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#### Abstract

In this work, $g w$-prime submodules of a module over a commutative ring with identity are defined. This class of submodules is a generalization of weakly prime submodules. After examining general properties of $g w$-prime submodules, their relation with valuation modules are investigated.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. A submodule $P$ of $M$ is said to be prime if whenever $a m \in P$ for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, either $m \in P$ or $a M \subseteq P$. In recent years, several generalizations of prime submodules are obtained, see $[1,6,7,11,13]$. Among those generalizations, the concept of weakly prime submodules distinguishes itself with its useful properties. Weakly prime submodules are first introduced by Behboodi and Koohy in [6] and since then studied extensively by many authors as $[2,3,5]$. A submodule $N$ of an $R$-module $M$ is called a weakly prime submodule if for every subset $K$ of $M$ and $x, y \in R$ the inclusion

[^0]$x y K \subseteq N$ implies $x K \subseteq N$ or $y K \subseteq N$. For a generalization of weakly prime submodules the reader is referred to [12], in which weakly prime submodules are mentioned as classical prime submodules.

In this paper, we define $g w$-prime submodules as a generalization of weakly prime submodules. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be a $g w$-prime module if whenever $a b K=0$ for $a, b \in R$ and $K$ a submodule of $M$, either $a^{2} K=0$ or $b^{2} K=0$ holds. A submodule $N$ of $M$ is a $g w$-prime submodule if $M / N$ is a $g w$-prime $R$-module. This definition can be interpreted as such: A submodule $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule if and only if for each $a, b \in R$ and each submodule $K$ of $M$, the inclusion $a b K \subseteq N$ implies $a^{2} K \subseteq N$ or $b^{2} K \subseteq N$.

In Section 2, the concepts of $g w$-prime module and submodule are introduced and their general properties are investigated. In Corollary 2.3, we give a further equivalent condition for being a $g w$-prime submodule. Namely, a submodule $N$ of $M$ is a $g w$-prime submodule if and only if for every $m \in M$ and $a, b \in R$ the inclusion $a b m \in N$ implies $a^{2} m \in N$ or $b^{2} m \in N$. Moreover, the behaviour of $g w$-prime submodules under localization and taking direct products are studied.

In Section 3, the relation between $g w$-prime submodules and valuation modules is examined. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be torsion free if for each $0 \neq m \in M$ and $r \in R$, the equation $r m=0$ implies $r$ to be a zero-divisor. Let $R$ be an integral domain with quotient field $K$ and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-module. For $y=\frac{r}{s} \in K$ and $x \in M$, write $y x \in M$ if there exists $m \in M$ such that $r x=s m$. The module $M$ is called a valuation module in [10], if for each $y \in K$, either $y M \subseteq M$ or $y^{-1} M \subseteq M$ holds. In Theorem 3.2, a sufficient condition is given for a torsion-free module over an integral domain to be a valuation module. Namely, if every principal submodule of a torsion-free module over an integral domain is $g w$-prime, then the module is a valuation module. The question when a converse is possible is asked and answered in affirmative in the cases of torsion-free uniserial modules and multiplication modules. Following [10], an $R$-module $M$ is called uniserial if its submodules are totally ordered by inclusion. In Proposition 3.4, it is shown that every submodule of a torsionfree uniserial module is $g w$-prime. An $R$-module $M$ is called a multiplication module if each submodule $N$ of $M$ is of the form $I M$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$, see [8]. In Corollary 3.6, valuation multiplication modules are characterized in terms of $g w$-prime submodules.

In Section 4, $g w$-prime submodules of the $R$-module $R$ are investigated and a characterization of valuation rings is acquired.

## 2. $g w$-prime submodules

In this section we define $g w$-prime modules and submodules, and examine some of their general properties.

Definition 2.1. An $R$-module $M$ is called a $g w$-prime module if whenever $a b K=0$ for $a, b \in R$ and $K$ a submodule of $M$, either $a^{2} K=0$ or $b^{2} K=0$
holds. A submodule $N$ of $M$ is called a $g w$-prime submodule if $M / N$ is a $g w$-prime $R$-module.

Proposition 2.2. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. $M$ is a gw-prime module if and only if for each $m \in M$ and $a, b \in R$ the equation abm $=0$ implies $a^{2} m=0$ or $b^{2} m=0$.

