

QUID 2017, pp. 1568-1577, Special Issue N°1- ISSN: 1692-343X, Medellín-Colombia

METHODICAL ASPECTS OF INTERNAL AUDIT CONCERNING OBLIGATIONS OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

(Recibido el 19-05-2017. Aprobado el 24-09-2017)

Ilshat Railevich Safin

Kazan Federal University e-mail: ilshat.1994@mail.ru Firdaus Ilyasovna Kharisova

Kazan Federal University

Abstract.In current conditions of university functioning, there is a significant increase of interest in internal audit (IA), which contributes to the effectiveness of their activity increase. Internal audit is an important tool to evaluate the performance of institution key processes. The presence of internal control in a budgetary institution (a university) is a prerequisite for an effective activity in the educational sphere in order to prevent, detect and suppress financial offenses. The internal audit of obligations is one of the main ones in the overall process. The final result depends on a program drawing up and an internal audit performance. The methodological aspects of the internal audit performance concerning the obligations presented in the study make it possible to conduct a qualitative check as soon as possible and to evaluate the effectiveness of work concerning the obligations. The proposed methodology is a universal one for internal audit obligation performance at different educational institutions. The study focuses on the evaluation of obligation management effectiveness, not limited by the check of accounting aspect correctness. This is a breakthrough moment for this industry, since the process of such institutions check by internal auditors presupposes mainly the control concerning normative acts compliance, neglecting the evaluation of such operation efficiency at the present moment.

Key words: internal audit, international professional standards of internal audit, obligations, educational institutions, university.

1.INTRODUCTION

Budgetary institutions perform important functions in modern Russian economy (Fedorenko, 2010). At that, the issues of internal audit at budget institutions are developed poorly in specialized literature, which conditions the relevance of the research topic (Bergmann, 2016). When an audit is planned, it is necessary to formulate its goals and objectives, to draw up a plan and an audit program, to determine the composition of an audit team, the terms of an audit and the categories of materiality and risk.

The main objectives of the internal audit concerning the obligations of a budgetary institution is the check:

- of accounting and reporting information about obligation correctness;
- the reasonableness and the efficiency of funds spending during the performance of obligations (Fedorenko, 2010).

An important area of an internal audit concerning the obligations of a budgetary institution due to the importance and the frequency of manifestation is the verification of obligations for suppliers and contractors (Sharonina, 2012). These obligations are reflected in the account 030200000 "Settlements under the accepted obligations" within the accounting of a budgetary institution.

In order to achieve these goals in the internal audit of obligations for suppliers and contractors, it is necessary to check:

- the legality and the validity of calculations from the point of view of the budgetary process, including the quotations and tariffs;
- the completeness, the timeliness and the correctness of settlement reflection with transaction suppliers and contractors within accounting;
- the identity of accounting data and budgetary reporting;
- timeliness of obligation performance;

- the efficiency of obligation performance.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

The information base of obligation internal audit is the registers of accounting and the financial statements of an institution. An internal auditor should have access to all information that he needs for an effective internal audit.

The effectiveness of internal audit largely depends on the quality of planning: a plan and a program (*International Professional Standards for Internal Audit (Standards)*, 2016). During the development of a plan and an internal audit program, we suggest to use the list of standard procedures for the check of obligations to suppliers and contractors, presented in Table 1 and developed by us.

The progress of an audit and its results should be documented in the relevant working documents of an internal auditor, proving the fact of the necessary procedure quality performance (Brusca, 2016).

Based on the results of an audit, an internal auditor analyzes the information received and develops his opinion on the issues of the plan and the verification program, and also prepares written information for the management of an audited organization (Manes-Rossi, 2016).

An internal auditor, should collect audit evidences that confirm or do not confirm the prerequisites for the preparation of financial statements in accordance with International Audit Standard No. 315 (revised) "The identification and the assessment of material distortion risks through the study of an organization and its environment".

An internal auditor is obliged to take into account the qualitative aspect of materiality in order to determine whether the deviations from financial and business operations revealed in the course of the audit concerning the compliance with the requirements of the relevant normative acts acting in Russian Federation are significant ones (Robb & Newberry, 2007). Further, it is necessary to determine the quantitative characteristic of materiality, that is, the level of materiality.

