

Banco Central de Chile
Documentos de Trabajo

Central Bank of Chile
Working Papers

N° 396

Diciembre 2006

**MONETARY POLICY UNDER INFLATION
TARGETING: AN INTRODUCTION**

Frederic S. Mishkin Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel

La serie de Documentos de Trabajo en versión PDF puede obtenerse gratis en la dirección electrónica: <http://www.bcentral.cl/esp/estpub/estudios/dtbc>. Existe la posibilidad de solicitar una copia impresa con un costo de \$500 si es dentro de Chile y US\$12 si es para fuera de Chile. Las solicitudes se pueden hacer por fax: (56-2) 6702231 o a través de correo electrónico: bcch@bcentral.cl.

Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded free of charge from: <http://www.bcentral.cl/eng/stdpub/studies/workingpaper>. Printed versions can be ordered individually for US\$12 per copy (for orders inside Chile the charge is Ch\$500.) Orders can be placed by fax: (56-2) 6702231 or e-mail: bcch@bcentral.cl.



BANCO CENTRAL DE CHILE

CENTRAL BANK OF CHILE

La serie Documentos de Trabajo es una publicación del Banco Central de Chile que divulga los trabajos de investigación económica realizados por profesionales de esta institución o encargados por ella a terceros. El objetivo de la serie es aportar al debate temas relevantes y presentar nuevos enfoques en el análisis de los mismos. La difusión de los Documentos de Trabajo sólo intenta facilitar el intercambio de ideas y dar a conocer investigaciones, con carácter preliminar, para su discusión y comentarios.

La publicación de los Documentos de Trabajo no está sujeta a la aprobación previa de los miembros del Consejo del Banco Central de Chile. Tanto el contenido de los Documentos de Trabajo como también los análisis y conclusiones que de ellos se deriven, son de exclusiva responsabilidad de su o sus autores y no reflejan necesariamente la opinión del Banco Central de Chile o de sus Consejeros.

The Working Papers series of the Central Bank of Chile disseminates economic research conducted by Central Bank staff or third parties under the sponsorship of the Bank. The purpose of the series is to contribute to the discussion of relevant issues and develop new analytical or empirical approaches in their analyses. The only aim of the Working Papers is to disseminate preliminary research for its discussion and comments.

Publication of Working Papers is not subject to previous approval by the members of the Board of the Central Bank. The views and conclusions presented in the papers are exclusively those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of the Central Bank of Chile or of the Board members.

Documentos de Trabajo del Banco Central de Chile
Working Papers of the Central Bank of Chile
Agustinas 1180
Teléfono: (56-2) 6702475; Fax: (56-2) 6702231

MONETARY POLICY UNDER INFLATION TARGETING: AN INTRODUCTION

Frederic S. Mishkin
Columbia University

Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel
Gerente de Investigación Económica
Banco Central de Chile

Resumen

Esta breve revisión hace un recorrido por la literatura reciente sobre política monetaria bajo metas de inflación y presenta nuevos resultados analíticos y estudios empíricos en la materia. Se examinan seis áreas centrales de la investigación previa: puesta en práctica y optimalidad de los principales atributos del esquema de metas de inflación; política monetaria óptima; incertidumbre, aprendizaje y política monetaria; transparencia, comunicación y capacidad de rendir cuentas; precios de activos y política monetaria; y comparación del desempeño económico con y sin metas de inflación. La revisión sugiere que hay aún numerosas preguntas abiertas, las cuales fueron abordadas en los trece innovadores trabajos que se presentaron en la Conferencia Anual del Banco Central de Chile de 2005, y que se resumen aquí.

Abstract

This brief review takes stock of the recent literature on monetary policy under inflation targeting and introduces new analytical and empirical research in this field. Six key areas of previous research are reviewed: the practice and optimality of inflation targeting regime features; optimal monetary policy; uncertainty, learning, and monetary policy; transparency, communication, and accountability; asset prices and monetary policy; and economic performance under inflation targeting and in comparison to non-targeting regimes. The review suggests a significant number of open issues that are addressed in 13 new contributions presented at the 2005 Annual Conference of the Central Bank of Chile, which are summarized here.

We thank Fabián Gredig and Mauricio Larraín for assisting us with assembling material for this introduction.

E-mails: fsm3@columbia.edu; kschmidt@bcentral.cl.

MONETARY POLICY UNDER INFLATION TARGETING: AN INTRODUCTION

Frederic S. Mishkin
Columbia University

Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel
Central Bank of Chile

With the end of intermediate exchange rate regimes, countries are either abandoning domestic monetary policy (by choosing super-hard pegs or relinquishing their national currencies altogether) or strengthening independent monetary policymaking (by adopting floating exchange rates, of either the clean or dirty variety) (Fischer, 2001; Calvo and Mishkin, 2003). Among monetary regimes, inflation targeting has become the natural complement of flexible exchange rate regimes. Many countries—which differ in size, structural features, and development level—have selected inflation-targeting-cum-floating as their preferred framework for pursuing a more independent and effective monetary policy. This choice is often made by instrument-independent central banks in open economies with a history of inflation, which need to establish a credible monetary anchor to promote price stability (Mishkin and Schmidt-Hebbel, 2002). Therefore, since New Zealand first adopted inflation targeting in 1990, a steadily growing number of industrial and emerging economies have implemented an explicit inflation target as their nominal anchor. Eight industrial countries and seventeen emerging economies currently have full-fledged inflation targeting in place, and many more emerging economies are planning to adopt inflation targeting in the next few years.

The theory and practice of monetary policy under inflation targeting have evolved hand in hand, with mutually beneficial effects. Academic researchers and central bankers are collaborating

We thank Fabián Gredig and Mauricio Larraín for assisting us with assembling material for this introduction.

Monetary Policy under Inflation Targeting, edited by Frederic Mishkin and Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel, Santiago, Chile. © 2007 Central Bank of Chile.

in analyzing monetary theory and policy results, as well as improving policy design and conduct, in both inflation-targeting and nontargeting central banks. This collaboration gives rise to joint academic–central bank research, conferences, and publications, like the one for which this introduction is written.