Proof. The necessity part is clear. For the sufficiency part, let $K$ be a submodule of $M$ and $a, b \in R$ satisfying $a b K=0$. Then for each $k \in K$, we have $a b k=0$. By assumption, for each $k \in K$ we have either $a^{2} k=0$ or $b^{2} k=0$. Set

$$
A=\bigcup_{\substack{k \in K \\ a^{2} k=0}}\{k\} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\bigcup_{\substack{k \in K \\ b^{2} k=0}}\{k\}
$$

Observe that $A$ and $B$ are submodules of $M$ and $K=A \cup B$. Then either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$ must hold. Without loss of generality, assume $A \subseteq B$. Then $K=B$, and so, for each $k \in K$ the equality $b^{2} k=0$ holds. Thus we obtain $b^{2} K=0$.

Observe that a submodule $N$ of $M$ is a $g w$-prime submodule if and only if for each $a, b \in R$ and each submodule $K$ of $M$, the inclusion $a b K \subseteq N$ implies $a^{2} K \subseteq N$ or $b^{2} K \subseteq N$. As a corollary to the preceding proposition we equipped with one more equivalent condition for being a $g w$-prime submodule.

Corollary 2.3. Let $M$ be an $R$ module and $N$ a submodule of $M$. Then $N$ is a gw-prime submodule if and only if for each $m \in M$ and each $a, b \in R$, abm $\in N$ implies $a^{2} m \in N$ or $b^{2} m \in N$.

Throughout the text, the preceding statement and the formal definition of $g w-$ prime submodule will be used interchangeably.

Following [6], a submodule $N$ of an $R$-module $M$ is called a weakly prime submodule if for every subset $K$ of $M$ and $x, y \in R$ the inclusion $x y K \subseteq N$ implies $x K \subseteq N$ or $y K \subseteq N$. We note that every weakly prime submodule is a $g w$-prime submodule.

Now, we give some general properties of $g w$-prime submodules.
Proposition 2.4. Let $N$ be a submodule of an $R$-module $M$.
i. If $N$ is a gw-prime submodule, then $\operatorname{rad}((N: M))$ is a prime ideal.
ii. If $\phi: K \rightarrow M$ is an $R$-module homomorphism and $N$ is a gw-prime submodule, then $\phi^{-1}(N)$ is a gw-prime submodule of $K$.
iii. If $\phi: M \rightarrow K$ is an $R$-module epimorphism and $N$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M$ containing Kerf, then $\phi(N)$ is a gw-prime submodule of $K$.
iv. If $N$ is a gw-prime submodule and $K$ is a submodule of $M$ contained in $N$, then $N / K$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M / K$.
v. If $K^{\prime}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M / N$ then $K^{\prime}=K / N$ for some gw-prime submodule $K$ of $M$.

Proof. i. Assume that $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule. Let $x, y \in R$ satsifying $x y \in \operatorname{rad}((N: M))$. Then we have $x^{n} y^{n} M \subseteq N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $N$ is $g w$-prime, either $x^{2 n} M \subseteq N$ or $y^{2 n} M \subseteq N$. That means $x \in \operatorname{rad}((N: M))$ or $y \in \operatorname{rad}((N: M))$. Hence $\operatorname{rad}((N: M))$ is a prime ideal.
ii. Let $x, y \in R$ and $k \in K$ such that $x y k \in \phi^{-1}(N)$. Then $\phi(x y k) \in N$. Since $\phi$ is an $R$-module homomorphism, we have $x y \phi(k) \in N$. Since $N$ is $g w$-prime we obtain $x^{2} \phi(k) \in N$ or $y^{2} \phi(k) \in N$. This implies $x^{2} k \in \phi^{-1}(N)$ or $y^{2} k \in \phi^{-1}(N)$. Hence $\phi^{-1}(N)$ is a $g w$-prime submodule.
iii. Let $x, y \in R$ and $k$ be an element of $K$ such that $x y k \in \phi(N)$. Then, there exists $n \in N$ satsifying $\phi(n)=x y k$. Since $\phi$ is an epimorphism, there exists $m \in M$ such that $\phi(m)=k$. Then, we have $\phi(x y m)=\phi(n)$. This implies $n-x y m \in \operatorname{ker} f \subseteq N$. Thus, we obtain $x y m \in N$. Since $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule, either $x^{2} m \in N$ or $y^{2} m \in N$. Therefore, we get $x^{2} k=$ $x^{2} \phi(m)=\phi\left(x^{2} m\right) \in \phi(N)$ or $y^{2} k \in \phi(N)$.
iv. and v. follow from part (ii) and (iii) with the natural epimorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M / N$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ a submodule of $M$. Let $S$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. If $N$ is a gw-prime submodule, then $S^{-1} N$ is a gw-prime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.

