Customer satisfaction in mountain hotels within UNESCO Global Geoparks: an empirical study based on sentiment analysis of online consumer reviews

Satisfação de clientes em hotéis de montanha dentro de Geoparques Mundias da UNESCO: um estudo empírico baseado na análise de sentimento de avaliações online de consumidores

Frederico Carvalho (iD)

Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Technology and Management School of Oliveira do Hospital, Rua General Santos Costa, 3400-124 Oliveira do Hospital, Portugal, fredericocccarvalho@hotmail.com

Ricardo F. Ramos (iD)

Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Technology and Management School of Oliveira do Hospital, Rua General Santos Costa, 3400-124 Oliveira do Hospital, Portugal. Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), ISTAR, Av. Forças Armadas, 1649-026 Lisboa, Portugal ricardo.ramos@estgoh.ipc.pt

Nuno Fortes (iD)

Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Technology and Management School of Oliveira do Hospital, Rua General Santos Costa, 3400-124 Oliveira do Hospital, Portugal. Association BLC3—Technology and Innovation Campus, Centre Bio R&D Unit, Rua Nossa Senhora da Conceição, 2, Lagares da Beira, 3405-155 Oliveira do Hospital, Portugal, nuno.fortes@estgoh.ipc.pt

Abstract

The synergy between the accommodations and the untouched beauty of UNESCO's Global Geoparks ensures an unforgettable encounter, blending comfort with adventure and relaxation with exploration. Although hotels have been previously investigated, the asymmetric results across mountain hotel customer satisfaction in UNESCO's Global Geoparks are yet to be studied. This study aims to understand the online discourse and the reasons that satisfy a mountain hotel customer in UNESCO Global Geoparks. 5.590 online reviews were collected from 20 four and five-star mountain hotels in the Estrela UNESCO Global Geopark. Data were analysed through sentiment analysis (SA), and statistical analysis was used to acknowledge which variables influence satisfaction. We undertook a qualitative approach to understand the reasons for the identified sentiment. Results suggest that the seasonality, nationality, and travel experience influence satisfaction, and pool/spa is identified as critical for mountain hotel customers' satisfaction. The new knowledge expands the understanding of the mountain hotel experience and the customers' satisfaction with this specific type of hotel.

Keywords: Mountain hotels, customer satisfaction, tourism marketing, digital marketing, social media, sentiment analysis.

Resumo

A sinergia entre os alojamentos e a beleza dos Geoparques Mundiais da UNESCO assegura um encontro inesquecível, combinando conforto com aventura e relaxamento com atividades de exploração. Os resultados assimétricos da satisfação dos clientes de hotéis de montanha nos Geoparques Mundiais da UNESCO ainda não foram estudados. Este estudo visa compreender o discurso online e as razões que satisfazem um cliente de hotel de montanha nos Geoparques Mundiais da UNESCO. Foram recolhidas 5.590 avaliações online de 20 hotéis de montanha de quatro e cinco estrelas na região do Estrela Geoparque Mundial da UNESCO. Os dados foram analisados através da análise de sentimento (SA), e a análise estatística foi utilizada para identificar quais são as variáveis que influenciam a satisfação. Foi adotada ainda uma abordagem qualitativa para compreender as razões do sentimento identificado. Os resultados sugerem que a sazonalidade, a nacionalidade e a experiência de viagem influenciam a satisfação, e a piscina/spa é identificada como fundamental para a satisfação. dos clientes de hotéis de montanha. O novo conhecimento amplia a compreensão da experiência em hotéis de montanha e a satisfação dos clientes com este tipo específico de hotel.

Palavras-chave: Hotéis de montanha, satisfação do consumidor, marketing de turismo, marketing digital, social media, análise de sentimento.

1. Introduction

Tourism in natural areas has increased its position among tourists' preferences internationally (CBI, 2020). The principal reason is man's strong relationship with nature mountains, becoming a destination of excellent tourist influx (Rama et al., 2019). For instance, tourists in the Rocky Mountain National Park amounted to approximately 4.3 million in 2022, contrasted to 2.76 million in 2008 (Statista, 2023). The increasing demand has amplified debates on the benefits and risks to mountain environments, cultures, and communities (Mutana & Mukwada, 2018). For instance, generating economic development to sustain local livelihoods is a widely shared goal in protected areas (Dudley et al., 2013), especially for the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation biosphere reserves. These have been assigned the role of model regions for sustainable development (UNESCO, 2017). Mountain-protected areas present opportunities for human-environment interactions and significant rural economic development by generating income and employment through visitors' expenditures (Schägner et al., 2016). As demand develops globally (Assis & Inamdar, 2007), information about nature-based tourists becomes imperative for park managers, non-government agencies, and tourism operators (Pickering et al., 2020).

The hospitality industry relies on social media platforms to market its products/services and raise service quality by monitoring customer experiences (Brochado et al., 2020). The number of online reviews is increasing among tourists, supporting travellers' decision-making (Rita et al., 2022), which has pressed hotel managers to reorganise strategies to satisfy their customers (Amado et al., 2018).

Research in the hospitality industry has attempted to understand customer satisfaction, which appraises the degree of satisfaction based on perceptions of the attributes of hotels that the customers consider most significant (Lee & Hong, 2021). Hotel customer satisfaction drivers have already been identified in segment-specific markets such as beach hotels (Berezina et al., 2016), budget hotels (Peng et al., 2015), meeting hotels (Boo & Busser, 2018), boutique hotels (Wang et al., 2019), and wine hotels (Brochado et al., 2020). While this line of research has generated novel insights into hospitality and tourism management, studies have yet to analyse the reasons for UNESCO Global Geopark (UGG) hotel mountain customer satisfaction.

Considering previous studies and the remaining need of mountain hotel managers to explore what influences their customers' satisfaction, this research's central question is: What drives hotel mountain customers' satisfaction in UGG? Accordingly, this study aims to perceive the UGG Mountain Hotel customers' satisfaction, the reasons for such sentiment, which variables influence satisfaction, and the customers' appreciation regarding their experience. To achieve this aim, 5.590 TripAdvisor reviews were extracted from 20 hotels in the Estrela UNESCO Global Geopark (EUGG). Online user-generated content (UGC) is used to uncover intricate patterns in data (Xiang et al., 2015). In contrast to traditional survey-based methods, UGC provides cost-effective information and overcomes limitations associated with sampling size, time and location coercion, nonresponse bias, and self-reported errors (Eagles, 2014). We analysed data using the sentiment analysis (SA) technique to understand the satisfaction level and statistical analysis to understand which variables influence satisfaction (Oliveira et al., 2022). We used content analysis to comprehend the reasons for such sentiment. This study is the first comprehensive analysis of the customer satisfaction drivers of mountain hotels in UGG. Thus, it intends to offer insights for academia and hoteliers, highlighting customers' perceptions of UGG Mountain Hotel's performance by understanding their specific strengths and weaknesses to meet their demands.

