

When a Composition Algebra is Barrelled?

JORGE BUSTAMANTE GONZÁLEZ AND RAÚL ESCOBEDO CONDE

*B. Univ. Autónoma de Puebla, FCFM, Avda. San Claudio y 14 sur,
CP 72570, Puebla, PUE, México, e-mail: jbusta fcfm.buap.mx*

(Research paper presented by J.M.F. Castillo)

AMS Subject Class. (1991): 46A08, 46H20, 54C10

Received April 24, 1997

In this paper, X and Y will denote completely regular Hausdorff spaces, $C(X)$ is the family of all real-valued continuous maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A composition algebra A_φ on X is given by a continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ in such a way that

$$A_\varphi = \{g \circ \varphi : g \in C(Y)\}.$$

All functional spaces are endowed with the compact-open topology.

Here we are concerned with the following question: given X , Y and φ , when A_φ is a barrelled space?, that is, when the barrels (closed absorbent absolutely convex sets) in A_φ are a base of neighborhoods of the null function? If $X = Y$ and φ is the identity map, this problem was solved independently by L. Nachbin and T. Shirota (see [1], Theorem 2.5.-1).

Recall that a subset $Q \subset Y$ is C-embedded (in Y) if every $f \in C(Q)$ can be extended to a function in $C(Y)$.

Given a family A of functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $Q \subset X$, Q is said to be A -bounding if for each $f \in A$, $f(Q)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . X is called a NS (Nachbin-Shirota) space if each $C(X)$ -bounding set is relatively compact.

A map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is semiproper if for each compact subset $H \subset Y$, there exists a compact subset $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi(K) = H \cap \varphi(X)$. Recall that $P \subset X$ is φ -saturated if $P = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(P))$ and φ is superproper if for each φ -saturated and A_φ -bounding subset $Q \subset X$, there exists a compact subset $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi(Q) \subset \varphi(K)$. We know that not all semiproper map are superproper and if $\varphi(X)$ is C-embedded in Y and is φ superproper, then φ is semiproper (see remark bellow).

Our main result state as follows:

THEOREM. *Let X and Y be completely regular Hausdorff spaces, $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map such that $\varphi(X)$ is C -embedded in Y . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) A_φ is barrelled;
- (ii) φ is a superproper map;
- (iii) $\varphi(X)$ is a NS space, $\varphi(X)$ is closed in Y and φ is semiproper.

Remark. Under the hypothesis of the theorem above define a homomorphism

$$A: C(Y) \rightarrow A_\varphi$$

by $Ag = g \circ \varphi$. J.G. Llavona and J.A. Jaramillo ([3], 1.19) proved that if X is Lindelöf, $\varphi(X)$ is C -embedded in Y and A_φ is barrelled, then $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is semiproper and $\varphi(X)$ is closed in Y . They also proved ([3], 1.13) that A is an open map if, and only if, $\varphi(X)$ is closed in Y and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is semiproper. We see the relevance of the Nachbin-Shirota condition by comparing this last result with the theorem above, because if we take $X = Y$ and φ the identity map then, of course, A is open, but $A_\varphi = C(X)$ need not to be barrelled.

The proof of (ii) implies (iii) is inspired in proposition 1.19 of [3]. The proof of (ii) implies (i) is a modification of the ideas used in [1] in order to prove the Nachbin-Shirota theorem.

Proof. [(i) implies (ii)] Fix a φ -saturated and A_φ -bounding subset $Q \subset X$. The set

$$V_Q = \{f \in A_\varphi: f(Q) \subset [-1, 1]\}$$

is a barrel (in A_φ). Then, there exist a compact set $K \subset X$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, such that

$$(1) \quad \{f \in A_\varphi: f(K) \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\} \subset V_Q \quad .$$

Therefore, $\varphi(Q) \subset \varphi(K)$. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that there exists $q_0 \in Q$ such that $\varphi(q_0) \notin \varphi(K)$, then there exists $g \in C(Y)$ such that

$$g(\varphi(K)) \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\varphi(q_0)) > 1.$$

Then, for $f = g \circ \varphi$, we have that $f \in A_\varphi$, $f(K) \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $f \notin V_Q$. This gives a contradiction with (1).

