Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología - Serie de Conferencias. 2023; 2:582
doi: 10.56294/sctconf2023582

Categoría: Education, Teaching, Learning and Assessment

REVIEW

Impact of Artificial Intelligence on learning behaviors and psychological well-being of college students

Impacto de la inteligencia artificial en los comportamientos de aprendizaje y el bienestar psicológico de los estudiantes universitarios

Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernandez[1], Mayra Lucia Rodriguez-Pérez[1], Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés[1]

[1] Faculty of Health Sciences. Technical University of Ambato. Ambato, Ecuador.

Cite as: Velastegui-Hernandez DC, Salazar-Garcés LF, Rodriguez-Pérez ML. Impact of Artificial Intelligence on learning behaviors and psychological well-being of college students. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología - Serie de Conferencias. 2023 2:582. https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2023582

Received: 16-06-2023 Revised: 17-09-2023 Accepted: 22-12-2023 Published: 23-12-2023

Editor: Dr. William Castillo-González

ABSTRACT

Introduction: the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) systems in education has sparked debate regarding their impact on the psychological well-being of university students. As mental health is crucial for their development and academic success, it is essential to assess how interactions with technology affect their psyche.
Objective: this article aims to provide a systematic review of studies investigating the impact of AI on the psychological well-being of university students, identifying trends, effects, and areas requiring further research.
Method: a comprehensive search was conducted in databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and PsycINFO, using terms related to AI and mental health. Empirical studies published between 2015 and 2023 were included. The selection and analysis of studies were guided by PRISMA guidelines.
Discussion: the review indicates that while some AI systems offer personalized support benefiting learning and mental health, others may generate stress and anxiety due to information overload and a lack of meaningful human interaction. Underlying psychological theories explaining these phenomena are discussed.
Conclusions: educational technology designers must integrate psychological principles in the development of AI tools to maximize benefits and minimize risks to student well-being. Future research should explore in depth how specific features of AI affect different dimensions of psychological well-being.

Key words: Artificial Intelligence in Education; Psychological Well-being; Student Mental Health; Educational Technologies; Psychosocial Impact.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la integración de sistemas de inteligencia artificial (IA) en la educación ha suscitado debate sobre su impacto en el bienestar psicológico de estudiantes universitarios. Dado que la salud mental de esta población es fundamental para su desarrollo y éxito académico, es crucial evaluar cómo las interacciones con la tecnología afectan su psiquis.
Objetivo: este artículo busca proporcionar una revisión sistemática de los estudios que investigan el impacto de la IA en el bienestar psicológico de los estudiantes universitarios, identificando tendencias, efectos y áreas que requieren mayor investigación.
Método: se realizó una búsqueda en bases de datos como PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science y PsycINFO, usando términos relacionados con la IA y la salud mental. Se incluyeron estudios empíricos publicados entre

2015 y 2023. La selección y análisis de estudios se guiaron por las directrices PRISMA.
Discusión: la revisión indica que algunos sistemas de IA ofrecen apoyo personalizado que beneficia el aprendizaje y la salud mental, mientras otros pueden generar estrés y ansiedad por la sobrecarga de información y la falta de interacción humana significativa. Se discuten las teorías psicológicas subyacentes que explican estos fenómenos.
Conclusiones: los diseñadores de tecnología educativa deben integrar principios psicológicos en el desarrollo de herramientas de IA para maximizar los beneficios y minimizar los riesgos para el bienestar estudiantil. Futuras investigaciones deben explorar cómo las características específicas de la IA afectan las distintas dimensiones del bienestar psicológico.

Palabras clave: Inteligencia Artificial en Educación; Bienestar Psicológico; Salud Mental de Estudiantes; Tecnologías Educativas; Impacto Psicosocial.

INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational settings has revolutionized learning methodologies and the psychological landscape of university students.[1] As educational institutions increasingly deploy AI tools, from personalized learning algorithms to automated administrative support, understanding their impacts on student behavior and well-being has become crucial.[2,3] This literature review aims to consolidate existing research on the dual influence of AI on learning behaviors and the psychological well-being of college students, offering a comprehensive overview of both beneficial outcomes and potential challenges.

