

opción

Revista de Antropología, Ciencias de la Comunicación y de la Información, Filosofía,
Lingüística y Semiótica, Problemas del Desarrollo, la Ciencia y la Tecnología

Año 34, 2018, Especial N°

17

Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales

ISSN 1012-1587/ ISSNe: 2477-9385

Depósito Legal pp 198402ZU45



Universidad del Zulia
Facultad Experimental de Ciencias
Departamento de Ciencias Humanas
Maracaibo - Venezuela

Approaches for Measuring Human Capital and Its Effect on Economic Growth in (MENA) Region: A Panel Data Analysis

Fathy E. Y. Abdelmajied*

Kazan Federal University, institute of management,
Economics, and Finance
global@ores.su

Lenar N. Safijllin

Kazan Federal University, institute of management,
Economics, and Finance
russia@prescopus.com

Abstract

Human capital is a key factor for growth process and competitiveness. This link operates through multiple pathways at the individual, firm and national level. The human capital (education, health) has effects on economic growth through at least three channels: first, it increases in the labor productivity, which leads to increase the output; second, the increase in the productivity leads to high demand for labor therefor output rises because of the number of employed workers increases; third, it leads to an increase in human capital stock attracts physical capital from other countries (foreign investment). Therefore, the main objective of this article is to highlight the approaches of human capital measures and examine the relationship between human capital and GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in a panel of 15 countries in the MENA region covering from 2008 to 2016 via we apply the latest panel data techniques based on the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS). Findings of panel unit root show that all the variables are stationary of the level and first order. In conclusion, one of the greatest benefits of education and health in the MENA region is GDP per capita.

Keywords: Human Capital, Panel Data, MENA.

Enfoques para medir el capital humano y su efecto sobre el crecimiento económico en la región (MENA): un análisis de datos de panel

Resumen

El capital humano es un factor clave para el proceso de crecimiento y la competitividad. Este enlace opera a través de múltiples vías a nivel individual, empresarial y nacional. El capital humano (educación, salud) tiene efectos sobre el crecimiento económico a través de al menos tres canales: primero, aumenta la productividad laboral, lo que lleva a aumentar la producción; en segundo lugar, el aumento de la productividad conduce a una alta demanda de mano de obra, por lo que aumenta la producción debido a la cantidad de trabajadores empleados aumenta; tercero, conduce a un aumento en el capital humano que atrae capital físico de otros países (inversión extranjera). Por lo tanto, el objetivo principal de este artículo es resaltar los enfoques de las medidas de capital humano y examinar la relación entre el capital humano y el PIB per cápita. (\$ 2010 constantes) en un panel de 15 países en la región MENA que abarca desde 2008 hasta 2016 a través de las últimas técnicas de datos de panel basadas en los mínimos cuadrados ordinarios (OLS). Los hallazgos de la raíz de la unidad del panel muestran que todas las variables son estacionarias del nivel y primer orden. En conclusión, uno de los mayores beneficios de la educación y la salud en la región MENA es el PIB per cápita.

Palabras clave: Capital Humano, Panel de Datos, MENA.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a large body of literature that has revealed that human capital is one of the most important factors of the growth process, based on cross-section data also there are various studies investigated human capital-economic growth linkages through using Time series data. The literature found the human capital has effects on economic growth through at least three channels: first, it increases in the labor productivity, which leads to increase the output; second, the increase in productivity leads to a high demand for labor therefor output rises because of the number of employed workers increases; third, it

leads to an increase in human capital stock attracts physical capital from other countries (foreign investment) (Appleton et al., 1996).

Based on the literature review, the human capital's effect on the economic growth has been debated since 1980 in the endogenous growth models which developed by (Emrah, 2012). From this time until now, the economists have carried out series of empirical studies suggest that human capital plays a positive and significant role towards economic process and that large education gaps indicate negative long-run impacts. Similarly, Kokkinen (2010) studied health and schooling investments in Africa, this study deduced there was not a relationship between investments in human capital and regional economic growth from inter country regressions. Another analyze the linkage between human capital, growth, and brain drain in 77 countries using panel data. They observed that generally slows down growth in less developed countries including those in Africa. The result also shows that a positively related to human capital in virtually all the 77 countries. However, Elena (2014) suggested an empirical study should allow other important determinants of human capital such as the quality of education, the experience of the workforce, and the health of the population.