Several volumes have been published on the theory, design, implementation, and performance of inflation-targeting regimes, including Haldane (1995), Leiderman and Svensson (1995), Lowe (1997), Bernanke and others (1999), Bank of Thailand (2000), Carson, Enoch, and Dziobek (2002), Loayza and Soto (2002), Truman (2003), Reserve Bank of Australia (2004), and Bernanke and Woodford (2005). These works typically combine the study of theoretical questions on monetary theory and policy design under inflation targeting with new empirical evidence on policy and macroeconomic performance, based on the growing body of data pertaining to the rising number of inflation-targeting countries.

In the following section, we selectively review the literature on inflation targeting. We then discuss the new research on monetary policy under inflation targeting introduced here (in this volume) and summarize its main findings.

1. A SELECTIVE REVIEW OF RESEARCH ON INFLATION TARGETING

A large and growing literature focuses on monetary theory, monetary policy, and macroeconomic performance under an inflation-targeting regime. This is part of a much larger analytical and empirical literature on monetary theory and policy outcomes. The boundaries between the general research and that referring specifically to inflation targeting are sometimes blurred, as is clear in this review. Considering the latter fact and the sheer size of the current research, we focus selectively on six issues of monetary policy design and practice that are of central relevance to the inflation targeting debate.

1.1 Practice and Optimality of Inflation-Targeting Regimes

A growing literature addresses the optimal choice of the parameters that define an inflation-targeting regime. While all countries have formally chosen inflation over price-level targeting to date, the debate about the optimality of inflation versus price-level

targeting has not been closed. Inflation targeting dominates price-level targeting in riding out temporary inflation shocks and in avoiding costly contractionary policy offsets and excessive inflation variability (Fischer, 1996). Price-level targeting, however, may do a better job than inflation targeting in avoiding a random drift in the price level and reducing price-level uncertainty (Fischer, 1996); in delivering lower output and inflation volatility (Svensson, 1999; Chadha and Nolan, 2002); in allowing relative prices to allocate resources and reducing distortions and unintended wealth transfers (Barnett and Engineer, 2000); and in lessening the problems associated with the zero nominal interest floor (Eggertsson and Woodford, 2003; Svensson, 2003). Hybrid rules that combine inflation and price-level targeting may be superior to either of the two extremes (Cecchetti and Kim, 2005; Nessén and Vestin, 2005).

Most countries have chosen the headline consumer price index (CPI) as their target measure; alternative measures based on core inflation measures are exceptions. While there are good practical arguments for choosing the headline CPI as the official measure (including its status as a widespread and trusted measure of overall inflation and its use for indexation), the literature identifies several reasons that alternative price-level measures are potentially a better choice. Central banks are more likely to have stronger and quicker effects on core inflation than headline inflation and on nontradable than tradable goods inflation. When the production of final consumption goods involves different stages of processing, it may be optimal for monetary policy to react not only to output and CPI variability, but also to producer price inflation variability (Huang and Liu, 2004). Countries have not chosen nominal income as their target measure for a variety of reasons, including the lack of readily available high-frequency data on gross national income and the equal weight attached to inflation and output in nominal income. Nevertheless, nominal-income targeting may be superior to inflation targeting under certain conditions (McCallum and Nelson, 1999).

Another design dimension of an inflation-targeting regime is the monetary policy horizon—that is, the time targeted by the central bank to return inflation to the target level after an inflation shock. The optimal horizon will depend on the nature and persistence of the shock, the structure of the economy (including the extent of nominal and real rigidities), and central bank preferences (Batini and Nelson, 2001). The discussion of the optimal horizon is also linked to the choice between inflation and price-level targeting (King, 1999; Cecchetti and Kim, 2005).

1.2 Inflation Targeting and Optimal Monetary Policy

Recent research follows the advice of McCallum (1988) and investigates the robustness properties of alternative monetary policy rules by evaluating them in a variety of models. However, the dichotomy between economic structure and policy objectives could be inappropriate. First, the central bank's quadratic loss function can be interpreted as an approximation of the welfare of the representative agent (Woodford, 1993). Second, loss functions are endogenous to model structure; for example, increased price rigidity raises the relative weight of the inflation objective in the optimal loss function that an inflation-targeting central bank should use (Walsh, 2004).

Researchers generally agree that inflation targeting has led to major progress in the practice of monetary policy (see, for example, Woodford, 2004). The early literature that describes inflation targeting as a regime of constrained discretion (Bernanke and others, 1999) underscores its potential benefit of allowing sufficient discretion (as required in the face of policy uncertainty) within a rule-based framework that is consistent with Kydland and Prescott's rules versus discretion paradigm (see Kydland and Prescott, 1977).

Yet is monetary policy as currently practiced by inflation-targeting central banks optimal? Many authors point to the suboptimality of implicit policy rules and weakness in communicating policy rules, internal evaluations, and projections of future policy and performance variables to the public. The optimal targeting rules derived by Giannoni and Woodford (2005) imply forecasts for interest and inflation paths several years into the future, which are inconsistent with assumptions of constant future interest rates and constant medium-term policy horizons, as still practiced by several inflation-targeting central banks. Other authors call for clear central bank communication of point and density forecasts for their policy instrument and objectives, as well as their likely course of policy under alternative or risk scenarios (Svensson, 1997; Faust and Henderson, 2004; Woodford, 2004).

1.3 Uncertainty, Learning, and Monetary Policy under Inflation Targeting

Central bankers face different types of uncertainty that may affect monetary policy decisions, such as uncertainty about current (real-time) and future data, the most appropriate model (including

specification, parameters, and the dynamics that govern monetary policy transmission), and preferences (of the representative consumer and even of the central banker). Brainard (1967) was the first to explore how a (monetary) policymaker should respond to uncertainty, showing that if uncertainty is additive, a policymaker with a quadratic objective function should display certainty equivalence. A more cautious policy is optimal, however, if uncertainty is multiplicative (Brainard's conservative principle). If uncertainty is Knightian—that is, when probability distributions over possible events are unknown—robust control methods lead policymakers to minimize the loss that arises when uncertainty turns out to be most unfavorable (Hansen and Sargent, forthcoming).