Proof. Suppose that $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule and $S$ a multiplicatively closed subset of $R$. Let $\frac{a}{s}, \frac{b}{t} \in S^{-1} R$ and $\frac{m}{u} \in S^{-1} M$ satisfying $\frac{a}{s} \frac{b}{t} \frac{m}{u} \in S^{-1} N$. Then there is an $n \in N$ and $y, z \in S$ such that $y z a b m-y s t u n=0$. This implies $y z a b m \in N$. Since $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule either $y^{2} z^{2} a^{2} m \in N$ or $b^{2} m \in N$. In the former case we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{2} \frac{m}{u}=\frac{y^{2} z^{2} a^{2} m}{y^{2} z^{2} s^{2} u} \in S^{-1} N
$$

and in the latter case we get

$$
\left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^{2} \frac{m}{u}=\frac{b^{2} m}{t^{2} u} \in S^{-1} N
$$

Thus, we conclude that $S^{-1} N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $S^{-1} M$.
Recall that a submodule $N$ of an $R$-module $M$ is said to be primary if whenever $r m \in N$ either $m \in N$ or $r^{n} \in(N: M)$. In this case $P=(N: M)$ is a prime ideal of $R$ and $N$ is called $P$-primary. The previous proposition has a converse for primary submodules.

Corollary 2.6. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ a $P$-primary submodule of $M$ for a prime ideal $P$ of $R$. Then $N$ is a gw-prime submodule if and only if $N M_{P}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{P}$.

Proof. Necessity part follows from Proposition 2.5. For the sufficiency, assume that $N M_{P}$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M_{P}$. Since $N$ is $P$-primary we have $N M_{P} \cap M=N$. Then, applying Proposition 2.4(ii) with the homomorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M_{P}$, we get $N g w$-prime.

In the following results we investigate $g w$-prime property for direct products of modules.

Proposition 2.7. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be $R$-modules and $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ proper submodules of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.
i. $N=N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ if and only if $N_{1}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{1}$.
ii. If $N=N_{1} \times N_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ then $N_{1}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii): Apply Proposition 2.4(ii), (iii) with the natural projection homomorphisms $\pi_{1}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$.

Proposition 2.8. Let $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$ and $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ where $M_{1}$ is an $R_{1}$ module and $M_{2}$ is an $R_{2}$-module. Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be proper submodules of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.
i. $N=N_{1} \times M_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M$ if and only if $N_{1}$ is a gwprime submodule of $M_{1}$.
ii. If $N=N_{1} \times N_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M$ then $N_{1}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is a gw-prime submodule of $M_{2}$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M$. Let $a, b \in R_{1}$ and $m \in M_{1}$ such that $a b m \in N_{1}$. Then $(a, 1)(b, 1)(m, 0)=(a b m, 0) \in N$ and hence $\left(a^{2} m, 0\right) \in N$ or $\left(b^{2} m, 0\right) \in N$. This implies $a^{2} m \in N_{1}$ or $b^{2} m \in N_{1}$. Therefore $N_{1}$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$. Conversely assume that $N_{1}$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M_{1}$. Let $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in R$ and $(n, m) \in M$ satisfying $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)(n, m) \in N$. Then $a_{1} b_{1} n \in N_{1}$. Since $N_{1}$ is $g w$-prime, $a_{1}^{2} n \in N_{1}$ or $b_{1}^{2} n \in N_{1}$. This implies $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)^{2}(n, m) \in N$ or $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)^{2}(n, m) \in N$. Thus $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M$.
(ii) Assume that $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M$. Let $a, b \in R_{1}$ and $m \in M_{1}$ such that $a b m \in N_{1}$. Then $(a b m, 0)=(a, 1)(b, 1)(m, 0) \in N$. Since $N$ is $g w$-prime $\left(a^{2} m, 0\right)=(a, 1)^{2}(m, 0) \in N$ or $\left(b^{2} m, 0\right)=(b, 1)^{2}(m, 0) \in N$. Therefore $a^{2} m \in N_{1}$ or $b^{2} m \in N_{1}$. The assertion for $N_{2}$ follows similarly.