The main objective of this study is to highlight the role of human capital (education, health, labor market) on GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in MENA region countries through 2008 to 2016 by using Panel data model. This paper is organized as follows: The

next section presents a literature review for human capital; Section 3 presents the empirical literature of human capital; Section 4 estimates the Impact of Human Capital on GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in MENA region; Section 4 concludes (Laroche, 1999).

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Model Specification and data sources

In methodological terms, we apply the latest panel data techniques based on the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), there are many studies use the same variables such as, Kokkinen (2010), through 1976–2005 applied in 79 countries, using Panel Data estimation, where GDP growth rate per capita, Human Capital: Primary and Secondary enrollment rate, Physical Capital: Investment in physical capital (% GDP) Institutions: Economic Freedom index, Political Rights and Civil Liberties index. Also, Mauro through the period (1960–1985), applied in 58 countries excluding oil exporters, he used Cross-section approach, the proxy of GDP per capita growth (1960–1985), and Human Capital: Primary and Secondary enrollment rates (1960) PIB Initial GDP: Real GDP per capita real in 1960, Physical Capital: Investment in physical capital (% GDP), Public Expenditures: Real public consumption (%GDP), Institutions: Corruption Index; Bureaucratic Efficiency Ratio; Political Instability Index.

The most methodological problem is to choose the proxy indicator used to measure human capital since the amount of influence is affected by the indicator chosen for this purpose. The paper applies the latest panel data techniques based on the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS). Our hypothesis is that there is a (positive) effect for education which uses a proxy for human capital on economic growth. Also, there is a significant effect for health in the GDP per capita, we assume that labor market highly correlation with GDP per capita. Thus, we examine the empirical relationship between human capital and real GDP per capita in a panel of the 15 MENA countries covers 2008 through 2016. Specifically, we consider the following empirical model: or which can be formulated as follows (Treadgold, 2000):

$$Y_{it} = \beta_{i1} + \beta_{it}SEP_{it} + \beta_{it}SEP_{it} + \beta_{it}SET_{it} - \beta_{it}MR_{it} \\ - \beta_{it}LEB_{it} + \beta_{it}LPR_{it} + \beta_{it}UNR_{it} \\ - \beta_{it}HTR_{it} + \beta_{it}CPGDP_{it} - \beta_{it}FCGDP_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where, Y is GDP per capita (constant 2010 US\$), which is indicator reflecting regional price levels as a proxy for economic growth. SEP is Registration in primary or School enrollment, primary (% gross), SEP is Registration in secondary or School enrollment, secondary (% gross), SET is Registration in the territory or School enrollment, tertiary (% gross); where SEP, SEP, SET as a proxy for education. MR is Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births), LEB is Life expectancy at birth, total (years) as a proxy for health. LPR is Labor force participation rate, total (% of total

population ages 15+) (modeled ILO estimate), UNR is Unemployment, total (% of total labor force) (modeled ILO estimate), HTR is High-technology exports (% of manufactured exports) as a proxy for the labor market. CPGDP is Gross capital formation (% of GDP), FCGDP is Final consumption expenditure (% of GDP) as a proxy for Physical Capital. Source of all variables: World Development Indicators database, Education Statistics, UNESCO Statistics, WEF Reports, and ILO estimates (Maria, 2001).

2.2. The model and its results

The statistical methods utilized are the latest panel data techniques based on the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), and the Granger causality test. Before applying, standard tests such as unit root and co-integration were performed as well. And the coefficients for the fixed-effects model are the following Equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{i\ t} = & 10.095 + 0.001 \text{ SEP}_{i\ t} + 0.0004 \text{ SEP}_{i\ t} \\
 & + 0.003 \text{ SET}_{i\ t} - 0.012 \text{ MR}_{i\ t} - 0.014 \text{ LEB}_{i\ t} \\
 & + 0.006 \text{ LPR}_{i\ t} + 0.0002 \text{ UNR}_{i\ t} \\
 & - 0.032 \text{ HTR}_{i\ t} + 0.006 \text{ CPGDP}_{i\ t} \\
 & - 0.005 \text{ FCGDP}_{i\ t} + \varepsilon_{i\ t}
 \end{aligned}$$