2. Literature review

2.1 *Hotel customer satisfaction*

Hotel customer satisfaction is a systematically documented subject that remarkably contributes to this industry's development (Prayag et al., 2019). Following the expectancy-disconfirmation theory (Oliver, 1980), hotel satisfaction refers to the difference between the customers' pre-expectation and the experience's perceived performance. When the experience equals or surpasses the expectation, the outcome is revealed by gratification and satisfaction. Conversely, displeasure and dissatisfaction occur when the perceived performance does not meet the expectations (Furtado et al., 2022). So, satisfaction is a judgment about the pleasure level of consumption-related fulfilment regarding product or service attributes, considering over-fulfilment levels (del Río et al., 2017). A satisfied customer may highly re-purchase, remain loyal for longer, and recommend others' experiences by disclosing positive comments, positively affecting the hotel's reputation and profitability (Coelho et al., 2023).

In contrast, dissatisfaction is a customer's affective status when experiencing discomfort caused by service failure (Pereira et al., 2023). It intensifies this sentiment when confronted repeatedly by service failures and does not witness the service providers making sufficient recovery efforts (Weiner, 2000). This evokes emotions of anger and regret. It might drive customers to request refunds (García & Pérez, 2011) or lead to complaining behaviour and negative electronic word-of-mouth, which might hurt the hotel's reputation and profitability (Berezina et al., 2016). However, each customer understands satisfaction differently, depending on the context (Ahania et al., 2019; Bueno & Gallego, 2021). Customers' particular ways of judging how they view the world influence their overall assessment of quality and their perceptions of each specific transaction (Kim et al., 2019). According to the two-factor theory (Herzberg et al., 2010), the causes of dissatisfaction may differ depending on the customer. For instance, customers are simultaneously satisfied by different unrelated dimensions (Chan & Baum, 2007), and the absence of satisfiers does not necessarily lead to and reinforce dissatisfaction (Alegre & Garau, 2010). The challenge for service providers is recognising the potential failure situations and the specific effective response. Identifying the source of dissatisfaction is the first step to alleviating it. The next step is implementing service recovery strategies to improve satisfaction (Coelho et al., 2023). Considering that complaining behaviour is a reaction that requires a response demanding additional cost and effort, proper management decisions can only be made if the drivers of satisfaction are known (Table 1).

Table 1 – Summary of the key attributes of customers' satisfaction

Source	Key attributes	Research Context
Brochado et al. (2020)	Wine Foodservice Scenery	52 Wine Hotels in 27 wine regions across 11 countries
Wang et al. (2019)	Environment Entertainment Uniqueness Price and value	86 Boutique Hotels in 7 China cities
Boo and Busser (2018)	Staff Meeting Room Location	Meeting hotels in the USA
Belarmino et al. (2019)	Relationships with hosts/owners Local Experience Local Business	Comparison of hotels and P2P accommodations in New York City
Guo et al. (2017)	Poor Communication Nightlife Recommendation Natural Beauty	Hotels in 100 cities in 16 countries
Kim et al. (2016)	Restaurant Breakfast Design/Decoration Housekeeping	Comparison of full-service and limited-service hotels in New York City
Berezina et al. (2016)	Beach Sports Room	Hotels in the beach destination of Sarasota, Florida, U.S.
Peng et al. (2015)	Housekeeping Room facilities Shower	1259 Budget hotels in China
Khoo-Lattimore and Ekiz (2014)	View Pool Bar Spa and Sauna	Kuala Lumpur City luxury hotels
Zhou et al. (2014)	Wi-fi Location Staff	Hotels in the city of Hangzhou in China
Li et al. (2013)	Sound isolation Air conditioning Cleanliness and Maintenance	Comparison of luxury and budget hotels in the Beijing city of China

Source: Own elaboration

2.2 *Mountain hotel customer experience in UNESCO's Global Geoparks*

Tourism development in vulnerable environments is essential, particularly in protected mountain areas, which often have poorly developed economies (FAO, 2011). Although the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization's biosphere reserves objective is biodiversity conservation and socio-economic development for human well-being (UNESCO, 2017), nature-based tourism is searching for sustainable development of local communities since they also generate considerable income.

A mountain tourism destination is considered a geographical, economic, and social place specifically conceived for tourists of mountain infrastructures (Kuščer et al., 2017), offering a diversity of tourism products depending on climate, geomorphology, and vegetation (Favre-Bonte et al., 2019). In this setting, tourists can be in contact with nature in the most suitable way (Duglio & Letey, 2019). As mountain tourism continuously increases, mountain hotels are gaining relevance (Popovic et al., 2019). They have become vital to meeting tourists' expectations and satisfaction, attempting to engage with tourists' specificities in a geographical area where infrastructures are components of a complex structure adapted to territory physiognomies (Favre-Bonte et al., 2019). Previous studies suggest that mountain tourists' drivers are related to snow conditions (Matzler et al., 2008), nature, and active tourism conditions (Pan & Ryan, 2007).

One may argue that the systemic environment contributes to a mountain tourist's positive experience and that hotels are part of such an environment. To this end, the satisfaction of mountain hotel customers is essential for sustainable hospitality decisions.

Studies using online reviews have determined the attributes that drive and most influence satisfaction from an urban aggregate standpoint. However, research is scarce from the viewpoint of UNESCO's Mountain hotel customers' segment-specific differences. Thus, this study addresses various aspects of this research gap by determining the attributes that most influence customer satisfaction.

3. Methodology

To achieve this study's aim, we collected data from TripAdvisor. The sample comprises the reviews published by customers in four- and five-star mountain hotels in the EUGG. We chose this hotel classification criterion because segmenting the data will reveal more precise results since the perception of quality might broadly vary between high and low hotel ratings (Rita et al., 2022). We classify the hotels in the EUGG as mountain hotels once they are in a specific geographic location recognised by high elevation (Favre-Bonte et al., 2019).

We chose TripAdvisor because it is one of the most widely used travel websites to access and share hotel information, which contains more than 859 million reviews and 459 million monthly visitors (Ramos et al., 2022; TripAdvisor, 2023). The dataset was collected using Octoparse web scrapper by exporting each TripAdvisor review to a CSV file. This free software was used to collect large amounts of data accurately, quickly, and conveniently in previous studies (Deng et al., 2020). 5.590 reviews were collected from 20 EUGC mountain hotels, and we characterised the variables collected in Table 2.

Table 2 – Dataset characterisation

Variables extracted Variable	Description
Textual Review	Body of the review
Date of Stay	Date of the reviewer's stay
Gender	Males, Females, Undetermined
Nationality	Country of origin
Online Contributions	Number of reviews, ratings, and photos that a reviewer has posted

Source: Own elaboration

For data analysis, we employed three procedures. In the first stage, SA was used to determine the level of satisfaction. Second, t-test and ANOVA were used to test the differences in satisfaction according to the collected variables (Table 2). Third, we implemented a qualitative analysis to understand the reason for such satisfaction. The SA analyses words and expressions in a text to understand users' sentiments (Rita et al., 2020). SA has been used in previous studies, providing interesting results. For instance, to find consistency between specific words in different hotels (Geetha et al., 2017) or support the need to improve online review platforms once many potentially helpful reviews receive little attention from consumers (Olorunsola et al., 2023).