Early work on inflation targeting under uncertainty suggests that parameter and lag uncertainty should have little effect on policy behavior, while uncertainty about the nature of shocks tends to raise interest rate smoothing (Srouf, 1999). For the case of parameter uncertainty, and in the framework of the Svensson (1999) model, forward-looking expectations imply that a more aggressive monetary policy yields greater stability than interest rate smoothing (Demertzis and Viegi, 2004). Uncertainty about key natural rates (namely, natural unemployment and interest rates) can result in persistent monetary policy errors (Orphanides and Williams, 2002; Cukierman and Lippi, 2005) and propagate macroeconomic disturbances, with first-order implications for monetary policy (Gaspar and Smets, 2002; Orphanides and Williams, 2004a). Inflation targeting can be particularly successful in reducing the latter risks by better anchoring inflation expectations under imperfect knowledge of key variables and private perception of monetary policy behavior.

The signal extraction problem that accompanies imperfect knowledge of key input or target variables causes both central banks and the private sector to learn gradually about the realization of shocks. This form of bounded rationality—a departure from rational expectations—provides a plausible framework for modeling the behavior of central banks and private agents (Evans and Honkapohja, 2001) and seems to be empirically reasonable (Orphanides and Williams, 2004b). Adaptive learning on the part of central banks implies that they will have a relatively muted response to cost-push shocks (Smets, 1999; Orphanides and Williams, 2002; Gerali and Lippi, 2002). When private sector expectations are determined by adaptive behavior, optimal monetary policy responds more persistently to cost-push shocks. The higher the private sector's initially perceived

inflation persistence, the stronger and more persistent is the optimal policy response (Gaspar, Smets, and Vestin, 2006).

When the central bank's uncertainty about potential output leads to central bank learning behavior, the optimal choice of whether to target output growth, the price level, or inflation will depend on the weight of inflation stability and the degree of learning efficiency (Yetman, 2005). The interaction between private sector uncertainty about the central bank's inflation target level (in other words, the central bank's lack of credibility) and the central bank's uncertainty regarding the private sector's uncertainty about the inflation target can have serious implications for monetary policy, leading to policy errors and raising inflation persistence (Aoki and Kimura, 2005).

1.4 Transparency, Communication, and Accountability under Inflation Targeting

Transparency, communication, and accountability are key to successful inflation targeting. This belief has motivated inflation-targeting central banks to undertake ongoing efforts to upgrade these three features of their policy framework (Roger and Stone, 2005). The recent analytical literature focuses increasingly on these features and their relation to monetary policy uncertainty and optimality.

Optimal inflation targeting balances the need for accountability with monitoring capabilities (Walsh, 2003). If the central bank has little information about inflation shocks or if policy is transparent, then more weight should be placed on the inflation objective. Multiplicative uncertainty leads to more cautionary monetary policy (Brainard, 1967), but it also raises the value of central bank accountability for achieving the inflation target (Walsh, 2003). If the private sector has diverse information about aggregate shocks, and if this information is less accurate than the central bank's, then full transparency is generally optimal for inflation targeters, unless they are inflation nutters or put an excessive weight on output gap stability (Amato, Morris, and Shin, 2002; Walsh, 2005).

1.5 Asset Prices and Monetary Policy under Inflation Targeting

A heated debate has taken place in recent years regarding the optimality of monetary policy—whether under inflation targeting or alternative monetary regimes—to react to asset prices or perceived

asset price misalignment. Cecchetti and others (2000) argue that reacting to asset prices, in addition to inflation and the output gap, is likely to achieve superior performance and a smoother inflation path by reducing the likelihood of an asset price bubble. (This view was restated by Cecchetti, Genberg, and Wadhvani, 2002, in their response to some of the counterarguments presented next.) Much of the academic and policy literature reacted with skepticism to their proposal. Bernanke and Gertler (2001) contend that reacting to equity prices is counterproductive (over and above its effects on inflation and the output gap), while Batini and Nelson (2000) state that reacting to the exchange rate is not optimal (over and above its effects on inflation and the lagged interest rate). A related argument holds that since inflation-targeting central banks focus on inflation expectations, they need not target asset prices directly, but rather can use them to improve their prediction of the path of future inflation (Bean, 2003).

Most inflation-targeting (and other) central banks have thus far sided with the skeptical view on monetary policy reaction to asset prices. Reasons for skepticism include the difficulty of measuring asset price misalignment, the difficulty of anticipating future asset price booms and busts or the future effects of preventive nonmonotonic policy actions, the difficulty in discriminating among different asset prices (such as housing prices, equity prices, and the exchange rate), and the possible dilution of the inflation objective.

1.6 Economic Performance under Inflation Targeting and in Comparison with Nontargeting Regimes

Empirical evidence on the links between inflation targeting and particular measures of economic performance generally supports the view that inflation targeting is associated with an improvement in overall economic performance (Bernanke and others, 1999; Corbo, Landerretche, and Schmidt-Hebbel, 2002; Neumann and von Hagen, 2002; Hu, 2003; Truman, 2003; Mishkin, 2006). In one of the few empirical papers critical of inflation targeting, Ball and Sheridan (2005) argue that inflation targeting does not make a difference in industrial countries; rather, the apparent success of inflation-targeting countries simply reflects regression toward the mean. Ball and Sheridan's findings are heavily disputed by Hyvonen (2004), Vega and Winkelried (2005), and IMF (2005), who present evidence—generated with different specifications and estimation techniques and based

on samples that include emerging economies—that inflation levels, persistence, and volatility are lower in inflation-targeting countries.

Output volatility has not worsened after the adoption of inflation targeting; if anything, it has improved (Corbo, Landerretche, and Schmidt-Hebbel, 2002). Evidence on inflation targeting's impact on sacrifice ratios is also mildly favorable. Bernanke and others (1999) do not find that sacrifice ratios in industrialized countries fell with the adoption of inflation targeting, while Corbo, Landerretche, and Schmidt-Hebbel (2002) conclude, based on a larger sample of inflation targeters, that inflation targeting did lead to an improvement in sacrifice ratios.