## 3. $g w$-Prime Submodules and Valuation Modules

In this section, we investigate the relation between $g w$-prime submodules and valuation modules.

Recall that a submodule $N$ of am module $M$ is said to be principal if $N=R m$ for some $m \in M$. The following proposition shows that to decide whether all submodules of a given module are $g w$-prime or not, it is enough to examine only the principal submodules.

Proposition 3.1. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then every principal submodule of $M$ is gw-prime if and only if every submodule of $M$ is gw-prime.

Proof. Assume that every principal submodule of $M$ is $g w$-prime. Let $N$ be a submodule of $M$ and $x y m \in N$ for $x, y \in R$ and $m \in M$. Then $x y m=n$ for some $n \in N$, and hence $x y m \in R n$. Since $R n$ is $g w$-prime, either $x^{2} m \in R n \subseteq$ $N$ or $y^{2} m \in R n \subseteq N$. Thus, $N$ is a $g w$-prime submodule. The other part is clear.

In [10], valuation modules over integral domains are introduced. Let $R$ be an integral domain with quotient field $K$ and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-module. For $y=\frac{r}{s} \in K$ and $x \in M$, write $y x \in M$ if there exists $m \in M$ such that $r x=s m$. Then $M$ is a valuation $R$-module if for each $y \in K$, one of the inclusions $y M \subseteq M$ and $y^{-1} M \subseteq M$ holds.

Theorem 3.2. Let $R$ be an integral domain and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-module. If every principal submodule of $M$ is gw-prime, then $M$ is a valuation module.

Proof. Let $K$ be the quotient field of $R$ and $y=\frac{a}{b} \in K$. For $m \in M$, assume that $y m \notin M$. Then for all $n \in M$, we have $a m \neq b n$. The set $L=R a b m$ is a principal, hence $g w$-prime submodule. Then $R a^{2} m \subseteq L$ or $R b^{2} m \subseteq L$. If $R a^{2} m \subseteq L$, then there exists $c \in R$ such that $a^{2} m=c a b m$. Since $M$ is torsion-free and $R$ is an integral domain, we get $a m=c b m$, a contradiction. Therefore, the inclusion $R b^{2} m \subseteq L$ must hold. Then, we have $b=r a$ for some $r \in R$. Thus, $y^{-1}=\frac{b}{a}=r$ and hence $y^{-1} M=r M \subseteq M$.

The converse of Theorem 3.2 is not true in general as can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.3. Let $M$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, where $p$ is a prime number and $Z_{(p)}=\left\{\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}: p\right.$ does not divide $\left.b\right\}$. For $y=\frac{r}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $(r, q)=1$ and $m=\frac{a}{b} \in M$, if $y m \notin M$ then $p$ divides $q$. Since $(r, q)=1$ we have $p$ not divide $r$. Hence $y^{-1} M \subseteq M$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M$ is a valuation module. Observe that $\left(\frac{6}{1}\right)$ is a submodule of $M$, however, it is not $g w$-prime since $2.3\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) \subseteq\left(\frac{6}{1}\right)$ and neither $4\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) \subseteq\left(\frac{6}{1}\right)$ nor $9\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) \subseteq\left(\frac{6}{1}\right)$. Hence $\left(\frac{6}{1}\right)$ is not a $g w$-prime submodule.

Now, we are to investigate when we could obtain a converse for Theorem 3.2. The following propositions shows that if the set of submodules of a module is totally ordered by inclusion, then every submodule is $g w$-prime. Following [9], an $R$-module $M$ is called uniserial if its submodules are totally ordered by inclusion. Note that a torsion-free uniserial module is a valuation module, see [10].

Proposition 3.4. If $M$ is a torsion-free uniserial $R$-module then every submodule of $M$ is gw-prime.