The open-source R software program for statistical computing includes multiple packages (Furtado et al., 2022). The package "sentimentr" was applied to compute the sentiment score. The outcome identified the positive, neutral, and negative reviews. Text data were pre-processed for analysis using the "tm" package, removing punctuations, numbers, white spaces, stemming, and converting all letters to lowercase (Ramos et al., 2019). This package returned the most frequent terms, aiming to discover key concepts to guide the coding in qualitative analysis.

The software IBM SPSS Statistics 27 was used to test the differences in satisfaction between different groups of visitors, following the methodology described by Field (2018). Regarding gender, season, and number of online contributions that generate a comparison between the two groups, we used a t-test. Before conducting this test, the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances were evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (K-S) and Levene's test, respectively. We used an ANOVA with the Welch FW for the nationality variable, which is suitable when the variances are not homogeneous. We used the Games-Howell test to verify which pairs of nationalities have statistically significant differences.

To understand the reasons for the satisfaction, we used MAXQDA 2020 software. This software can scrutinise qualitative data and establish relationships (Booth, 2014). The use of such a method has been encouraged by several authors (Lu & Stepchenkova, 2015; Onwuegbuzie et al., 2012), highlighting the importance of using qualitative approaches to obtain more research outcomes. Previous studies used and validated this method (Hodsdon, 2020).

Before the qualitative analysis, we separated positive and negative reviews to acknowledge the reasons for positive and negative satisfaction. We created codes for analysis through a twofold method. Firstly, by identifying attributes validated in the literature (Table 1). Secondly, interpretation bias was reduced through a summative content analysis supported by the reviews' most frequent words. Consequently, we reduce overlap and wordiness among the attributes to code by condensing literature attributes and the most frequent words to develop a coding scheme inductively, reaching 14 positive and 20 negative attributes. This quantification explores the dataset usage to eliminate such labelling creation's inherent subjectivity (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). After defining attributes, 'in vivo coding' was applied by labelling text sections (Booth, 2014). Following the approach of Beverland et al. (2021), a mountain hotel professional undertook this process.

4. Results

4.1 *Sentiment analysis on reviews*

To acknowledge the satisfaction of the Hotels' customers, we applied SA to the 5.591 online reviews (Table 3).

Table 3 – Sentiment characterisation

Values	Absolute values	Relative Values	Mean	Std. Deviation	Sentiment Scale
Values < 0	234	4.18%	-0.100	0.094	Negative
Values = 0	0	0.00%	0	0	Neutral
Values > 0	5356	95.82%	0.443	0.243	Positive
Values between -0.68 and 2.46	5590	100%	0.420		Positive

Source: Own elaboration

Sentiment values ranged from -0.68 to 2.46, corresponding to the negative and positive poles. Most reviews were positive (95.82%), while 4.18% were negative. This result is consistent with previous studies (Li et al., 2013).

The dataset characterisation, the average sentiment by group, K-S test, Levene's test, t-test, and Welch FW test results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 – Dataset characterisation, average sentiment, K-S test, Levene's test, T-test, and Welch FW test

Variable	Description	Absolute Values	Relative Values	Average Sentiment	K-S test (p-value)	Levene's test (p-value)	T-test (p-value)
Reviews		5590	100%	0.420			
Season	Winter	3688	65.98%	0.427	<0.001		
	Summer	1902	34.02%	0.408	<0.001	0.046(b)	0,011
Gender	Male	2547	45.56%	0.426	0.060		
	Female	1708	30.55%	0.421	0.060	0.051(a)	0.508
	Undetermined	1335	23.88%	0.408			
Online Contributions	Below the average (≤86)	4007	71.68%	0.432	0.063	0,346(a)	<0,001
	Above the average (>86)	1583	28.32%	0.393	0.068		
							FW-test (p-value)
Nationality	Portugal	4220	75.49%	0.433	0.000		
	Others	446	8.00%	0.350	0.028		
	Brazil	363	6.49%	0.400	0.013		
	Spain	222	3.97%	0.385	0.000	0.005(b)	<0.001
	UK	189	3.38%	0.359	0.000		
	France	150	2.68%	0.447	0.008		
	USA	58	1.04%	0.334	0.200		

(a) based on mean; (b) based on median
Source: Own elaboration

4.2 *Comparison of groups based on gender*

We evaluated the normality assumption using the K-S test, having found that the distributions of the two samples are normal (p-value>0.05). We verified the assumption of homogeneity of variances through Levene's test based on the mean (because the distributions are normal), concluding that the variances are homogeneous (p-value>0.05). The t-test, calculated based on the assumption that the variances are equal, allows us to assess whether equality of means exists in the sentiment score for males and females. Since the p-value is greater than the significance level adopted (0.05), the test's null hypothesis is accepted, concluding that the sentiment scores of males and females do not differ significantly.

4.3 *Comparison of groups based on the season of the year (Winter – October to May and Summer – June to September)*

We evaluated the normality assumption using the K-S, finding that the distributions of the two samples are abnormal (p-value<0.05). Failing to confirm this assumption does not preclude the execution of the t-test, as the absolute values for skewness and kurtosis for each group are less than 3 and 8, respectively (Kline, 2015). We verified the assumption of homogeneity of variances using Levene's test based on the median (because the distributions are not normal), concluding that the variances are not homogeneous (p-value<0.05). The t-test, calculated based on the assumption that the variances are not equal, allows us to assess whether equality of means exists in the sentiment

score in winter and summer. Since the p-value is lower than the significance level adopted (0.05), the test's null hypothesis is rejected, concluding that the sentiment score in the winter is significantly higher than in the summer.

4.4 *Comparison of groups based on the number of online contributions*

We evaluated the normality assumption using the K-S test, having found that the distributions of the two samples are normal (p-value>0.05). We verified the assumption of homogeneity of variances through Levene's test based on the mean (because the distributions are normal), concluding that the variances are homogeneous (p-value>0.05). The t-test, calculated based on the assumption that the variances are equal, allows us to assess whether there is equality of averages in the sentiment score of users with several comments below average and above average. Since the p-value is lower than the adopted significance level (0.05), the test's null hypothesis is rejected, concluding that the sentiment score of users with several comments below the average is significantly higher than that of users with a higher-than-average number of comments.

4.5 *Comparison of groups based on nationality*

We evaluated the normality assumption using the K-S test, having found that the distributions of six samples are not normal (p-value<0.05) and one is normal (p-value>0, 05). Failure to verify this assumption in six groups does not impede the ANOVA. We verified the assumption of homogeneity of variances using Levene's test based on the median (because there are non-normal distributions), concluding that the variances are not homogeneous (p-value<0.05). ANOVA with the Welch FW test was used to assess whether there is equality of averages in the sentiment score of visitors from different nationalities. Since the p-value is lower than the adopted significance level (0.05), the test's null hypothesis was rejected, concluding that there are statistically significant mean differences in the sentiment score of visitors of at least two nationalities.

