytilus purpuratus (Lamarck 1819) (Bivalvia: Mytilidae), en gradientes de exposición al oleaje. January. Ph. D. Thesis, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. 213 pp.
- Guiñez R & JC Castilla. 1999. A tridimensional selfthinning model for multilayered intertidal mussels. American Naturalist 154: 341-357.
- Guiñez R & JC Castilla. 2001. An allometric tridimensional model of self-thinning for a gregarious tunicate. Ecology 82: 2331-2341.
- Guiñez R, PS Petraitis & JC Castilla. 2005. Layering, the effective density of mussels and mass-density boundary curves. Oikos 110: 186-190
- Harger JR & DE Ladenberger. 1971. The effect of storms as a density-dependent mortality factor on population of sea mussels. The Veliger 14: 195-201.
- Hosomi A. 1985. On the persistent trend of constant biomass and the constant total occupation area of the mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis (Lamarck). Japanese Journal of Malacology 44: 33-48.
- Hughes RN & CL Griffiths. 1988. Self-thinning in barnacles and mussels: the geometry of packing. American Naturalist 132: 484-491.
- Keeley ER. 2003. An experimental analysis of self-thinning in juvenile steelhead trout. Oikos 102: 543-550.
- Latto J. 1994. Evidence for a self-thinning rule in animals. Oikos 69: 531-534.

- Marquet PA, SA Navarrete & JC Castilla. 1995. Body size, population density, and the energetic equivalence rule. Journal of Animal Ecology 64: 325-332.
- McGrorty S, RT Clarke, CJ Reading & JD Goss-Custard. 1990. Population dynamics of the mussel Mytilus edulis: density changes and regulation of the populations in the Exe estuary, Devon. Marine Ecology Progress Series 67: 157-169.
- Midgley JJ. 2001. Do mixed-species mixed-size indigenous forests also follow the self-thinning line? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16: 661-662.
- Norberg A. 1988. Theory of growth geometry of plants and self-thinning of plant populations: geometry similarity, elastic similarity, and different growth modes of plants parts. American Naturalist 131: 220-256.
- Norberg A. 1988. Self-thinning of plant populations dictated by packing density and individual growth geometry and relationships between animal population density and body mass governed by metabolic rate. In: Ebenman B & L Persson (eds). Size-structured populations: ecology and evolution, pp. 259-279. Springer, Berlin.
- Okamura B. 1986. Group living and effect of spatial position in aggregations of Mytilus edulis. Oecologia 69: 341-347.
- Petraitis PS. 1995. The role of growth in maintaining spatial dominance by mussels (Mytilus edulis). Ecology 76: 1337-1346.
- Puntieri JG. 1993. The self-thinning rule: bibliography revision. Preslia Praha 65: 243-267.
- Richardson CA & R Seed. 1990. Predictions of mussel (Mytilus edulis) biomass on an offshore platform from a single population samples. Biofouling 2: 289-297.
- Rincón PA & J Lobón-Cerviá. 2002. Nonlinear self-thinning in a stream-resident population of brown trout (Salmo trutta). Ecology 83: 1808-1816.
- Seed R. 1968. Factors influencing shell shape in the mussel Mytilus edulis. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 48: 561-584.
- Steingrimsson SO & JWA Grant. 1999. Allometry of territory size and metabolic rate as predictors of selfthinning in young-of-the-year Atlantic salmon. Journal of Animal Ecology 68: 17-26.
- Stillman RA, S McGrorty, JD Goss-Custard & AD West. 2000. Predicting mussel population density and age structure: the relationship between model complexity and predictive power. Marine Ecology Progress Series 208: 131-145.

- Stiven AE & EJ Kuenzler. 1979. The response of two salt marsh molluscs, *Littorina irrorata* and *Geukensia demissa*, to field manipulations of density and *Spartina* litter. Marine Ecology Progress Series 49: 151-171.
- Suchanek TH. 1986. Mussels and their role in structuring rocky shore communities. In: Moore PG & R Seed (eds). The ecology of rocky coasts, pp. 70-96. Columbia University Press, New York.
- **Torres J-L, VJ Sosa, M Equihua & L Torres. 2001**. On the conceptual basis of the self-thinning rule. Oikos 95: 544-548.
- Van Erkom Schurink C & CL Griffiths. 1993. Factors affecting relative rates of growth in four South African mussel species. Aquaculture 109: 257-273.

- **Weller DE. 1987**. Self-thinning exponent correlated with allometric measures of plant geometry. Ecology 68: 813-821.
- **Weller DE. 1987**. A reevaluation of the -3/2 power rule of plant self-thinning. Ecological Monographs 57: 23-43.
- **Westoby W. 1984**. The self-thinning rule. Advances in Ecological Research 14: 167-226.
- **Zeide B. 1991**. Self-Thinning and Stand Density. Forest Science 37: 517-523.

Recibido en noviembre de 2004 y aceptado en marzo de 2005