Bernanke and others (1999) and Levin, Natalucci, and Piger (2004) do not find that inflation targeting leads to an immediate fall in expected inflation, but Johnson (2002, 2003) does find some evidence that expected inflation falls after the announcement of inflation targets. However, inflation expectations appear to be better anchored for inflation targeters than nontargeters: inflation expectations react less to shocks to actual inflation for targeters than nontargeters, particularly at longer horizons (Gürkaynak, Levin, and Swanson, 2006; Levin, Natalucci, and Piger, 2004; Castelnuovo, Nicoletti-Altimari, and Rodríguez Palenzuela, 2003).

Finally, the evidence increasingly indicates that inflation targeters are successful in meeting their targets. A virtuous circle seems to be at work here, with inflation targeting being adopted in conjunction with institutional improvements that help strengthen monetary policy credibility. Central bank independence, fiscal policy credibility, overall institutional strength, and financial sector development all contribute to reducing the size of inflation target misses (Calderón and Schmidt-Hebbel, 2003; Albagli and Schmidt-Hebbel, 2004; Gosselin, 2006). While inflation targets are never met exactly, the success and resilience of the regime—no country has dropped inflation targeting to date—are attributed to its flexibility and its improvements in monetary policy formalization and transparency (Roger and Stone, 2005).

2. OVERVIEW OF THE VOLUME

Our selective review of the literature on inflation targeting suggests a significant number of open issues. Which further challenges are faced by economists and policymakers to lock in the benefits of low world inflation and minimize the transition costs toward inflation targeting in currently nontargeting countries? Is inflation targeting still optimal

when considering real-world features of fiscal policy, like distortionary taxation and nonguaranteed intertemporal solvency? Which features of inflation targeting could be key when private knowledge of central bank goals and reactions is imperfect, raising the risk of endogenous drift of private expectations away from the central bank's inflation goal? How is the Ramsey-optimal inflation level affected by the degree of price stickiness and the zero bound on the nominal interest rate—and which variables determine the Ramsey-optimal policy rule? How can central banks improve their current communication practice to raise the efficiency of monetary policy under inflation targeting? If the private sector has diverse information that is generally inferior to that of the central bank, what determines the optimal degree of policy transparency?

How does output persistence affect the optimal weights of price-level and inflation targeting, and what does cross-country data reveal about how close inflation targeters are to price-level targeting? What have been the benefits of inflation targeting for the world sample of targeting countries, in terms of macroeconomic performance and monetary policy efficiency, both over time and in comparison to successful nontargeters? What is the evidence on the pass-through of exchange rate devaluation to inflation, exchange rate volatility, and the role of the exchange rate in policy rules under inflation targeting? Are inflation expectations better anchored in inflation-targeting countries than in the United States? Has inflation targeting improved the anchoring of inflation and inflation expectations and reduced volatility in emerging economies—and are the results sensitive to a country's having met preconditions at the start of inflation targeting? How important are real and nominal rigidities in explaining monetary policy and macroeconomic dynamics in Chile, and has the weight attached to inflation relative to output declined since the adoption of full-fledged inflation targeting in 1999? Finally, has Chile experienced changes in price rigidity, price indexation, devaluation-inflation pass-through, and the policy rule since attaining full-fledged inflation targeting and stationary inflation?

The papers in this volume address these thirteen questions. The introductory essay by Anne Krueger assesses the benefits of a low-inflation environment for the world economy. The author starts by reviewing the main costs of inflation—namely, how it distorts the calculus of profitability, encouraging short-term projects at the expense of longer-term investment and diminishing the value of relative price signals. She then reviews the progress that most

countries have made in recent years toward achieving low inflation. The new low-inflation environment has brought noticeable gains—faster global growth, increased stability, and reduced vulnerability. The role of the IMF in helping foster a low-inflation environment is also discussed, highlighting the Fund's important support for policy reform efforts in its member countries. To conclude, Krueger identifies future challenges for economists and policymakers: locking in the benefits of low inflation, identifying how far policies should go toward lowering inflation further, and expanding the knowledge frontiers on the transition toward adopting inflation targeting.

Pierpaolo Benigno and Michael Woodford extend the theoretical literature on inflation targeting by focusing on the fiscal consequences of committing to an inflation target. They analyze the nature of an optimal monetary policy commitment under alternative assumptions about fiscal policy, ranging from distorting revenue to deviations from intertemporal insolvency. While the fiscal policy regime has important consequences for the optimal conduct of monetary policy, a suitably modified form of inflation targeting will still be a useful approach to optimal monetary policy. Benigno and Woodford show that the optimal targeting rule for monetary policy, which applies to the alternative fiscal regimes considered, involves commitment to an explicit target for an output-gap-adjusted price level. The optimal policy allows temporary deviations from the long-run target rate of economic growth in the gap-adjusted price level in response to disturbances that affect the government budget. However, such a policy also requires a commitment to return quickly to normal growth following these disturbances, so that medium-term inflation expectations remain firmly anchored despite the occurrence of fiscal shocks.

The chapter by Athanasios Orphanides and John Williams reexamines the role of the key elements of the inflation-targeting framework in the context of an economy with imperfect knowledge. In their model, private agents attempt to infer the central bank's goals and reactions through past actions. The novelty of the approach is that inflation expectations can endogenously drift away from the central bank's inflation goal. Using an estimated model of the U.S. economy, Orphanides and Williams show that monetary policy rules that would perform well under the assumption of rational expectations do very poorly when imperfect knowledge is introduced. The authors then examine the performance of an easily implemented policy rule that incorporates three key features of inflation targeting—namely, transparency, commitment to price stability, and close monitoring of

inflation expectations—and find that all three play important roles in ensuring success. Their analysis suggests that simple difference rules excel at tethering inflation expectations near the central bank’s target and, in doing so, achieve superior stabilization of inflation and economic activity in an environment of imperfect knowledge.

Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé and Martín Uribe study the characterization and implementation of optimal monetary policy in the context of a medium-scale macroeconomic model that has been estimated to fit postwar U.S. business cycles. The main finding of the paper is that mild deflation is Ramsey-optimal in the long run. However, the optimal inflation rate appears to be highly sensitive to the assumed degree of price stickiness. This sensitivity disappears when lump-sum taxes are unavailable, in which case mild deflation is robustly optimal. In light of the result that the optimal inflation rate is negative, Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe find it puzzling that inflation-targeting countries pursue positive inflation goals. They also argue that the zero bound on the nominal interest rate, which is often cited as a rationale for a positive inflation target, is of no quantitative relevance in their model. Finally, the authors characterize operational interest rate feedback rules that best implement optimal stabilization policy and find that the optimal interest rate rule is sensitive to price and wage inflation, insensitive to output growth, and moderately inertial.

Lars Svensson claims in his chapter that while inflation-targeting central banks have made impressive achievements, there is still ample room for progress in the development and effectiveness of this new regime. He explains that inflation-targeting central banks can improve their aim by being more specific, systematic, and transparent about their operational objectives (by using an explicit intertemporal loss function), their forecasts (by deciding on optimal projections of the instrument rate and the target variables), and their communication (by announcing optimal projections of the instrument rate and target variables). According to Svensson, further progress can be made by systematically incorporating central bank judgment and model uncertainty into the forecasting and decisionmaking process. In particular, incorporating model uncertainty would lead central banks to engage in a more general “distribution forecast targeting” rather than the usual, more restrictive form of “mean forecast targeting” under the assumption of approximate certainty equivalence.

Carl Walsh extends the literature on central bank transparency under inflation targeting by exploring two dimensions of transparency that are typically overlooked: the quality of the information the

central bank provides and how widely that information is publicized. Employing a simple new Keynesian framework with private and diverse information, Walsh finds that announcements about short-run targets allow price setters to distinguish policy actions designed to offset demand shocks from those designed to partially offset the inflation effects of cost shocks. Announcements can thereby prevent demand shocks from affecting inflation, but private sector decisions become more sensitive to central bank forecast errors, raising inflation variability. It may then be advantageous for the monetary authority to make partial announcements. Walsh shows that the optimal degree of partial announcements depends on the persistence of cost and demand shocks, the relative weight of inflation and output gap objectives, and the information asymmetry between the central bank and the public. Full transparency is optimal for a central bank that has reasonable preferences (a central bank that is neither an inflation nor an output gap nutter) and has more accurate information than the private sector.

The chapter by Stephen G. Cecchetti and Stefan Krause revisits the relative merits of price-level targeting and inflation targeting. According to the authors, whether the optimal approach is pure inflation targeting, pure price-path targeting, or some hybrid depends on the country's output persistence. Furthermore, any hybrid rule can be optimal once policymakers realize that the horizon for target evaluation can vary. For example, a rule that heavily weights inflation targeting but is evaluated over a long horizon will be equivalent to a rule that heavily weights price-path targeting but is evaluated over a shorter horizon. The authors confront these ideas empirically with data drawn from a large panel of countries. Their evidence shows that output and price-level persistence vary significantly across countries. Inflation targeters show a distinctly lower degree of price-level persistence than nontargeters. More generally, output persistence did not change much between the 1980s and the 1990s, whereas price-level persistence declined—possibly a result of inflation targeting—and the optimal horizon for target evaluation grew shorter. Cecchetti and Krause conclude that countries may be closer to price-path than to inflation targeting.

Frederic S. Mishkin and Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel revisit the issue of whether inflation targeting is associated with an improvement in overall economic performance. They extend the previous empirical literature on this ongoing debate by focusing on a panel of data comprising the world population of inflation-targeting countries

and a control group of high-achieving industrial economies that do not target inflation. The authors find that inflation targeting has helped inflation-targeting countries reduce their long-run inflation levels, diminish the inflation response to oil-price and exchange rate shocks, strengthen monetary policy independence, improve monetary policy efficiency, and lower the deviations of inflation outcomes from inflation goals. Many of these benefits increase once inflation targeters attain stationary target levels. Despite the improvements obtained by inflation targeters relative to their past performance, the evidence generally rejects the notion that inflation-targeting countries perform better than the control group of nontargeters. Mishkin and Schmidt-Hebbel show, however, that inflation targeting helps all country groups move toward control-group performance—and industrial inflation targeters' performance is at the level of the control group.

Sebastian Edwards analyzes core issues on the relation between exchange rates and the inflation-targeting regime. He uses a dataset for two advanced and five emerging inflation-targeting economies to empirically address three issues: the relation between devaluation-inflation pass-through and the effectiveness of the nominal exchange rate as a shock absorber (that is, the extent to which a nominal devaluation causes a real exchange rate depreciation); the effects of inflation targeting on exchange rate volatility; and the role of the exchange rate in monetary policy rules. Edwards finds that countries that have adopted inflation targeting have experienced a decline in the pass-through from the exchange rate to inflation—for both producer and consumer price (nontradables) inflation. He finds no evidence, however, of changes in the degree to which the nominal exchange rate acts as a shock absorber. Adoption of inflation targeting has not led to higher nominal or real exchange rate volatility, although adoption of exchange rate floats has increased the volatility of exchange rates in three out of five countries. Finally, Edwards reports a wide range of estimates of the effects of the exchange rate on central banks' interest-setting behavior, ranging from nil (Chile) to high (Mexico).

The chapter by Refet Gürkaynak, Andrew Levin, Andrew Marder, and Eric Swanson investigates the extent to which long-run inflation expectations are well anchored in three Western Hemisphere countries—namely, Canada, Chile, and the United States—based on a high-frequency event study. Their contribution to the literature consists in empirically verifying the success of inflation-targeting regimes in helping to anchor long-term inflation expectations. The authors use daily data on long-run forward inflation compensation

measures—that is, the difference between forward rates on nominal and inflation-indexed bonds—as an indicator of financial-market perceptions of inflation risk and the expected level of inflation at long horizons. For the United States, Gürkaynak, Levin, Marder, and Swanson find that far-ahead forward inflation compensation reacts significantly to macroeconomic data releases, suggesting that long-run inflation expectations are not strongly anchored. In contrast, Canadian and Chilean inflation compensation data do not exhibit significant sensitivity to either domestic or external macroeconomic news, which is consistent with the view that inflation targeting in these two countries has succeeded in anchoring long-run inflation expectations.