The Games-Howell test was used to confirm which pairs of nationalities are statistically different in sentiment score. Whenever the p-value of the test is lower than the adopted significance level (0.05), we reject the test's null hypothesis, concluding that the pair of means under analysis differs significantly. The nationalities with a statistically significant difference in sentiment score are presented in Table 5, concluding that French and Portuguese visitors have a higher sentiment score than the UK, USA, and other countries.

Table 5 – Games-Howell test

Nationality Vs. Nationality		P-value
French Vs.	Other nationalities	0.003
	UK	0.036
	USA	0.003
Portuguese Vs.	Other nationalities	0.000
	UK	0.002
	USA	0.000

Source: Own elaboration

4.6 *Word frequency*

Table 6 highlights the most mentioned terms in online reviews.

Table 6 – Word frequency

Ranking	Word	Freq	Ranking	Word	Freq	Ranking	Word	Freq
1st	Hotel	6213	15th	Food	1012	29th	Attentive	607
2nd	Room	4469	16th	Clean	915	30th	Outdoor	607
3rd	Pool	3248	17th	Dinner	892	31st	Buffet	601
4th	Breakfast	2357	18th	Indoor	844	32nd	Located	601
5th	Staff	2354	19th	Location	826	33rd	Water	592
6th	Service	1785	20th	Estrela	772	34th	Children	572
7th	Mountain	1745	21st	Fantastic	752	35th	Price	561
8th	Restaurant	1681	22nd	Recommend	709	36th	Facilities	555
9th	Friendly	1482	23rd	Little	697	37th	Night	539
10th	View	1343	24th	Area	671	38th	Swimming	520
11th	Quality	1217	25th	Small	671	39th	Family	514
12th	Spa	1096	26th	Time	666	40th	Bar	497
13th	Comfortable	1085	27th	Large	651	41st	Rest	484
14th	Place	1037	28th	Spacious	639	42nd	Reception	474

Source: Own elaboration

Excluding the term 'hotel', since it is the subject of analysis, the most frequent term is 'room', which does not differ from previous studies (Franco et al., 2016). However, 'pool' in the second place is an unusual distinction in the literature (Xiang et al., 2015). In the top ten, 'breakfast' and 'restaurant' do not significantly change regardless of the hotel market, as well as 'staff', 'services', and 'friendly', which also play a vital role in the general hotel industry (Zhou et al., 2014).

4.6 *Content analysis*

From the background knowledge gained of the most frequent words and multiple attributes validated in the literature, the positive and negative attributes that most influence mountain hotel customers can be retrieved from Table 7.

Table 7 – Categories and attributes of positive and negative evaluations

Positive evaluations	Absolute Values	Relative Values	Negative evaluations	Absolute Values	Relative Values
Food and Beverage	*4374*	*24.20%*	*Accommodation*	*1083*	*26.00%*
Restaurant	2059	11.39%	Room	803	19.28%
Breakfast	1789	9.90%	Amenities	152	3.65%
Local food	289	1.60%	Noise	92	2.21%
Bar	237	1.31%	View	36	0.86%
Services	*4341*	*24.02%*	*Food and Beverage*	*1046*	*25.11%*
Staff	3370	18.65%	Restaurant	429	10.30%
Innovative Experiences	567	3.14%	Breakfast	367	8.81%
Housekeeping	404	2.24%	Expensive Price in the Restaurant	137	3.29%
Accommodation	*3959*	*21.91%*	Bar	66	1.58%
Room	2804	15.52%	Local Food	47	1.13%
View	1155	6.39%	*Facilities*	*972*	*23.34%*
Facilities	*3788*	*20.96%*	Pool/Spa	541	12.99%
Pool/Spa	2269	12.56%	Decoration	194	4.66%
Decoration	965	5.34%	Air Condition	99	2.38%
Natural Setting	554	3.07%	Wi-fi	97	2.33%
Logistics	*1609*	*8.90%*	Natural Setting	41	0.98%
Location	1165	6.45%	*Services*	*655*	*15.73%*
Price	444	2.46%	Staff	283	6.79%
			Maintenance	262	6.29%
			Housekeeping	110	2.64%
			Logistics	*409*	*9.82%*
			Price	179	4.30%
			Location	124	2.98%
			Reservation	106	2.55%

Source: Own elaboration

Considering each category's relative weight in both sets, the 'services' have a substantial superior weight in positive evaluations, whereas the 'accommodation', 'food and beverage', 'facilities', and 'logistics' have a superior weight in negative evaluations. Regarding the attributes' relative weight in both sets, the 'room' has a superior weight in negative evaluations, while 'staff' has a superior weight in positive. Regardless of those results, 'pool/spa' emerged as an important attribute within this market but has a higher weight in negative evaluations. 'Restaurant', 'breakfast', 'decoration', 'local food', 'housekeeping', and 'bar' have comparable weights. 'Location', 'view', and 'natural setting' have a higher weight in positive evaluations, while 'price' has a greater weight in negative evaluations.

The results suggest that attributes that satisfy customers do not necessarily make them dissatisfied, and vice versa (Coelho et al., 2023). For instance, absent in the positive set, 'maintenance', 'amenities', 'expensive price in the restaurant', 'reservation, 'air-condition', 'wi-fi', and 'noise', do not necessarily lead to or reinforce satisfaction (Alegre & Garau, 2010). However, those dissatisfaction sources are more likely to disappear if everything goes well or works appropriately. On the other hand, the absence of 'innovative experiences' does not necessarily generate dissatisfaction but will help to improve satisfaction. Tables 8 and 9 illustrate the most mentioned words in each positive and negative evaluation to understand the online discourse. Results highlight the most recurrent terms for each attribute. This allows understanding of the customers' discourse regarding their experience by different patterns of words to describe the experience (Rita et al., 2022). For instance, 'room' is presented in both sets but with other words among positive and negative evaluations. From the positive perspective, 'comfortable', 'spacious', 'clean', 'large', 'beds', and 'bathroom' were the most mentioned. On the other hand, 'small', 'bathroom', 'bed', 'air-conditioning', 'little', and 'noise' are unfavourable.