Proof. Let $L$ and $N$ be submodules of $M$ and $x, y \in R$ such that $x y L \subseteq N$. Assume $x^{2} L \nsubseteq N$. Since $M$ is uniserial we have $N \subseteq x^{2} L$. Then $x y L \subseteq x^{2} L$. Since $M$ is torsion-free we have $y L \subseteq x L$. Thus $y^{2} L \subseteq x y L \subseteq N$.

An $R$-module $M$ is called a multiplication module if each submodule $N$ of $M$ is of the form $I M$ for some ideal $I$ of $R$, see [8]. It is proved in [10, 2.7] that the set of submodules of a valuation multiplication module are totally ordered by inclusion. Thus as a corollary to the preceding proposition, we observe in the following results that a converse for Theorem 3.2 is possible in the case of multiplication modules.

Corollary 3.5. If $M$ is a valuation multiplication $R$-module, then every submodule of $M$ is gw-prime.

As a result, we have the following corollary characterizing valuation multiplication modules over an integral domain.

Corollary 3.6. Let $M$ be a torsion-free multiplication module over an integral domain $R$. The following are equivalent:
i. $M$ is a valuation module.
ii. Every submodule of $M$ is gw-prime.
iii. Every principal submodule of $M$ is gw-prime.

The following proposition shows that a principal submodule which is a valuation module in its own right must be a $g w$-prime submodule.

Proposition 3.7. Let $R$ be an integral domain and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-module. Let $m \in M$. If $R m$ is a valuation $R$-module, then it is a gw-prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Let $m \in M$ and assume that $R m$ is a valuation $R$-module. For $a, b \in R$ and $k \in M$, suppose that $a b k \in R m$. Then $a b k=s m$ for some $s \in R$. Set $y=\frac{a}{b} \in K$ where $K$ is the quotient field of $R$. Then $y m \in R m$ or $y^{-1} R m \subseteq R m$. The latter inclusion implies that $y^{-1} m \in R m$. Therefore, we obtain $a^{2} k=y a b k=y s m \in R m$ or $b^{2} k=y^{-1} a b k=y^{-1} s m \in R m$. Hence $R m$ is a $g w$-prime submodule of $M$.

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. Let $R$ be an integral domain and $M$ a torsion-free $R$-module. If every principal submodule of $M$ is a valuation $R$-module, then $M$ is a valuation module.

## 4. Application of $g w$-Prime Structure to Rings

In this section we define $g w$-prime ideal in a ring $R$ which corresponds to $g w$ prime submodule in the $R$-module $R$.

Definition 4.1. An ideal $I$ of $R$ is called a $g w$-prime ideal if for any $a, b, c \in R$, whenever $a b c \in I$ either $a^{2} c \in I$ or $b^{2} c \in I$.

We note that ring theoretic analogues of the above results are valid for $g w$ prime ideals. For example, $g w$-prime ideals have prime radicals, and $g w$-prime property is preserved under ring homomorphisms, preimages of ring homomorphisms, localizations and direct sums. In addition, interesting results arise in the case of valuation domains. As a corollary to Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, we have the following characterization of valuation rings.

Theorem 4.2. Let $R$ be an integral domain. The following are equivalent:
i. $R$ is a valuation ring.
ii. Every principal ideal of $R$ is gw-prime.
iii. Every ideal of $R$ is gw-prime.

In [4], a similar characterization of valuation rings is obtained by using 2prime ideals. An ideal $I$ of a ring $R$ is said to be a 2 -prime ideal if for $a, b \in R$ whenever $a b \in I$ either $a^{2} \in I$ or $b^{2} \in I$ holds. Observe that every $g w$-prime ideal is a 2-prime ideal. However the converse is not true in general as the following example shows:

Example 4.3. In [4, 4.8], it is shown that $I=\left(x^{4}, x^{2} y, x y^{2}, y^{4}\right)$ is a 2-prime ideal of the ring $R=k[x, y]$. However, it is not a $g w$-prime ideal since

$$
x y(x+y)=x^{2} y+x y^{2} \in I
$$

but neither $x^{2}(x+y)=x^{3}+x^{2} y$ nor $y^{2}(x+y)=x y^{2}+y^{3}$ is in $I$.
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