Nicoletta Batini and Douglas Laxton analyze the effects of inflation targeting in emerging-market economies. They conducted a detailed survey of central banks, which they use to show that inflation targeting in emerging economies brings significant benefits compared with countries that adopt alternative nominal anchors (namely, monetary growth and exchange rate targets). They report that inflation targeters, unlike countries that pursue alternative monetary regimes, attain significant improvements in anchoring both inflation and inflation expectations, with no adverse effects on output performance; in reducing the volatility of interest rates, exchange rates, and international reserves; and in lowering the risk of currency crises. Batini and Laxton also find that countries do not have to meet a stringent set of institutional, technical, and economic preconditions before adopting inflation targeting for the subsequent success of this regime. In fact, most countries build up these conditions gradually after inflation targeting is in place. They show that the feasibility and success of inflation targeting instead depends on policymakers' commitment and ability to plan and drive institutional change after introducing the new regime.

Rodrigo Caputo, Felipe Liendo, and Juan Pablo Medina develop a dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) model to analyze the extent to which nominal and real rigidities play a role in explaining the behavior of aggregate data in Chile. This issue is particularly important from a central banker's perspective, since the existence (or absence) of certain rigidities may have important implications for the trade-off between output and inflation stabilization. Unlike previous DSGE models for Chile, their specification features habit formation, sticky prices and wages, price and wage indexation, and imperfect pass-through from the exchange rate to domestic prices of imports. Caputo, Liendo, and Medina use Bayesian techniques to estimate the

model. Their main finding is that adding price and wage rigidities, wage indexation, and imperfect pass-through improves the fit of the model. Real rigidities, such as habit formation, also deliver a better account of aggregate data, although their effects are quantitatively small. Finally, their subsample analysis indicates that monetary policy has reacted less aggressively to inflation relative to output since 2000, suggesting a lower sacrifice ratio—a result they attribute to the increased credibility of full-fledged inflation targeting.

Luis Céspedes and Claudio Soto's chapter revisits the argument that inflation targeting in Chile has made a major contribution to lowering inflation to around 3 percent per year by enhancing the credibility of monetary policy. The authors use a new Keynesian Phillips curve to show that price rigidity has intensified in the past few years, while the degree of indexation in the economy has declined and the exchange rate pass-through to traded-goods inflation has fallen. They also find that the monetary policy rule has become more forward-looking in terms of inflation and more resolute in fighting inflation deviations from target. Céspedes and Soto's findings are consistent with the notion that monetary policy credibility in Chile has been strengthened over time. As monetary policy has become more credible, costly price adjustments are undertaken less frequently, indexation based on past inflation has become less widespread, and the central bank has been able to fight inflation deviations from target more strongly and at lower output costs.

REFERENCES

- Albagli, E. and K. Schmidt-Hebbel. 2004. "By How Much and Why Do Inflation Targeters Miss Their Targets?" Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Amato, J.D., S. Morris, and H. S. Shin. 2002. "Communication and Monetary Policy." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 18(4): 495–503.
- Aoki, K. and T. Kimura. 2005. "Learning about Belief about Inflation Target and Stabilization Policy." London School of Economics.
- Ball, L. and N. Sheridan. 2005. "Does Inflation Targeting Matter?" In *The Inflation Targeting Debate*, edited by B.S. Bernanke and M. Woodford, 249–76. University of Chicago Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Bank of Thailand. 2000. *Practical Experiences on Inflation Targeting*. Bangkok: Bank of Thailand.
- Barnett, R. and M. Engineer. 2000. "When Is Price-Level Targeting a Good Idea?" In *Price Stability and the Long-Run Target for Monetary Policy*, edited by Bank of Canada, 101–43. Ottawa: Bank of Canada.
- Batini, N. and E. Nelson. 2000. "When the Bubble Bursts: Monetary Policy Rules and Foreign Exchange Market Behavior." London: Bank of England.
- . 2001. "Optimal Horizons for Inflation Targeting." *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 25(6–7): 891–910.
- Bean, C. 2003. "Asset Prices, Financial Imbalances, and Monetary Policy: Are Inflation Targets Enough?" Working paper 140. Basel: Bank of International Settlements.
- Bernanke, B.S. and M. Gertler. 2001. "Should Central Banks Respond to Movements in Asset Prices?" *American Economic Review* 91(2): 253–57.
- Bernanke, B.S., T. Laubach, F.S. Mishkin, and A. S. Posen. 1999. *Inflation Targeting: Lessons from the International Experience*. Princeton University Press.
- Bernanke, B.S. and M. Woodford, eds. 2005. *The Inflation-Targeting Debate*. University of Chicago Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Brainard, W. 1967. "Uncertainty and the Effectiveness of Policy." *American Economic Review* 57(2): 411–25.
- Calderón, C. and K. Schmidt-Hebbel. 2003. "Macroeconomic Policies and Performance in Latin America." *Journal of International Money and Finance* 22(7): 895–923.