Table 8 – Word frequency of attributes in positive evaluations

Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq
Staff		Room		Pool/Spa		Restaurant		Breakfast		Location	
Staff	2006	Room	980	Pool	1484	Restaurant	954	Breakfast	1741	Location	604
Friendly	1264	Comfortable	897	Spa	687	Food	614	Varied	430	Located	341
Service	711	Spacious	512	Indoor	633	Dinner	468	Quality	195	Hotel	335
Attentive	533	Clean	417	Outdoor	335	Buffet	273	Buffet	131	Serra	151
Helpful	387	Large	334	Swimming	320	Quality	250	Dinner	106	Close	129
Friendliness	364	Beds	269	Sauna	211	Hotel	211	Products	97	Estrela	126
Hotel	345	Bathroom	254	Heated	198	Variety	141	Complete	69	Mountain	92
View		Decoration		Innovative Experiences		Natural Setting		Price		Housekeeping	
View	974	Decoration	366	Room	74	Gardens	179	Price	272	Clean	186
Beautiful	166	Hotel	322	Pool	55	Surrounds	155	Quality	121	Cleanliness	125
Landscape	120	Building	141	Water	36	Outdoor	122	Value	88	Cleaning	55
Mountains	165	Beautiful	133	Afternoon	35	Pool	118	Money	84	Hotel	49
Room	101	Modern	112	Activities	30	Hotel	96	Hotel	82	Rooms	43
Hotel	99	Design	103	Tea	30	Beautiful	80	Ratio	64	Impeccable	26
Magnificent	87	Architecture	95	Children	26	Swimming	43	Worth	35	Facilities	15
Local Food		Bar									
Products	98	Bar	200								
Breakfast	90	Pool	34								
Cheese	86	Hotel	26								
Restaurant	65	Restaurant	26								
Food	58	Service	24								
Local	55	Area	20								
Wine	46	Drinks	19								

Source: Own elaboration

Table 9 – Word frequency of attributes in negative evaluations

Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq	Word	Freq
Room		Pool/Spa		Restaurant		Breakfast		Staff		Maintenance	
Room	314	Pool	359	Restaurant	165	Breakfast	293	Staff	82	Hotel	58
Small	192	Spa	141	Dinner	136	Variety	53	Reception	62	Maintenance	41
Bathroom	122	Indoor	102	Food	110	Little	52	Service	49	Pool	40
Bed	85	Water	101	Buffet	67	Quality	45	Hotel	45	Room	32
Air-Conditioning	83	Small	76	Quality	46	Coffee	32	Room	44	Bathroom	28
Little	81	Cold	60	Hotel	37	Fruit	25	Pool	35	Air	27
Noise	51	Outdoor	49	Little	36	Juices	23	Friendly	25	Water	19
Decoration		Price		Amenities		Expensive Price in the Restaurant		Location		Housekeeping	
Hotel	120	Price	70	Room	34	Restaurant	66	Hotel	28	Room	35
Old	104	Expensive	43	Channels	17	Expensive	64	Location	27	Cleaning	33
Decor	49	Hotel	37	Lighting	17	Dinner	40	Center	24	Dirty	19
Room	42	Quality	32	Tv	16	Price	40	Far	24	Cleanliness	18
Dark	42	High	23	Shower	14	Quality	36	City	21	Hotel	12
Little	33	Prices	23	Bathroom	12	Buffet	33	Road	12	Cleaned	11
Pool	28	Star	18	Coffee	9	Little	25	Difficult	9	Little	10
Reservation		Air Condition		Wi-Fi		Noise		Bar		Local Food	
Hotel	46	Air	64	Internet	45	Noise	36	Bar	51	Breakfast	19
Room	36	Condition	55	Wi-Fi	40	Room	25	Service	16	Cheese	18
Pool	23	Room	28	Room	24	Soundproof	18	Hotel	11	Products	15
Spa	21	Hot	19	Work	16	Hear	15	Pool	9	Region	15
Reservation	16	Temperature	18	Signal	14	Little	15	Prices	8	Restaurant	12
Booking	15	Night	15	Device	13	Children	15	Expensive	6	Regional	11
Website	10	Noise	13	Free	13	Pool	11	Food	6	Quality	10
Natural Setting		View									
Hotel	10	View	34								
Area	9	Room	14								
Pool	7	Hotel	9								
Around	6	Rear	7								
Little	6	Facing	4								
Outdoor	6	Front	4								
Surroundings	6	Balcony	3								

Source: Own elaboration

5. Discussion

Several key findings emerged from the textual data based on quantitative and qualitative content analysis. From the SA online reviews, this study supports the findings of prior studies that suggest that positive reviews generally outnumber negative ones (Furtado et al., 2022). The group comparison suggests that satisfaction varies according to the customer's nationality. This result is aligned with Vieira et al. (2021), who acknowledged that satisfaction differs depending on the customer's nationality. Visitors from different nationalities have different expectations (Zgolli & Zaiem, 2017), influencing their attitudes, behaviours, and evaluations (Johnson & Grier, 2013). Results suggest that seasonality influences the mountain UNESCO's hotel visitor satisfaction, confirming the results of Geng et al. (2021), who suggest significant differences in visitor satisfaction in different seasons. However, these results contradict Kozak and Rimmington's (2000) findings that summer vacations predict higher satisfaction. Over-tourism is one factor that affects dissatisfaction in the summer peak season (Frleta & Jurdana, 2018).

Results suggest that the number of online contributions impacts satisfaction. As the tourist increases the number of experiences, their feedback becomes more realistic (Fang et al., 2016), influencing their satisfaction. This allows the tourist to compare the experience with past experiences, making the experience more realistic. The average male's sentiment does not significantly differ from females. This result contradicts previous studies. For instance, Kladou and Mavragani (2015) suggest that men share more positive reviews, and males and females reveal different preferences and satisfaction levels (Han et al., 2017, 2019). Accordingly, it would be expected that the males' sentiments would be higher than those of the females. This result could be related to the fact that males and females were concentrated on the service result when evaluating.

The word frequency analysis revealed that the terms pool, spa, dinner, indoor, outdoor, buffet, children, or swimming are unique for this market segment as they were not mentioned in previous studies in different markets (Franco et al., 2016; O'Connor, 2010; Xiang et al., 2015).

In the qualitative content analysis, the categories that aggregate the higher relative weight from each attribute in both sets are food and beverage (24.66%), accommodation (23.96%), facilities (22.15%), services (19.88%), and logistics (9.36%). Our results complement previous studies that identified room experience (e.g., room features, amenities) and service quality (interactions with staff or food and beverage services, quality, and availability) as the most critical dimensions (Guo et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2014). Particularly, the attributes most mentioned by the customers are staff, room, pool/spa, restaurant, and breakfast. Pool/spa is the attribute that influences different contexts (Guo et al., 2017; Xu & Li, 2016). Therefore, the results suggest that pool/spa is mountain hotels' most relevant differentiating attribute. Consequently, hotels with pool/spa at a fulfilling level of satisfaction will have a competitive advantage. Dissatisfaction results highlight that the pool/spa might be too small to swim, and the water is cold. Pool and spa are also emphasised in maintenance, decoration, reservation, natural setting, and noise, which supports uncertainties of a relaxed moment via noise provoked by children. Indeed, pools/spas targeted to families with children are more popular, but their services may not correspond to all customers' desires (Koskinen & Wilska, 2019). Thus, the first-rate strategy is to promote a separate pool/spa for families and couples.