Table 1

Program fragment of obligation internal audit

Customer: University

Audit assignment: the check and assessment of

obligation validity

Head of the Internal Audit Team: Ivanov I.I.

The composition of internal auditor group: Sidorov

V.A., Kochurov S.G., Tikhomirov G.A.

Internal audit period: 01.10.16-31.12.16

Internal audit term: 320 audit hours.

Audit risk: 5%

Materiality:

a) quality characteristics and compliance with regulatory documents, regular reports on the expenditure of budgetary funds.

b) quantitative characteristics - the level of materiality makes 1.3 million rubles.

The	Prerequ	Ter	Perfor	Checke	N
name	isites	ms	mer's	d	ot
of the	and	of	NSP	docume	e
procedu	inform	con		nts	
res for	ation	duct			
IA	prepara				
check	tion				
and					
their					
groups					
1. Verific	cation of c	alculati	on legali	ty and vali	dity
from the	point of vie	ew of th	e budget	process	
1.1. Ve	erification	of	budget	commitm	ents
complian	ce with 1	imits a	and estim	nates for r	non-
budgetary	activities				
1.1.1.	Presenc	01.0	Sidor	The	
Verific	e.	3.17	ov	accounti	
ation of	rights		V.A.	ng	
adopted	and			journal	
budgeta				of	
ry	obligati			budget	
obligati	ons,			organiz	

	1	1		
ons	comple			ation
(BO)	teness			(hereina
complia				fter
nce	(Identif			BO),
	ication			· ·
with	and			ВО
the				limit
limits	assess			accounti
	ment of			ng card
1.1.2.	· ·	01.0	Koch	The
	risks of			
Verific	materia	3.17	urov	account
ation of	1		S.G.	card of
adopted	*			budgeta
BO	misstat			ry
_	ement			assignm
complia	throug			-
nce	_			ents (for
with	h the			off-
approve	study of			budget
d cost	the			activitie
estimat				s), BO
	organiz			, · ·
e	ation			accounti
				ng log
1.2.	and its	02.0	Koch	The
Verific	environ	3.17	urov	card of
ation of	ment,	3.17	S.G.	means
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		S.U.	
accepte	2016)			and
d and				calculati
fulfilled				ons
moneta				account
ry				under
obligati				account
ons				s 302
complia				and
nce to				206, the
the set				card of
limits				BO
mints				-
				limit
				account
1.3.		02.0	Tikho	Card of
Verific		3.17	mirov	funds
ation of		/	G.A.	account
			U.A.	
accepte				accordi
d and				ng to
complet				account
ed BO				s 302
complia				and
_				
nce				206, an
with				accounti
the				ng card
estimat				for
es for				estimate
				d
non-				
budgeta				assignm
ry				ents
activitie				
S				
(NBA)				
11111111	1	l	1	

2. Verification of completeness, timeliness and correctness of accounting for the payments to suppliers and contractors in accounting

2.1.	Budget	obligation	check in	n accounting
------	--------	------------	----------	--------------

2.1. Budg	get obligati	on chec	k in acco	unting	
2.1.1.	Presenc	03.0	Tikho	BO	
Verific	e,	3.17	mirov	accounti	
ation of	rights		G.A.	ng log,	
the	and			contract	
complia	obligati			s,	
nce of	ons,			agreeme	
accepte	comple			nts,	
d BOs	teness			transacti	
with	(Identif			on log	
contract	ication			for the	
s,	and			settleme	
agreem	assess			nts with	
ents	ment of			supplier	
and	risks of			s and	
other	materia			contract	
docume	1. I				
nts	misstat			ors	
iits					
	ement				
	throug				
	h the				
	study of				
	the .				
	organiz				
	ation				
	and its				
	environ				
	ment,				
	ment, 2016)		~	7.0	
2.1.2.	ment, 2016) Accura	03.0	Sidor	ВО	
Reconc	ment, 2016) Accura cy,	03.0 3.17	ov	accounti	
Reconc iliation	ment, 2016) Accura			accounti ng log,	
Reconc iliation of BO	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness		ov	accounti	
Reconc iliation of BO and	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni		ov	accounti ng log,	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions		ov	accounti ng log, account	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l misstat		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l misstat ement		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l misstat ement throug		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness, recognitions (Identification and assess ment of risks of material limisstatement through the		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l misstat ement throug h the study of		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness, recognitions (Identification and assess ment of risks of material misstatement through the study of the		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness , recogni tions (Identif ication and assess ment of risks of materia l misstat ement throug h the study of the organiz		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	
Reconc iliation of BO and OFC data reflecte d in account ing	ment, 2016) Accura cy, fullness, recognitions (Identification and assess ment of risks of material misstatement through the organization		ov	accounti ng log, account stateme	