For our analysis, educational attainment (primary, secondary, tertiary) in the MENA region have several deficiencies, whereas no

other reliable sources of data on educational attainment exist. We find that the use of for educational attainment rather questionable. Regarding the impact of education on GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$), we find that school enrollment primary and secondary are the positive effect on real GDP per capita, but they are not significant. As a contract, we find the registration in tertiary or higher education has a positive sign and signified. It appears in a positive sign for coefficients SEP, SES, SET, which are 53.22, 5.78, and 79.82 respectively. For Labor market, have a big problem about the data on the labor market for wages and efficiency of the labor market, so we use labor market participation, the unemployment rate as a proxy to the labor which is one of the components of human capital. The parameters appear a negative signal. There is an opposite relationship between the participation of labor, and high unemployment in the hand, and another hand real GDP per capita, where an increase in labor market participation leads to increase in real GPD per capita and a decrease in unemployment rate leads to a rise in real GDP per capita. But these parameters not significantly. Also, for high-technology exports as a proxy of the efficiency of the labor market, we find opposite relation between real GDP per capita and high-tech, but it is in our model not signing (Teixeira & Queirós, 2016).

Finally, for health, we use mortality rate (MR), life expectancy (LEB) as a proxy for the health of population, our results show that, there are inverse relationship between health of population and real GDP per capita, which according with

economic theory and applied studies. Also, our results confirm that there is an opposite relationship between final consumption as a percentage of GDP (CPGDP), and formation capital as percentage of GDP (FCGDP).

2.3. Diagnostic Tests

2.3.1 Panel Unit Root Test

For stationary of variables we have adopted unit root test. As we can conclude all unit root tests for all variables might be stationary at level for variables (Y, SEP, MR, LEB, LPR, UNR, HTR, CPGDP) stationary at level, and stationary in first difference for variables (SES, SET, FCGDP) that show the decision according to T-statistics shows that we cannot reject the null hypothesis of a unit root at 5% level of significance, where The null that there is a unit root assumes a common unit root process for Im, Pesaran and Shin (IPS) test and ADF - Fisher Chi-square, and assumes individual unit root process for the Levin, Lin and Chu (LLC) technique. Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality. All the statistical significance of the variables at 1%, 5% and 10% respectively (Rewat, 2015).

2.3.2. Trace Test using Johansen Co-integration Technique

To check whether there is a long run relation among the variables of interest, we used Johansen Integration techniques. The results of co-integration between GDP per capita (constant 2010\$) and human capital (Education: school enrollment primary, secondary, tertiary; Labor: labor market participation, unemployment rate, High-technology exports; Health: Life expectancy at birth, Mortality rate; and physical capital: Gross capital formation, Final consumption expenditure). In case of Co-integration, the null hypothesis is that there is no co-integration between real GGDP per capita and human and physical capital, while the alternative hypothesis is that there is a long run association between the above variables. Therefore, we can say that there is Co-integration between real GGDP per capita and human and physical capital.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Concepts of human capital

The human capital concepts have an ancient history - can be started in the 18th century - in the economics literature. This concept returned to at least Adam Smith. There are many definitions of human capital used in the literature. The Oxford English Dictionary defined human capital as the skills, capabilities and abilities possessed by an individual which enable him to gain income, this definition which emphasizes the improvement of

people's economic situation due to human capital investment; World Bank similarly defined human capital as the productive capacity embodied in individuals, with special focus on its contribution to economic production, the knowledge, skills, competencies and other attributes embodied in individuals that are relevant to economic activity, inventory owned by the state of the population is healthy, educated and qualified producers, which is a major factor to consider in estimating the potential in terms of economic growth and promote Human Development (Tao & Stinson, 1997).

3.2 The Approaches for Human Capital Measurement

Measuring human capital has been a severe challenge for economists, this is because the main variable of interest is intangible and not directly observable. four main approaches have been used in the economic literature to measure the stock. The cost-based approach typically estimates by summing direct expenditures on schools (including the opportunity cost associated with going to school). The output-based approach measures the output of the educational system, while the income-based approach considers the returns individuals receive from the labor market. The Human Capital Index is a new measurement for identifying and tracking the state of human capital for all countries. Preparing by WEF (Schultz, 1961).