As mountain hotels are constrained to the specific territory's physiognomies, results show that customers do not need to be located close to airports (Zhou et al., 2014). However, results emphasised assessability issues, such as distance, city, road, and difficulty, which can be partly improved by offering a pick-up and a drop-off service. Considering the hotel's environment and that customers enjoy natural surroundings, the view beneficially meets customers' expectations. Accordingly, it is one of the most relevant attributes of mountain hotels. Thus, hoteliers should highly promote the landscape since results suggest that customers value the view over the mountain (Khoo-Lattimore & Ekiz, 2014). Following visitors' motivations, the natural setting emerged, emphasising the image of gardens and the outdoor surroundings as a distinctive attractiveness factor (Pan & Ryan, 2007). Therefore, this is a relevant differentiating attribute of mountain hotels.

The local food attribute is a high-relevance factor for hotel mountain customers. This attribute is unique under this market segment as it is not mentioned in previous studies (Kim et al., 2016; Xu & Li, 2016). Accordingly, local products should be included in the hotel experience (Brochado et al., 2020). The high restaurant price was also emphasised (Zhou et al., 2014), revealing that the hotel mountain experiences are expensive. To meet the high price expectations, hoteliers can develop seasonal cuisines, encourage customers to customise their dishes (Lai, 2015), avoid purchasing items from distant regions during off-seasons, and increase nutritional worth to favour higher value (Frash et al., 2015). Contrary to previous studies (Li et al., 2013), hotel mountain customers highly value the air conditioner. As mountain hotels are widely affected by severe climatological variations (Favre-Bonte et al., 2019), air condition is among the most influential attributes. Thus, uncontrolled temperatures are dissatisfaction factors that should be avoided.

These results emphasise the context-specific nature of customers' preferences, underscoring the need for tailored hotel management strategies. It supports the idea that analysing other market segments may reveal different patterns that are not

evident across the entire market (Stringam & Gerdes, 2010). For instance, housekeeping is among the core attributes of budget hotels (Peng et al., 2015). However, in this study, housekeeping is one of the attributes with less weight.

6. Conclusions and Implications

This study aimed to answer the following research question: What drives hotel mountain customers' satisfaction in UGC?

This study's results suggest that pool/spa is the most relevant differentiating attribute of mountain hotels, which remarkably is an attribute not recognised for its influence in various contexts due to its lack of evidence in previous studies (Guo et al., 2017; Khoo-Lattimore & Ekiz, 2014; Xu & Li, 2016). In addition, the nonexistence recognition of factors such as location, view, natural setting, local food, and air conditioning in previous studies underscores its significance as a distinctive attribute in this segment. This study unveiled mountain hotel strengths/weaknesses from the customers' perspective, confirming the expectation-disconfirmation (Oliver, 1980) and two-factor theory (Herzberg et al., 2010). The results of this study confirm previous studies suggesting that visitors' satisfaction varies according to nationality (Vieira et al., 2021) and season (Geng et al., 2021). The higher the number of comments, the lower the satisfaction (Furtado et al., 2022). The results are also in line with prior studies identifying room experience (features, amenities) and service quality (staff interactions, food services) as crucial dimensions (Guo et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2014). Contrary to other studies (Kozak & Rimmington, 2000), findings suggest that satisfaction in winter is significantly higher than in summer. This study finds no significant difference in sentiment between genders, contrary to previous research (Kladou & Mavragani, 2015), suggesting no gender-based differences in preferences and satisfaction levels.

6.1 *Theoretical Implications*

This study expanded the literature on hotel customer satisfaction (e.g., Furtado et al., 2022; Rita et al., 2022), segment-specific research in hospitality industry (e.g., Berezina et al., 2016; Omran et al., 2023), and experiential tourism in unique contexts (e.g., Brochado et al., 2020; Solakis et al., 2022), by highlighting the asymmetric results across mountain hotel customer satisfaction in UGG. In essence, this study deepens the theoretical understanding of mountain hotel customer satisfaction and paves the way for future research in niche areas of the hospitality sector.

6.2 *Practical Implications*

We should address implications for research and hotel management. First, results emphasise that hoteliers should pay attention to pool/spa, location, view, natural setting, local food, and facility problems such as the restaurants' high prices and air-conditioning. Hotel managers should direct efforts to enhance value and increase satisfaction. Within these factors, this study underscores the pivotal role of offering a satisfying pool/spa experience to give mountain hotels a competitive edge. However, dissatisfaction factors such as pool size, water temperature, and noise, especially from children, reveal subtleties. A strategic approach involves creating separate pool/spa areas for families and couples. As results also emphasised accessibility issues, satisfaction can be partly improved by offering a pick-up and a drop-off service. As mountain hotel customers highly value natural views, considering them a key factor in their satisfaction, hoteliers should leverage this preference by promoting the scenic landscape. Furthermore, to address price concerns and enrich menu choices, hoteliers should align with customers' preferences in considering local food.

Second, this study's results add essential elements of mountain hotel customers that go beyond their experience evaluation. The SA results reveal that the sentiment score in the winter is significantly higher than in the summer, demonstrating seasonal variations in customer satisfaction. Customers with a higher-than-average number of comments score lower sentiment, emphasising the importance of addressing these customers' concerns. Additionally, the results suggest that French and Portuguese visitors consistently have a higher sentiment score than visitors from other countries. These insights enhance our understanding of customer experiences and offer practical guidance for service improvements. Such knowledge may help profile reservations, marketing strategies, and customer interactions to align with the preferences of customers, ultimately fostering a more satisfying and personalised hospitality experience.

6.3 *Limitations and suggestions for future research*

The difficulty in recognising irony and sarcasm might cause misunderstanding in SA (Farias & Rosso, 2017). The content analysis moderated this constraint. However, by its nature, a qualitative approach has limitations in terms of objectivity. Further quantitative research is desired to endorse its robustness. The dataset comes from a single website, which may impair the observations obtained. The sample comprises reviews from customers of four and five-star hotels in the mountains of the EUGG. Some caution in exercising extended findings is necessary to all reviews found online, nor should they be extended to reviews of other types of hotels. Using larger datasets of various reviews across UNESCO's Global Geoparks mountain hotels will support this study's specific findings for future studies.

Credit author statement

All authors have contributed equally. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

Ahania, A., Nilashi, M., Ibrahim, O., Sanzogni, L., & Weaven, S. (2019). Market segmentation and travel choice prediction in Spa hotels through TripAdvisor's online reviews. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 80(March 2018), 52–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.01.003

Alegre, J., & Garau, J. (2010). Tourist satisfaction and dissatisfaction. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 37(1), 52–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2009.07.001

Amado, A., Cortez, P., Rita, P., & Moro, S. (2018). Research trends on big data in marketing: a text mining and topic modeling based literature analysis. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 24(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2017.06.002

Assis, H., & Inamdar, N. (2007). Tourism and mountains: a practical guide to managing the environmental and social impacts of mountain tours. *Conservation International*, 51. https://wedocs.unep.org/xmlui/handle/20.500.11822/7687

Belarmino, A., Whalen, E., Koh, Y., & Bowen, J. T. (2019). Comparing guests' key attributes of peer-to-peer accommodations and hotels: mixed-methods approach. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 22(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2017.1293623