	ment,				
	2016)				
2.2. (7)	1 C	. 1	. 11 1	*1***	
2.2. Cnec 2.2.1.	k of accep				
Verific	Accura	03.0 3.17	Koch	The card of	
ation of	cy, fullness	3.17	urov S.G.	account	
complet	Tullicss		5.0.	of	
eness	recogni			means	
and	tions			and	
timelin	(Identif			calculati	
ess of	ication			ons	
accepte	and			under	
d	assess			the	
moneta	ment of			account	
ry	risks of			302, the	
obligati	materia			corresp	
ons by	<i>l</i>			onding	
primary docume	misstat			primary docume	
nts	ement			nts	
IIIS	throug h the			(invoice	
	study of			S,	
	the			certifica	
	organiz			tes of	
	ation			perform	
	and its			ed	
	environ			works,	
	ment,			etc.)	
2.2.2.	2016)	03.0	Koch	Card of	
Verific		3.17	urov	fund	
ation of			S.G.	accounti	
complia				ng and the	
nce concern				calculati	
ing				ons on	
accepte				account	
d				302 and	
moneta				206,	
ry				contract	
obligati				S	
ons for				(agreem	
advanc				ents),	
e navmen				primary docume	
paymen ts under				nts	
conclud				1110	
ed					
contract					
S					
(agree					
ments)					

				ry obligations
2.3.1.	Accura	04.0	Sidor	Card of
Check	cy,	3.17	ov	fund
of	fullness		V.A.	accounti
moneta	,			ng and
ry	recogni			the
obligati	tions			calculati
ons	(Identif			ons on
complet	ication			account
eness	and			302 and
and	assess			206,
				,
perform	ment of			contract
ance	risks of			S,
for	materia			agreeme
supplier	l			nts,
s and	misstat			waybills
contract	ement			, the
ors	throug			acts of
	h the			perform
	study of			ed
	the			works,
	organiz			etc.
2.3.2.	ation	04.0	Tikho	Card of
	and its		-	
Check		3.17	mirov	fund
of	environ		G.A.	accounti
moneta	ment,			ng and
ry	2016)			the
obligati				calculati
ons				ons on
fulfillm				account
ent				302 and
correct				206,
ness for				primary
supplier				docume
				nts
s and				IIIS
contract				
ors in				
account				
ing				
		account	ing data	and budgetary
reporting	identity			
3.1.	Accura	05.0	Ivano	ВО
Check	cy,	3.17	v I.I.	accounti
of	fullness			ng log,
account				report
ing and	recogni			on
-	tions			
reportin				accepte
g	(Identif			d BO. f.
complia	ication			050312
nce	and			8,
with	assess			accounti
accepte	ment of			ng
	risks of			registers
d BO			1	
d BO and				for
	materia l			for account