- Calvo, G. and F.S. Mishkin. 2003. "The Mirage of Exchange Rate Regimes for Emerging Market Countries." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 17(4): 99–118.
- Carson, C., C. Enoch, and C. Dziobek, eds. 2002. *Statistical Implications of Inflation Targeting*. Washington: International Monetary Fund.
- Castelnuovo, E., S. Nicoletti-Altimari, and D. Rodríguez Palenzuela. 2003. "Definition of Price Stability, Range and Point Targets: The Anchoring of Long-Term Inflation Expectations." In *Background Studies for the ECB's Evaluation of Its Monetary Policy Strategy*, edited by O. Issing, 43–90. Frankfurt: European Central Bank.
- Cecchetti, S.G., H. Genberg, J. Lipsky, and S. Wadhvani. 2000. *Asset Prices and Central Bank Policy*. Geneva Reports on the World Economy 2. Geneva: Centre for Economic Policy Research with the International Center for Monetary and Banking Studies.
- Cecchetti, S.G., H. Genberg, and S. Wadhvani. 2002. "Asset Prices in a Flexible Inflation Targeting Framework." *Current Issues in Economics and Finance*, Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
- Cecchetti, S.G. and J. Kim. 2005. "Inflation Targeting, Price-Path Targeting, and Output Variability." In *The Inflation Targeting Debate*, edited by B. S. Bernanke and M. Woodford, 173–200. University of Chicago Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Chadha, J.S. and C. Nolan. 2002. "Inflation and Price-Level Targeting in a New Keynesian Model." *Manchester School* 70(4): 570–95.
- Corbo, V., O. Landerretche, and K. Schmidt-Hebbel. 2002. "Does Inflation Targeting Make a Difference?" In *Inflation Targeting: Design, Performance, Challenges*, edited by N. Loayza and R. Soto, 221–69. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Cukierman, A. and F. Lippi. 2005. "Endogenous Monetary Policy with Unobserved Potential Output." *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 29(11): 1951–83.
- Demertzis, M. and N. Viegi. 2004. "The Dynamic Properties of Inflation Targeting under Uncertainty." Staff report 113. Amsterdam: De Nederlandsche Bank.
- Eggertsson, G.B. and M. Woodford. 2003. "The Zero Bound on Interest Rates and Optimal Monetary Policy." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2003(1): 139–233.
- Evans, G. and S. Honkapohja. 2001. *Learning and Expectations in Macroeconomics*. Princeton University Press.

- Faust, J. and D.W. Henderson. 2004. "Is Inflation Targeting Best-Practice Monetary Policy?" International finance discussion paper 807. Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Fischer, S. 1996. "Why Are Central Banks Pursuing Price Stability?" In *Achieving Price Stability*, edited by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, 7–34. Kansas City, Mo.
- . 2001. "Exchange Rate Regimes: Is the Bipolar View Correct?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 15(2): 3–24.
- Gaspar, V. and F. Smets. 2002. "Monetary Policy, Price Stability, and Output Gap Stabilization." *International Finance* 5(2): 193–211.
- Gaspar, V., F. Smets, and D. Vestin. 2006. "Adaptive Learning, Persistence, and Optimal Monetary Policy." Working paper 644. Frankfurt: European Central Bank.
- Gerali, A. and F. Lippi. 2002. "Optimal Control and Filtering in Linear Forward-Looking Economies." Rome: Bank of Italy.
- Giannoni, M.P. and M. Woodford. 2005. "Optimal Inflation Targeting Rules." In *The Inflation Targeting Debate*, edited by B.S. Bernanke and M. Woodford, 93–162. University of Chicago Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Gosselin, M.-A. 2006. "Central Bank Performance under Inflation Targeting." Ottawa: Bank of Canada.
- Gürkaynak, R.S., A.T. Levin, and E.T. Swanson. 2006. "Does Inflation Targeting Anchor Long-Run Inflation Expectations? Evidence from Long-Term Bond Yields in the U.S., U.K., and Sweden." Working paper 2006-04. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.
- Haldane, A., ed. 1995. *Targeting Inflation*. London: Bank of England.
- Hansen, L.P. and T.J. Sargent. Forthcoming. *Robust Control*. Princeton University Press.
- Hu, Y. 2003. "Empirical Investigations of Inflation Targeting." Working Paper 03-6. New York: Institute for International Economics.
- Huang, K.X.D. and Z. Liu, 2004. "Inflation Targeting: What Inflation to Target?" Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.
- Hyvonen, M. 2004. "Inflation Convergence Across Countries." Discussion paper 2004-04. Sydney: Reserve Bank of Australia.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2005. *World Economic Outlook*. Washington: International Monetary Fund.
- Johnson, D.R. 2002. "The Effect of Inflation Targeting on the Behavior of Expected Inflation: Evidence from an 11 Country Panel." *Journal of Monetary Economics* 49(8): 1493-519.
- . 2003. "The Effect of Inflation Targets on the Level of Expected Inflation in Five Countries." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 55(4): 1076-81.

- King, M.A. 1999. "Challenges to Monetary Policy: New and Old." In *New Challenges for Monetary Policy*, edited by the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Kansas City, Mo.
- Kydland, F.E. and E.C. Prescott. 1977. "Rules Rather Than Discretion: The Inconsistency of Optimal Plans." *Journal of Political Economy* 85(3): 473–91.
- Leiderman, L. and L. Svensson, eds. 1995. *Inflation Targets*. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research.
- Levin, A., F.M. Natalucci, and J. M. Piger. 2004. "The Macroeconomic Effects of Inflation Targeting." *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review* (July): 51–80.
- Loayza, N. and R. Soto, eds. 2002. *Inflation Targeting: Design, Performance, Challenges*. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Lowe, P., ed. 1997. *Monetary Policy and Inflation Targeting*. Sydney: Reserve Bank of Australia.
- McCallum, B.T. 1988. "Robustness Properties of a Rule for Monetary Policy." *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy* 29 (Autumn): 173–203.
- McCallum, B.T. and E. Nelson. 1999. "Nominal Income Targeting in an Open-Economy Optimizing Model." *Journal of Monetary Economics* 43(3): 553–78.
- Mishkin, F.S. 2006. "Monetary Policy Strategy: How Did We Get There?" Working paper 12515. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Mishkin, F.S. and K. Schmidt-Hebbel. 2002. "A Decade of Inflation Targeting in the World: What Do We Know and What Do We Need to Know?" In *Inflation Targeting: Design, Performance, Challenges*, edited by N. Loayza and R. Soto, 171–219. Santiago: Central Bank of Chile.
- Nessén, M. and D. Vestin. 2005. "Average Inflation Targeting." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 37(5): 837–63.
- Neumann, J.M. and J. von Hagen. 2002. "Does Inflation Targeting Matter?" *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review* (July/August): 127–48.
- Orphanides, A. and J. Williams. 2002. "Robust Monetary Policy Rules with Unknown Natural Rates." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2002(2): 63–118.
- . 2004a. "Imperfect Knowledge, Inflation Expectations, and Monetary Policy." In *The Inflation Targeting Debate*, edited by B. S. Bernanke and M. Woodford, 201–34. University of Chicago Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.