Berezina, K., Bilgihan, A., Cobanoglu, C., & Okumus, F. (2016). Understanding satisfied and dissatisfied hotel customers: text mining of online hotel reviews. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 25(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2015.983631

Beverland, M. B., Eckhardt, G. M., Sands, S., & Shankar, A. (2021). How brands craft national identity. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 48(4), 586–609. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucaa062

Boo, S., & Busser, J. A. (2018). Meeting planners' online reviews of destination hotels: A twofold content analysis approach. *Tourism Management*, 66, 287–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2017.11.014

Booth, C. L. (2014). Experiences and wisdom behind the numbers: Qualitative analysis of the national action alliance for suicide prevention's research prioritisation task force stakeholder survey. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 47(3), 106–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2014.05.021

Brochado, A., Troilo, M., Rodrigues, H., & Oliveira-brochado, F. (2020). Dimensions of wine hotel experiences shared online experiences. *International Journal of Wine Business Research*, 32(1), 59–77. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJWBR-12-2018-0072

Bueno, S., & Gallego, M. D. (2021). eWOM in C2C platforms: combining IAM and customer satisfaction to examine the impact on purchase intention. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 16(5), 1612–1630. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16050091

CBI. (2020). The European market potential for nature and ecotourism (Issue January). Retrieved 27 January 2023 from https://www.cbi.eu/market-information/tourism/nature-tourism/nature-eco-tourism/market-potential

Chan, J., & Baum, T. (2007). Researching consumer satisfaction: an extension of Herzberg's motivator and hygiene factor theory. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*, 23(1), 71–83. https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v23n01_06

Coelho, P., Rita, P., & Ramos, R. F. (2023). How the response to service incidents change customer–firm relationships. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 32(2), 168–184. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-05-2021-0157

del Río, J. A. J., Agüera, F. O., Cuadra, S. M., & Morales, P. C. (2017). Satisfaction in border tourism: an analysis with structural equations. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 23(2), 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2017.02.001

Deng, W., Yi, M., & Lu, Y. (2020). Vote or not? How various information cues affect helpfulness voting of online reviews. *Online Information Review*, 44(4), 787–803. https://doi.org/10.1108/OIR-10-2018-0292

Dudley, N., Stolton, S., & Shadie, P. (2013). Guidelines for applying protected area management categories. Retrieved 15 January 2023 from https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/pag-021.pdf

Duglio, S., & Letey, M. (2019). The role of a national park in classifying mountain tourism destinations: an exploratory study of the Italian Western Alps. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 16(7), 1675–1690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-018-5356-9

Eagles, P. F. J. (2014). Research priorities in park tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 22(4), 528–549. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2013.785554

Fang, B., Ye, Q., Kucukusta, D., & Law, R. (2016). Analysis of the perceived value of online tourism reviews: Influence of readability and reviewer characteristics. *Tourism Management*, 52, 498–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.07.018

FAO. (2011). *Why invest in sustainable mountain development?* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Farias, D. I. H., & Rosso, P. (2017). Irony, sarcasm, and sentiment analysis. In Sentiment Analysis in Social Networks (pp. 113–128). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804412-4.00007-3

Favre-Bonte, V., Gardet, E., & Thevenard-Puthod, C. (2019). The influence of territory on innovation network design in mountain tourism resorts. *European Planning Studies*, 27(5), 1035–1057. https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2019.1588856

Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). Sage Publications Ltd.

Franco, M., García, A., & Cataluña, F. (2016). Online customer service reviews in urban hotels: a data mining approach. *Psychology & Marketing*, 30(6), 461–469. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar

Frash, R., DiPietro, R., & Smith, W. (2015). Pay more for McLocal? Examining motivators for willingness to pay for local food in a chain restaurant setting. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 24(4), 411–434. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2014.911715

Frleta, D., & Jurdana, D. (2018). Seasonal variation in urban tourist satisfaction. *Tourism Review*, 73(3), 344–358. https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-09-2017-0148

Furtado, A., Ramos, R. F., Maia, B., & Costa, J. M. (2022). Predictors of hotel clients' satisfaction in the cape verde islands. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2677. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052677

García, I., & Pérez, R. (2011). Effects of dissatisfaction in tourist services: the role of anger and regret. *Tourism Management*, 32(6), 1397–1406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2011.01.016

Geetha, M., Singha, P., & Sinha, S. (2017). Relationship between customer sentiment and online customer ratings for hotels - An empirical analysis. *Tourism Management*, 61, 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.12.022

Geng, D. C., Innes, J. L., Wu, W., Wang, W., & Wang, G. (2021). Seasonal variation in visitor satisfaction and its management implications in Banff National Park. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1681. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041681

Guo, Y., Barnes, S. J., & Jia, Q. (2017). Mining meaning from online ratings and reviews: tourist satisfaction analysis using latent dirichlet allocation. *Tourism Management*, 59, 467–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.09.009

Han, H., Lho, L. H., & Kim, H.-C. (2019). Airport green environment and its influence on visitors' psychological health and behaviors. *Sustainability*, 11(24), 7018. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11247018

Han, H., Meng, B., & Kim, W. (2017). Bike-traveling as a growing phenomenon: role of attributes, value, satisfaction, desire, and gender in developing loyalty. *Tourism Management*, 59, 91–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2016.07.013

Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. (2010). The motivation to work. In *Transaction Publishers* (12th ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Hodsdon, L. (2020). 'I expected … something': imagination, legend, and history in TripAdvisor reviews of Tintagel Castle. *Journal of Heritage Tourism*, 15(4), 410–423. https://doi.org/10.1080/1743873X.2019.1664558

Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277–1288. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687

Johnson, G. D., & Grier, S. A. (2013). Understanding the influence of cross-cultural Consumer-to-Consumer Interaction on consumer service satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(3), 306–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.08.010

Khoo-Lattimore, C., & Ekiz, E. H. (2014). Power in praise: exploring online compliments on luxury hotels in Malaysia. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 14(3), 152–159. https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358414539970

Kim, B., Kim, S. (Sam), & Heo, C. Y. (2019). Consequences of customer dissatisfaction in upscale and budget hotels: focusing on dissatisfied customers' attitude toward a hotel. *International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Administration*, 20(1), 15–46. https://doi.org/10.1080/15256480.2017.1359728

Kim, B., Kim, S., & Heo, C. Y. (2016). Analysis of satisfiers and dissatisfiers in online hotel reviews on social media. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(9), 1915–1936. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-04-2015-0177

Kladou, S., & Mavragani, E. (2015). Assessing destination image: an online marketing approach and the case of TripAdvisor. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 4(3), 187–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2015.04.003

Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (4th ed.). The Guilford Press.