	ement			502.11
	throug			302.11
	h the			
	study of			
3.2.	the	06.0	Koch	ВО
Verific	organiz			
	ation	3.17	urov	accounti
ation of	and its		S.G.	ng log,
account				report
ing and	environ			on
reportin	ment,			accepte
g	2016)			d
complia				expendi
nce				ture
with				obligati
accepte				ons f.
d BO				050313
and the				8,
estimat				accounti
es				ng
accordi				registers
ng to				for
PD				account
				s 504,
				502.12
4. Verific	ation of ob	ligation	perform	ance timeline
4.1.	Accura	07.0	Ivano	Contrac
Verific	cy,	3.17	v I.I.	ts,
ation of	comple			agreeme
complia	teness,			nts,
nce	recogni			invoices
with	tions			and acts
the	(Identif			
terms	ication			
of	and			
obligati	assess			
on	ment of			
perform	risks of			
ance	materia			
4.3.	l	08.0	Ivano	Judicial
Verific	misstat	3.17	v I.I.	resoluti
ation of	ement			ns and
court	throug			orders,
decisio	h the			executiv
n and	study of			e lists,
executi	the			primary
ve	organiz			docume
, 0	ation			nts and
docume				
	and its			accounti
docume				ng
docume nt	and its			
docume nt executi	and its environ			ng
docume nt executi	and its environ ment,			ng
docume nt executi on	and its environ ment, 2016)	lligatior	ı perform	ng

	1	1	T	1	
actual				logs of	
use of				equipm	
goods				ent,	
(work,				premise	
service				s and	
results)				statistic	
in the				al	
activitie				reportin	
s of an				_	
instituti				g	
				operatio	
on -				n.	
effectiv				Calculat	
eness				ions of	
evaluati				inspecto	
on				rs	
5.2.4.		10.0	Tikho	Unfulfil	
Estimat		3.17	mirov	led	
ion of			G.A.	applicat	
possibl			J./ 1.	ions and	
-					
e				planned	
alternat				works,	
ive use				data	
of				from	
means -				question	
the				naires	
compar				and	
ative				surveys.	
evaluati				Calculat	
on of				ions of	
effectiv				inspecto	
eness				rs	
	aaaaamaant	of	an a aifi		tion
	ssessment			c obliga	
_	_		the effec	tiveness of	tne
	n operation		Τ	1	
5.3.1.	Accura	10.0	Koch	Calculat	
Evaluat	cy,	3.17	urov	ions of	
ion	comple		S.G.	inspecto	
based	teness			rs	
on	(Identif				
modeli	ication				
ng	and				
5.3.2.	assess	10.0	Koch	Calculat	
Expert		3.17		ions of	
	ment of	3.1/	urov		
evaluati	risks of		S.G.	inspecto	
on	materia			rs	
	l				
	misstat				
	ement				
	throug				
	h the				
	study of				
	the				
	organiz				
	ation				
		1			
	and ita				
	and its environ				

ment, 2016)		

Head of Internal Audit Service: signature (Safin I.R.)

Head of Internal Audit Team: signature (Ivanov I.I.)

An internal auditor needs to check whether the revealed deviations in sum and separately exceed the level of materiality (taking into account the predicted value of unmarked deviations).

During the evaluation of internal audit results, the detected errors and deviations should be evaluated in a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors.

The assessment of audit risk (AR) by components (household (inherent) risk (IR)), the risk of control devices (RC), the risk of non-detection (RND) is based on test results by factors, taking into account their positive and negative manifestations. The value of each risk component is defined as the ratio of negative factor number to the total number of factors for a given component. The dependence of audit risk value and its components is determined by the following formula

 $AP = BP \times PK \times PH$

During the calculation of materiality level, the AR value affects a range selection:

- The upper limit of the range is chosen at AR equal to 5%,
- The lower limit of the range is selected at AR more than 5%,.