- The cost-based approach to human capital measurement:

This approach primarily measures human capital by considering it as the cost of production. Therefore, this method is regularly indicated to the sum of the depreciated value of the past investment by individuals, households, firms, organizations, and governments, so it is called a backward-looking approach. It also includes all of costs or expenses incurred as human capital has been produced. Thus, this method includes monetary outlays by the agents previously mentioned, also involves non-market inputs such as time spent to education by students and other related persons. The bright side, this approach provides a measure of the current flow of resources invested in the education and other human capital related sectors. However, this approach is not immune from drawbacks to the method for more details about drawbacks see (Barro & Salaimartin, 2003).The income-based approach to human capital measurement

This model is to value the human capital embodied in individuals as the total income that could be generated in the labor market over their lifetime. This method calculated the present value of an individual's future earnings using a discount rate of five percent. Therefore, this method is said to be 'forward-looking' (prospective) because it focuses on expected returns to investment, as opposed to the 'backward-looking' (retrospective) method whose focus is on the historical costs of production. However, this

approach is not free from drawbacks for more details see (Boarini et al., 2012).

- The Output-Based Approach (The indicators-based approach):

This approach measures human capital of its output through several indicators that can sufficiently represent the stock of human capital or at least as a group might be employed as the proxy. The output-based measure has several drawbacks for more details about the advantages and disadvantages see (Claudia, 2014).

All the above the traditional measurement of the human capital method has many drawbacks: first, some of the indicators can be considered as an incomplete indicator to measure the human capital. (e.g. proxies such as income and productivity); second, it is necessary to link human capital and economic performance when we measure human capital

- The Human Capital Index:

The Human Capital Index is a new measurement for identifying and tracking the state of human capital for all countries. WEF prepared the first edition in the Global Human Capital Report 2017. This index has many advantages: First, the Index measures a wide set of indicators that have several dimensional. Second, the Index involves a long-term approach to human capital measures. In

addition to providing facts about the state of a country's human capital and the consequences of a country's past policies. Third, the Index aims to take into consideration the individual life track. So, the Index reflects the extent to which investments made in earlier years through lifelong learning and training.

To sum up, all approaches to measuring human capital have their pros and cons. One approach's disadvantage might be the other approach's advantage. There are also complementary among these approaches. Depending upon this conclusion, different approaches may be used, either individually or jointly with others. Arguably, to address issues related to growth accounting, monitoring sustainability and measuring the productivity performance of the human capital (Sedat & Mesut, 2016).

4. RESULTS

1. The traditional measurement of the human capital method has many drawbacks: first, some of the indicators can be considered as an incomplete indicator to measure the human capital. (e.g. proxies such as income and productivity); second, it is necessary to link human capital and economic performance when we measure human capital.
2. The Human Capital Index is a new measurement for determining and tracking the state of human capital for all

countries. WEF prepared the first edition in the Global Human Capital Report 2017. It has a wide set of indicators for several dimensional. Also, it involves a long-term approach to human capital measures.

3. All approaches to measuring human capital have their pros and cons. One approach's disadvantage might be the other approach's advantage and there are also complementary among these approaches. So, different approaches may be used, either individually or jointly with others.

4. The most methodological problem is to choose the proxy indicator used to measure human capital since the amount of influence is affected by the indicator chosen for this purpose.

5. The model results for the MENA region appear that the school enrollment tertiary education is a positive effect on real GDP per capita. Labor market participation and the unemployment rate are a negative signal. The mortality rate and the life expectancy appear inverse relationship between the health of the population and real GDP per capita. So, Human capital has a wide range of potential benefits.

5. SUMMARY

The paper aims to review the approaches of human capital measures and examine the role of human capital in the economic growth of MENA countries. Human capital is identified as one of the main determinants of the economic process. We applied the model (Kokkinen, 2010). We assume that there is a positive effect of human capital on real GDP per capita rises. This paper is organized as follows: The next section review of the human capital literature; Section 3 presents the empirical literature of human capital; Section 4 estimates the Impact of Human Capital on GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in MENA region; Section 4 concludes. The study examines the relationship between human capital and economic growth by using a Panel Data Analysis of 15 countries in the MENA region covering from 2008 to 2016. Results of panel unit root show that all the variables are integrated of the level and first order while the Co-integration tests show that there is a long run relationship between human capital and GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$). Specifically, the school enrollment tertiary education, labor market participation, the unemployment rate, mortality rate and life expectancy significantly influence GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in the long run.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The concept of human capital indicates to the abilities and skills of the population of countries, while investment of human capital refers to the process of acquiring and increasing the number of people who have the skills, good health, education and experience that are critical for economic growth. Thus, investment in education, health, and labor market are considered human capital components. In this study, we are attempting to examine the impact of human capital components (education and health separately) on real GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$) in a panel of 15 countries from the MENA region countries which their data are available covering the period from 2008 to 2016. For this purpose, the statistical methods utilized are the Ordinary Least Squares Method (OLS) and the Granger causality test. Also, carry out standard tests such as unit root and co-integration were performed as well. Eventually, education and health have a wide range of potential benefits. There is no doubt that one of the greatest benefits of education and health in the MENA region is GDP per capita (constant 2010 \$).