Koskinen, V., & Wilska, T. A. (2019). Identifying and understanding spa tourists' wellness attitudes. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, 19(3), 259–277. https://doi.org/10.1080/15022250.2018.1467276

Kozak, M., & Rimmington, M. (2000). Tourist satisfaction with Mallorca, Spain, as an off-season holiday destination. *Journal of Travel Research*, 38(3), 260–269. https://doi.org/10.1177/004728750003800308

Kuščer, K., Mihalič, T., & Pechlaner, H. (2017). Innovation, sustainable tourism and environments in mountain destination development: a comparative analysis of Austria, Slovenia and Switzerland. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25(4), 489–504. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2016.1223086

Lai, I. K. W. (2015). The roles of value, satisfaction, and commitment in the effect of service quality on customer loyalty in Hong Kong–Style tea restaurants. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 56(1), 118–138. https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965514556149

Lee, J., & Hong, I. B. (2021). The influence of situational constraints on consumers' evaluation and use of online reviews: a heuristic-systematic model perspective. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 16(5), 1517–1536. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer16050085

Li, H., Ye, Q., & Law, R. (2013). Determinants of customer satisfaction in the hotel industry: an application of online review analysis. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 18(7), 784–802. https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2012.708351

Lu, W., & Stepchenkova, S. (2015). User-generated content as a research mode in tourism and hospitality applications: topics, methods, and software. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 24(2), 119–154. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2014.907758

Matzler, K., Füller, J., Renzl, B., Herting, S., & Späth, S. (2008). Customer satisfaction with Alpine Ski Areas: the moderating effects of personal, situational, and product factors. *Journal of Travel Research*, 46(4), 403–413. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287507312401

Mutana, S., & Mukwada, G. (2018). Mountain-route tourism and sustainability. A discourse analysis of literature and possible future research. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 24(8), 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2018.08.003

O'Connor, P. (2010). Managing a hotel's image on Tripadvisor. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 19(7), 754–772. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2010.508007

Oliveira, A. S., Renda, A. I., Correia, M. B., & Antonio, N. (2022). Hotel customer segmentation and sentiment analysis through online reviews: an analysis of selected European markets. *Tourism & Management Studies*, 18(1), 29–40. https://doi.org/10.18089/tms.2022.180103

Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17(4), 460–469. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378001700405

Olorunsola, V. O., Saydam, M. B., Lasisi, T. T., & Eluwole, K. K. (2023). Customer experience management in capsule hotels: a content analysis of guest online review. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-03-2022-0113

Omran, W., Ramos, R. F., & Casais, B. (2023). Virtual reality and augmented reality applications and their effect on tourist engagement: a hybrid review. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTT-11-2022-0299

Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Leech, N. L., & Collins, K. M. T. (2012). Qualitative analysis techniques for the review of the literature. *Qualitative Report*, 17(28), 1–28.

Pan, S., & Ryan, C. (2007). Mountain areas and visitor usage - Motivations and determinants of satisfaction: the case of Pirongia Forest Park, New Zealand. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 15(3), 288–308. https://doi.org/10.2167/jost662.0

Peng, J., Zhao, X., & Mattila, A. S. (2015). Improving service management in budget hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 49, 139–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.06.005

Pereira, F., Costa, J. M., Ramos, R., & Raimundo, A. (2023). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on airlines' passenger satisfaction. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 112, 102441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2023.102441

Pickering, C., Walden-Schreiner, C., Barros, A., & Rossi, S. D. (2020). Using social media images and text to examine how tourists view and value the highest mountain in Australia. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 29(August 2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2019.100252

Popovic, G., Stanujkic, D., Brzakovic, M., & Karabasevic, D. (2019). A multiple-criteria decision-making model for the selection of a hotel location. *Land Use Policy*, 84, 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.03.001

Prayag, G., Hassibi, S., & Nunkoo, R. (2019). A systematic review of consumer satisfaction studies in hospitality journals: conceptual development, research approaches and future prospects. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 28(1), 51–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2018.1504367

Rama, M., Erazo, C., Sánchez, A., & García, J. (2019). Mountain tourism research. A review. *European Journal of Tourism Research*, 22(July), 130–150.

Ramos, R. F., Biscaia, R., Moro, S., & Kunkel, T. (2022). Understanding the importance of sport stadium visits to teams and cities through the eyes of online reviewers. *Leisure Studies*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/02614367.2022.2131888

Ramos, Rita, P., & Moro, S. (2019). From institutional websites to social media and mobile applications: a usability perspective. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 25(3), 138–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2019.07.001

Rita, P., Ramos, R. F., Borges-Tiago, M. T., & Rodrigues, D. (2022). Impact of the rating system on sentiment and tone of voice: a Booking.com and TripAdvisor comparison study. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 104, 103245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103245

Rita, P., Ramos, R. F., Moro, S., Mealha, M., & Radu, L. (2020). Online dating apps as a marketing channel: a generational approach. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 30(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-10-2019-0192

Schägner, J. P., Brander, L., Maes, J., Paracchini, M. L., & Hartje, V. (2016). Mapping recreational visits and values of European National Parks by combining statistical modelling and unit value transfer. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 31, 71–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2016.03.001

Solakis, K., Katsoni, V., Mahmoud, A. B., & Grigoriou, N. (2022). Factors affecting value co-creation through artificial intelligence in tourism: a general literature review. *Journal of Tourism Futures*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JTF-06-2021-0157

Statista. (2023). Number of recreational visitors to the Rocky Mountain National Park in the United States from 2008 to 2019. Retrieved 18 January 2023 from https://www.statista.com/statistics/254212/number-of-visitors-to-rocky-mountain-national-park-in-the-us/

Stringam, B. B., & Gerdes, J. (2010). An analysis of word-of-mouse ratings and guest comments of online hotel distribution sites. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 19(7), 773–796. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2010.508009

TripAdvisor. (2023). About TripAdvisor. TripAdvisor. Retrieved 19 January 2023 from https://tripadvisor.mediaroom.com/US-about-us

UNESCO. (2017). *A New Roadmap for the Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme and its World Network of Biosphere Reserves*. UNESCO.

Vieira, J. C., Jordan, E., & Santos, C. (2021). The effect of nationality on visitor satisfaction and willingness to recommend a destination: a joint modeling approach. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 39, 100850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2021.100850

Wang, W., Ying, S., Lyu, J., & Qi, X. (2019). Perceived image study with online data from social media: the case of boutique hotels in China. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 119(5), 950–967. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-11-2018-0483

Weiner, B. (2000). Attributional thoughts about consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(3), 382–387. https://doi.org/10.1086/317592

Xiang, Z., Schwartz, Z., Gerdes, J. H., & Uysal, M. (2015). What can big data and text analytics tell us about hotel guest experience and satisfaction? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 44, 120–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2014.10.013

Xu, X., & Li, Y. (2016). The antecedents of customer satisfaction and dissatisfaction toward various types of hotels: a text mining approach. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 55, 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2016.03.003

Zgolli, S., & Zaiem, I. (2017). Customer-to-customer interaction in tourism experience: Moderating role of nationality. *Arab Economic and Business Journal*, 12(1), 44–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aebj.2017.03.001

Zhou, L., Ye, S., Pearce, P. L., & Wu, M. Y. (2014). Refreshing hotel satisfaction studies by reconfiguring customer review data. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 38, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.12.004