Then let's determine the level of materiality (Table 2)

Table 2.Materiality level

Basic indicators	The value of the base indicat or at the end of the reporti ng period (rubles	Limits of indicator share range %	Indicator share value, %	Level obtaining value (round to integers)	The shar e of basic indic ator in the bala nce curre ncy, %	The value of material ity level for balance items (round to integres
1	2	3	4	5=(2 x4/10 0)	6	7
Non- financial assets	20 948 690	6- 1 0	1 0	2 094 869	37,5 2%	785 993
Capital	16 029 606	3- 5	5	801 480	14,3 5%	115 051
Financial assets	58 141 375	3- 6	6	3 488 483	62,4 8%	2 179 607
Settlements with debtors	25 532 646	3- 6	6	1 531 959	27,4 4%	420 339
Settlements for liabilities	18 258 630	2- 5	5	912 932	16,3 5%	149 273
Income	48 903 137	0, 5- 2	2	978 063	Х	X
Costs	31 407 156	2- 4	4	1 256 286	X	X
Total	X	X	X	11 064 071	х	X

Based on the results of the calculations presented in Table 2 and 3, the level of materiality makes 1,300,000 rubles. If you take 2% of the balance currency and income for calculation then 2% make 1 300 000 rubles on the average, as 2% of the balance currency make 1 600 000 rubles, and 1 000 000 rubles from income. For visual representation an internal auditor it is reasonable to apply the tabular method of importance level calculation for an internal auditor.

Calculation order	Value, rub.
1. Arithmetic mean of column 5	1 580 582
2. The smallest value of column 5	801 480
Deviation from the average index (p.2 - p.1) / p. 1 x 100	49,29%
3. Maximum value of column 5	3 488 483
Deviation from the average indicator (p.3 - p.1) / p. 1 x 100	120,71%
4. The decision was made concerning the exclusion from the calculation:	
- the minimum indicator (if it exceeds the average by more than 50%)	no
- the maximum indicator (if it exceeds the average by more than 50%)	yes
5. New arithmetic mean of column 5 after exclusion	1 262 598
6. The value to which the arithmetic mean is rounded (thousand rubles)	1 300 000
7. The difference between the value of the materiality level before and after rounding (p. 5 - p. 6)	-37 402
in percentage terms (p. 6 - p. 5) / p. 6 x 100% (should not exceed 20%)	2,88%
8. Accepted level of materiality, rub.	1 300 000

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Based on the results of the sample calculation, it is necessary to check every eighth business transaction associated with the occurrence, movement and repayment of obligations. It is necessary to pay attention to those operations that exceed the level of materiality. Such operations must be checked by all.

In order to achieve an ultimate goal (assessing the effectiveness of obligation settlement), an internal auditor must perform analytical inspection procedures of obligations (*The use of internal auditor work*, 2016). To do this, it is necessary to analyze a number of indicators, including the ratio of liability turnover.

The ratio of liability turnover shows how quickly the company pays its counterparties (Ponomareva & Melnikova, 2015).

The formula of turnover ratio calculation of accounts payable is the following one:

$$Ko6 = \frac{2 \times B}{(K3_H + K3_K)}$$

where $K_{o\delta}$ is the ratio of liability turnover,

B – income per year,

K₃_H - commitments at the beginning of the year,

 $K3_{\kappa}$ - commitments at the end of the year.

It is necessary to study this coefficient in dynamics for several periods, and also in comparison with the ratio of receivables turnover.

The repayment period is also called the period of obligation turnover. It shows how many days the organization pays its debts on the average.

In order to calculate the period for the repayment of obligations, the following formula is used:

$$\Pi \pi = \frac{365}{\text{Kof}}$$

where Π_n is the period of accounts payable repayment.

The coefficient of the company dependence on liabilities illustrates in which part of the enterprise the assets were financed by creditors. During the analysis of this coefficient, it is necessary to take into account industry specificity; what are the factors which formed such a dependence, whether it has a short-term character or not.

One can calculate the company dependence on liabilities using the following formula:

$$K3 = \frac{ДO + KO}{A}$$

where K_3 is an institution dependence ratio on its obligations,

ДО – Long-term liabilities,

KO - Short-term liabilities.

A - Assets or balance currency at the end of the period.

The coefficient of financial leverage or the self-financing ratio (also called the financial independence coefficient) of the institution shows the amount of debts repaid by an organization at the expense of its own capital.

$$KH = \frac{\Pi K}{CK}$$

Where K_H is the coefficient of financial leverage or independence,

CK - equity at the end of the period,

ΠK – attracted capital at the end of the period.