AI technologies, designed to adapt and respond to the needs of individual learners, promise to transform traditional educational paradigms.[4] Systems such as adaptive learning platforms can tailor content to the learner's pace and understanding, potentially enhancing learning efficiency and engagement.[5,6] Moreover, AI-driven analytics help educators identify and address individual learning challenges, potentially reducing barriers to academic success.[7,8] However, the implications of these technologies extend beyond academic performance, influencing various dimensions of students' psychological well-being.[9,10]

Psychological well-being in the context of AI-enhanced education includes aspects such as stress levels, anxiety, and overall mental health.[2] Preliminary studies suggest that while AI can provide significant support, its improper integration can also lead to increased stress and dependency on technology, potentially hindering emotional and cognitive development.[2] For instance, the constant monitoring and data collection inherent in some AI applications can evoke concerns about privacy and autonomy, contributing to anxiety among students.[2,11]

Furthermore, the disparity in access to advanced technological tools can exacerbate existing educational inequalities, impacting students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds disproportionately.[12,13] Such disparities highlight the need for policies that ensure equitable access to AI resources, ensuring that the benefits of AI do not disproportionately favor the already advantaged groups.

The effectiveness of AI in education also depends on its alignment with pedagogical goals and the psychological makeup of the student body.[3,14] It is essential to consider not only the cognitive but also the emotional and social implications of AI applications in educational environments.[14] For instance, while AI can facilitate personalized learning, it may also reduce face-to-face interactions, potentially impacting students' social skills and emotional intelligence.[3,15]

This review will systematically examine empirical evidence from various studies, exploring how AI affects learning behaviors such as engagement, retention, and academic performance, alongside its broader impacts on psychological well-being, including stress, anxiety, and self-efficacy. By synthesizing findings from diverse contexts and methodologies, this paper aims to provide a balanced view of the opportunities and challenges posed by AI in higher education.

In conclusion, as AI becomes more embedded in educational systems, it is imperative to adopt a multidisciplinary approach to understand its full impact. This review seeks to contribute to this understanding by offering insights into how AI technologies influence not only the academic but also the psychological dimensions of university students' lives.

Definition of Key Terms

To ensure clarity and precision in discussing the complex interactions between artificial intelligence (AI), learning behaviors, and psychological well-being, it is imperative to define the key terms that will be frequently referenced throughout this review. The terminologies adopted not only anchor the discussion but also align with the scholarly understanding pertinent to educational technologies. As AI continues to permeate educational settings, it reshapes traditional learning landscapes and necessitates a reassessment of how these technologies

influence student engagement and mental health. By explicating terms such as "Artificial Intelligence," "Learning Behaviors," and "Psychological Well-being," this section aims to provide a foundational framework that supports a nuanced analysis of the empirical findings discussed later in this paper. The definitions provided will reflect both the technological aspects of AI in educational systems and their psychosocial impacts, ensuring a holistic view of the subject matter.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): in the context of this review, AI refers to systems or machines that mimic human intelligence to perform tasks and can iteratively improve themselves based on the information they collect.[16,17] AI in education typically encompasses technologies such as machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and robotics, which are used to enhance educational processes and personalization.[18,19]

Learning Behaviors: Learning behaviors are the observable actions or processes through which students engage with educational content and contexts to acquire or modify knowledge, skills, attitudes, or behaviors.[20] In the framework of AI-enhanced education, these behaviors extend to interactions with AI tools, adaptation to personalized learning environments, and engagement with automated content delivery systems.[21,22]

Psychological Well-being: Psychological well-being in this review is defined as a state encompassing the presence of positive emotions and moods (e.g., contentment, happiness), the absence of negative emotions (e.g., depression, anxiety), satisfaction with life, fulfillment and positive functioning.[23] In educational settings, this includes students' emotional and mental health states as they interact with both traditional and AI-enhanced learning environments.[10,24]