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

REFERENCES

- APPLETON, S., JOHN, H., & JOHN, M. 1996. **Education and health in sub-Saharan Africa**, Journal of International Development, Vol. 8, N° 3: pp. 307-339. USA.
- BARRO, R., & SALAIMARTIN, X. 2003. **Economic Growth, 2nd edition**. McGraw-Hill, New York. USA.
- BOARINI, R., MIRADERCOLE, M., & LIU, G. 2012. **Approaches to Measuring the Stock of Human Capital: A Review of Country Practices**, OECD Statistics WP. N° 4. Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5k8zlm5bc3ns-en>. France.
- CLAUDIA, G. 2014. **Human Capital**, National Bureau of Economic Research. USA.
- ELENA, P. 2014. **The impact of human capital on economic growth, 2nd International Conference Economic Scientific Research - Theoretical, Empirical and Practical Approaches**, Bucharest, Procedia Economics and Finance, N° 22, 2015, pp. 184 – 190. Available online at www.sciencedirect.com. Romania.
- EMRAH, A. 2012. **Measuring Returns to Education and Human Capital in the Southern Mediterranean**, MEDPRO Technical Report, N° 17. Available on the MEDPRO (www.medpro-foresight.eu) and CEPS (www.ceps.eu) websites. Belgium.
- KOKKINEN, A. 2010. **Assessing Human Capital in the National Accounts – Is there a Feedback to Theory**, paper presented at the 31st General Conference of the International Association for Research in Income and Wealth (IARIW), Session 8C, August 22–28, St. Gallen, Switzerland.
- LAROCHE, M. 1999. **On the Concept and Dimensions of Human Capital in a Knowledge-Based Economy Context**, Canadian Public Policy/ Analyse De Politiques, Vol. 25, N° 1: 87–100. Available at: JSTOR, www.jstor.org/stable/3551403. Canada.
- MARIA, J. 2001. **Human Capital Accumulation and Economic Growth**, Investigations Economics, Vol. 25, N° 3: 585-602. USA.
- REWAT, T. 2015. **Approaches for Human Capital Measurement with an Empirical Application for Growth Policy**, Asian Social Science; Vol. 11, N° 26. Canada.

- SCHULTZ, T. 1961. **Investment in Human Capital**, the American Economic Review, N^o1. USA.
- SEDAT, A., & MESUT, Ç. 2016. **The Effect of Human Capital on Economic Growth: A Panel Data Analysis**, Journal of Administrative Sciences, Vol. 14, N^o 27: 539-555. Switzerland
- TAO, H., & STINSON, T. 1997. **An Alternative Measure of the Human Capital Stock**, University of Minnesota, pp. 97-1. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=49581>. USA.
- TEIXEIRA, A., & QUEIRÓS, S. 2016. **Economic growth, human capital and structural change: A dynamic**. Panel data analysis, Research Policy. N^o 45, pp.1636–1648. Available at journal home page: www.elsevier.com/locate/respol. USA.
- TREADGOLD, M. 2000. **Early estimate of the value of Australia's stock of human capital**. History of Economics Review, Vol. 32. pp. 46-57. UK.



**UNIVERSIDAD
DEL ZULIA**

opción

Revista de Ciencias Humanas y Sociales

Año 34, Especial N° 17, 2018

Esta revista fue editada en formato digital por el personal de la Oficina de Publicaciones Científicas de la Facultad Experimental de Ciencias, Universidad del Zulia.
Maracaibo - Venezuela

www.luz.edu.ve

www.serbi.luz.edu.ve

produccioncientifica.luz.edu.ve