4.CONCLUSIONS

Commitments are valuable and play an important role in the provision of educational services. They should be seen as a liability affecting the solvency of the organization.

In order to assess the effectiveness of obligation management within the contractual term in the course of internal audit it is necessary to carry out its classification for certain periods.

The increase of liabilities indicates the deterioration in the financial condition of an organization and, as a consequence, the decrease of its solvency.

The proposed tools and the algorithms for internal audit of obligations management allow to improve the efficiency of an organization operation.

5.SUMMARY

Thus, an internal audit of commitment management should provide for a number of consecutive steps.

At the first stage, it is necessary to study the contracts with suppliers. At that an auditor should pay attention not only to the terms of contracts, but also to the economic feasibility of this type of contractual relationship.

At the second stage of the internal audit service, it is reasonable to take into account the legal features during the audit of obligations.

Having examined the terms of organization contractual relations with suppliers, an internal auditor needs to study the documentary execution of operations in the context of individual contracts.

When you perform internal audit of settlements with suppliers and contractors under sales and purchase contracts with deferred payment and prepayment, an auditor performs a formal and arithmetical verification of registration correctness and the filling in of primary accounting documents.

At the third stage of the audit, an internal auditor mutual verification of invoices. consignment notes, bank statements and supply contracts: the final and interim amounts in consignment notes, invoices and contracts must be the same. The column "Purpose of payment" in a bank statement must contain an account number, according to which a buyer made payment. An important aspect of mutual verification of such documents is the confirmation of conformity concerning the quality, the nomenclature, the quantity and the price of goods received and determined by the contract. If any discrepancies are detected, an internal auditor conducts a countercheck with a supplier by the request of a reconciliation statement.

At the fourth stage of the internal audit concerning the effectiveness of obligation management an internal auditor has to analyze the coefficients that led to the work, supplementing them with the consideration of industry specifics if necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

REFERENCES

"International Standard of Audit 315 (revised)

"Identification and assessment of risks of
material misstatement through the study of the
organization and its environment" (put into
effect on the territory of Russian Federation by
the Order of the Ministry of Finance of Russia
N 192n dated on 24.10.2016) Access mode:
http://www.consultant.ru/law/hotdocs/47856.ht

"International Standard of Audit 610 (revised 2013)
"The use of internal auditor work" (put into effect on the territory of Russian Federation by

- the Order of the Ministry of Finance No. 192n on October 24, 2016) Access mode: http://www.consultant.ru/law/hotdocs/47812.ht ml
- Bergmann A. Consolidation in the public sector: methods and approaches in Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development countries// International Review of Administrative Sciences 2016.- Vol. 82(4) pp.763–783.
- Brusca I. Adopting International Public Sector Accounting Standards: a challenge for modernizing and harmonizing public sector accounting// International Review of Administrative Sciences 2016. Vol. 82(4) pp.724–744.
- Fedorenko. I.V., L.M. Zasypkina. Audit of budgetary institution obligations // Accounting № 12. December 2010 pp. 106-111
- International Professional Standards for Internal Audit (Standards). Updated version (2016). Effective on 01/01/2017 (Translation into Russian, the version of December 27, 2016) Access mode: https://www.iiaru.ru/contact/Standardsrus%202016%20IIA%2027122016.pdf
- Manes-Rossi F. Introduction: The Gordian knot of public sector accounting and the role of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards// International Review of Administrative Sciences 2016. Vol. 82(4) pp. 718–723.
- Ponomareva S.V., MelnikovaA. S. Financial Instruments Reflected by Organizations in Accordance with International Standards of Financial Accounting of Public Sector// Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences MCSER Publishing, Rome-Italy May 2015. Vol 6 No 3 S3 pp. 213-222.
- RobbA., NewberryS. Globalization: governmental accounting and International Financial Reporting Standards// Socio-Economic Review 2007. Vol.5 pp. 725–754.
- Sharonina L.V. Internal audit as the tool for monitoring and the check of quality management system efficiency at a university // System analysis in design and management. The proceedings of the 16th Int. sci. pract. conf. -

SPb.: Publishing house of Polytechnic university, 2012.