Thus, φ is a superproper map.

[(ii) implies (i)] Let V be a barrel in A_φ . For any subset $Q \subset X$ denote $V_Q = \{f \in A_\varphi : f(Q) \subset [-1, 1]\}$ and

$$Z_Q = \{f \in A_\varphi : Q \subset Z(f)\},$$

where $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$.

Following the proof of Nachbin-Shirota theorem in [1] pp. 94-96, it is not difficult to find some $d > 0$ such that $dV_X \subset V$ and $\frac{d}{2}V_S \subset V$ for every $S \subset X$ such that $Z_S \subset V$.

Now, for each $\lambda \in A_\varphi^*$ (the topological dual of A_φ) set $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda \circ A \in C(Y)^*$, that is $\hat{\lambda}(g) = \lambda(g \circ \varphi)$. Then $\hat{\lambda}$ is a continuous linear functional on $C(Y)$ with support $\text{Supp}(\hat{\lambda})$ (see [1], 2.4-8). Set $S_\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Supp}(\hat{\lambda}))$. It is easy to prove that S_λ is a φ -saturated set in X such that:

- (a) If $f \in A_\varphi$ and $S_\lambda \subset Z(f)$, then $\lambda(f) = 0$, and
- (b) If $Q \subset X$ and $\lambda(Z_Q) = \{0\}$, then $S_\lambda \subset Q$.

Let $V^\circ = \{\lambda \in A_\varphi^* : |\lambda(f)| \leq 1, f \in V\}$ be the polar of V and $K_V = \text{cl}_X(\bigcup_{\lambda \in V^\circ} S_\lambda)$, then $Z_{K_V} \subset V$ (we have used the bipolar theorem).

Let us prove that K_V is an A_φ -bounding set. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $f \in A_\varphi$ such that $f(K_V)$ is an unbounded set in \mathbb{R} . For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ set $U_n = \{x \in X : |f(x)| > n\}$. It is clear that $\{U_n\}$ is a non increasing family of open subsets of X such that $\bigcap_n U_n = \emptyset$. Moreover, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $K_V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$. Thus, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $\lambda_n \in V^\circ$ such that $U_n \cap S_{\lambda_n} \neq \emptyset$. Taking into account property (b) above, if $\lambda_n(Z_{X \setminus U_n}) = \{0\}$, then $S_{\lambda_n} \subset X \setminus U_n$, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $f_n \in A_\varphi$ such that $(X \setminus U_n) \subset Z(f_n)$ and $\lambda_n(f_n) \neq 0$.

There exists $g \in C(Y)$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. Set

$$T_n = \{y \in Y : |g(y)| \geq n\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $\varphi(S_{\lambda_m})$ is a compact set, then

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : T_n \cap \varphi(S_{\lambda_m}) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is a finite set. On the other hand, since $\varphi(\text{cl}_X U_n \cap S_{\lambda_m}) \subset T_n \cap \varphi(S_{\lambda_m})$, it holds that for $m = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{cl}_X U_n \cap S_{\lambda_m} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite set. Hence, for some subsequences which we still denote U_n and S_{λ_m} we may suppose that, for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $n > m$, $\text{cl}_X U_n \cap S_{\lambda_m} = \emptyset$. Fix a sequence of real numbers $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that

$$\lambda_m(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda_m(f_n) = \alpha_m + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \alpha_n \lambda_m(f_n) = m.$$

There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $f \in \alpha V$ (V is an absorbent set). Taking into account that $\lambda_m \in V^\circ$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} m = \lambda_m \left(\frac{f}{\alpha} \right) \geq 1, \text{ for } m \geq 1.$$

The inequality above gives a contradiction ($\alpha^{-1} f \in V$ and $\lambda_m \in V^\circ$). We have proved that K_V is an A_φ -bounding set.