- . 2004b. “Robust Monetary Policy with Imperfect Knowledge.” Washington: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
- Reserve Bank of Australia. 2004. *The Future of Inflation Targeting*. Sydney.
- Roger, S. and M. Stone. 2005. “On Target? Inflation Performance in Inflation Targeting Countries.” Working paper 05/163. Washington: International Monetary Fund.
- Smets, F. 1999. “Output Gap Uncertainty: Does It Matter for the Taylor Rule?” *Monetary Policy under Uncertainty*, edited by B. Hunt and A. Orr. Wellington: Reserve Bank of New Zealand.
- Srouf, G. 1999. “Inflation Targeting under Uncertainty.” Technical report 85. Ottawa: Bank of Canada.
- Svensson, L.E.O. 1997. “Inflation Forecast Targeting: Implementing and Monitoring Inflation Targets.” *European Economic Review* 41(6): 1111–46.
- . 1999. “Price-Level Targeting versus Inflation Targeting.” *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 31(3): 277–95.
- . 2003. “Escaping from a Liquidity Trap and Deflation: The Foolproof Way and Others.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 17(4): 145–66.
- Truman, E.M. 2003. *Inflation Targeting in the World Economy*. New York: Institute for International Economics.
- Vega, M. and D. Winkelried. 2005. “Inflation Targeting and Inflation Behavior: A Successful Story?” *International Journal of Central Banking* 1(3): 153–75.
- Walsh, C. 2003. “Accountability, Transparency, and Inflation Targeting.” *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking* 35(5): 829–49.
- . 2004. “Parameter Misspecification with Optimal Targeting Rules and Endogenous Objectives.” University of California at Santa Cruz.
- . 2005. “Optimal Transparency under Flexible Inflation Targeting.” University of California at Santa Cruz.
- Woodford, M. 1993. *Interest and Prices*. Princeton University Press.
- . 2004. “Inflation Targeting and Optimal Monetary Policy.” *Review of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis* (July–August): 15–41.
- Yetman, J. 2005. “Discretionary Policy, Potential Output Uncertainty, and Optimal Learning.” Discussion paper 2005/07. Wellington: Reserve Bank of New Zealand.

**Documentos de Trabajo
Banco Central de Chile**

**Working Papers
Central Bank of Chile**

NÚMEROS ANTERIORES

PAST ISSUES

La serie de Documentos de Trabajo en versión PDF puede obtenerse gratis en la dirección electrónica: www.bcentral.cl/esp/estpub/estudios/dtbc. Existe la posibilidad de solicitar una copia impresa con un costo de \$500 si es dentro de Chile y US\$12 si es para fuera de Chile. Las solicitudes se pueden hacer por fax: (56-2) 6702231 o a través de correo electrónico: bcch@bcentral.cl.

Working Papers in PDF format can be downloaded free of charge from: www.bcentral.cl/eng/stdpub/studies/workingpaper. Printed versions can be ordered individually for US\$12 per copy (for orders inside Chile the charge is Ch\$500.) Orders can be placed by fax: (56-2) 6702231 or e-mail: bcch@bcentral.cl.

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| DTBC-395
The Backus-Smith Puzzle: The Role of Expectations
Luis Opazo | Diciembre 2006 |
| DTBC-394
Overshooting Meets Inflation Targeting
José De Gregorio y Eric Parrado | Diciembre 2006 |
| DTBC-393
The “Great Moderation” and the Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Chile
Cristina Betancour, José De Gregorio , y Juan Pablo Medina | Diciembre 2006 |
| DTBC-392
Regularidades Empíricas del Entorno Internacional de la Economía Chilena
Carlos García, Patricio Jaramillo, y Jorge Selaive | Diciembre 2006 |
| DTBC-391
Convergence and Long Run Uncertainty
Pablo Pincheira | Diciembre 2006 |
| DTBC-390
The Quest for Price Stability in Central America and the Dominican Republic
Luis Jácome y Eric Parrado | Diciembre 2006 |

DTBC-389	Diciembre 2006
¿Son Siempre las Universidades la Mejor Opción para un Título Profesional? Evidencia Chilena	
Patricio Meller y David Rappoport	
DTBC-388	Diciembre 2006
The Distribution of Assets, Debt and Income among Chilean Households	
Paulo Cox, Eric Parrado y Jaime Ruiz-Tagle	
DTBC-387	Diciembre 2006
Financial Integration without the Volatility	
Ricardo Caballero y Kevin Cowan	
DTBC-386	Diciembre 2006
La Información Contendida en los Movimientos de las Tasas <i>Forward</i> en Chile	
Mauricio Larraín y Fernando Parro	
DTBC-385	Diciembre 2006
<i>Spreads</i> Soberanos y Efecto Contagio	
Álvaro García y Valentina Paredes	
DTBC-384	Diciembre 2006
Actividad Especulativa y Precio del Cobre	
Patricio Jaramillo y Jorge Selaive	
DTBC-383	Diciembre 2006
Paths of Development, Specialization, and Natural Resources Abundance	
Roberto Álvarez y Rodrigo Fuentes	
DTBC-382	Diciembre 2006
Forecasting Canadian Time Series with the New-Keynesian Model	
Ali Dib, Mohamed Gammoudi y Kevin Moran	
DTBC-381	Diciembre 2006
An Estimated Stochastic General Equilibrium Model with Partial Dollarization: A Bayesian Approach	
Paul Castillo, Carlos Montoso y Vicente Tuesta	
DTBC-380	Diciembre 2006
Interpreting an Affine Term Structure Model for Chile	
Marcelo Ochoa	