Since K_V is a φ -saturated A_φ -bounding subset of V such that $\frac{d}{2} V_{K_V} \subset V$, then there exists a compact subset $H \subset X$, such that $\varphi(K_V) \subset \varphi(H)$. It follows that

$$\left\{ f \in A_\varphi : f(H) \subset \left[-\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2} \right] \right\} \subset \frac{d}{2} V_{K_V} \subset V.$$

Then, V is a neighborhood of zero in A_φ .

[(ii) implies (iii)] Denote by νY the Hewitt-Nachbin realcompactification of Y (see [2], 8). We can consider that $\varphi(X) \subset Y \subset \nu Y$. Set $M = \text{cl}_{\nu Y} \varphi(X)$. Since $\varphi(X)$ is C -embedded in Y , $M = \nu(\varphi(X))$. Set $\hat{\varphi} = i \circ \varphi$, where $i: \varphi(X) \rightarrow M$ is the natural embedding. Notice that φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ give the same saturated sets in X and that $A_\varphi = A_{\hat{\varphi}}$. Thus $\hat{\varphi}$ is a superproper map. Define $\hat{A}: C(M) \rightarrow A_\varphi = A_{\hat{\varphi}}$ by $\hat{A} = g \circ \hat{\varphi}$.

If $H \subset M$ is a compact set and

$$V_H = \{f \in C(M) : \sup_{x \in H} |f(x)| \leq 1\},$$

then $\hat{A}(V_H)$ is a barrel in $A_{\hat{\varphi}}$. Therefore, $\hat{A}(V_H)$ a neighborhood of zero in $A_{\hat{\varphi}}$ (see (ii) implies (i)). Thus, \hat{A} is an open map. Since φ is a continuous map, it is easy to prove that \hat{A} is continuous map. That is, \hat{A} is a topological isomorphism.

Now, we know that $\hat{\varphi}(X) = M$ and $\hat{\varphi}: X \rightarrow M$ is semiproper map (see [3], 1.14). Therefore, $\varphi(X)$ is closed in Y and φ is semiproper.

On the other hand, if $J \subset \varphi(X)$ is a $C(\varphi(X))$ -bounding set and $K = \varphi^{-1}(J)$, then K is a φ -saturated A_φ -bounding set. Therefore, there exists a

compact set $H \subset X$ such that $\varphi(K) \subset \varphi(H)$. Since φ is continuous map, $\varphi(H)$ is compact subset of Y . Taking into account that $\varphi(X)$ is closed in Y and $J \subset \varphi(H)$, then J is relatively compact subset of $\varphi(X)$.

The arguments above say that $\varphi(X)$ is a Nachbin-Shirota space.

[(iii) implies (ii)] Fix a φ -saturated and A_φ -bounding subset $Q \subset X$. Denote the closure of $\varphi(Q)$ in $\varphi(X)$ by H . Taking into account that $\varphi(X)$ is a Nachbin-Shirota space and $\varphi(Q)$ is a $C(\varphi(X))$ -bounding set, it follows by the Nachbin-Shirota's theorem that H is a compact subset of $\varphi(X)$. Therefore, H is a compact subset of Y . On the other hand, since φ is a semiproper map, then there exists a compact set $K \subset X$ such that $\varphi(K) = H \cap \varphi(X) = H$. Since $\varphi(Q) \subset H = \varphi(K)$, φ is a superproper map. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] BECKENSTEIN, E., NARICI, L. AND SUFFEL, C., "Topological Algebras", North Holland Math. Studies, 24, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [2] GILLMAN, L., JERISON, M., "Rings of Continuous Functions", Van Nostrand, New Jersey, 1960.
- [3] LLAVONA, J.G., JARAMILLO, J.A., Homomorphisms between algebras of continuous functions, *Can. J. Math.*, Vol. LXI (1) (1989), 132–162.