Adaptive Learning Platforms: These are AI-driven platforms that adjust the educational content according to the unique needs of each learner.[25] By analyzing data on student performance and learning habits, these systems provide personalized resources and learning paths, aiming to optimize the learning experience for effectiveness and efficiency.[5]

Automated Administrative Support: Refers to the use of AI technologies to automate routine tasks traditionally performed by human administrators in educational institutions, such as scheduling, student inquiries, and management of educational resources. This support is intended to streamline operations and allow educational staff to focus more on teaching and less on administrative duties.[5,26]

Natural Language Processing (NLP): A branch of AI that deals with the interaction between computers and humans through the natural language. In educational settings, NLP is used to develop tools that can understand and respond to student inputs in their natural language, facilitating more intuitive learning and interaction.[28,29]

Machine Learning Algorithms: In the educational context, these are algorithms that learn from and make predictions or decisions based on data.[29] Machine learning is used in various educational applications, from predictive analytics identifying at-risk students to adaptive learning systems that customize educational content.[30,31]

AI Tools in Education

In the evolving landscape of higher education, a variety of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies have been integrated into university environments, significantly enhancing both the teaching methodologies and the administrative frameworks.[18,19] These AI tools are not just supplementary elements but are becoming central to educational delivery and student engagement strategies.

Learning Management Systems (LMS): Modern LMSs are increasingly powered by AI to provide more personalized learning experiences and to automate administrative tasks.[32,33] AI in LMS can track student progress, predict learning outcomes, and tailor content to meet the individual needs of students.[32] For instance, systems like Canvas and Moodle now incorporate AI to analyze student discussion inputs and provide automated feedback, helping to streamline the learning process and identify students who might require additional support.[34,35,36]

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS): These systems represent a significant leap in personalized education, using AI to simulate one-on-one tutoring experiences.[37,38] ITS utilize complex algorithms to assess student knowledge levels and adapt instructional strategies accordingly.[37] They provide immediate, personalized feedback and detailed explanations, adjusting to the student's pace.[38] Systems like Carnegie Learning's MATHia utilize machine learning to adapt problems in real-time, offering challenges that are neither too easy nor too difficult.[39,40]

Educational Data Analytics: AI-driven data analytics tools are used to interpret vast amounts of educational data collected through various digital platforms.[21] These tools analyze patterns and insights from data on student behaviors, engagement levels, and learning outcomes, enabling educators to make informed decisions.[41,42] For example, platforms like Knewton provide analytics that help educators understand student performance trends and develop targeted interventions to assist at-risk students.[8,43]

Automated Response Systems: Leveraging natural language processing, automated response systems can answer student inquiries instantly, providing information and solving common issues without human intervention.[44,45] This not only enhances student satisfaction by providing timely responses but also reduces the workload

on university staff.

Adaptive Learning Platforms: These platforms use AI to dynamically adjust content and assessments based on individual learning trajectories.[46,47] By continuously analyzing student responses, adaptive platforms can identify the most effective teaching methods and learning paths for each student, significantly improving learning efficiency.[47] Tools like DreamBox Learning offer mathematics education that adjusts in real-time, reflecting the student's ability to grasp concepts.[46,48]

Impact of AI on Learning Behaviors

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational settings has markedly transformed the learning experience for university students, particularly through personalization, enhanced motivation, and improved retention.[49,50] This section discusses how AI influences these aspects of learning behaviors.

Personalization of Learning: AI facilitates a highly personalized learning environment by adapting educational content and methodologies to meet the individual needs of students.[51,52] Intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, and personalized learning environments analyze the ongoing performance and learning styles of students, tailoring the instructional content accordingly.[5,53] For instance, AI systems can modify the complexity of problems based on student responses or suggest additional resources to address specific weaknesses.[15,19,54,55] This customization helps in aligning educational experiences with individual learning curves, significantly enhancing learner engagement and efficacy.

Influence on Motivation: AI-enhanced learning tools can significantly boost student motivation by providing immediate feedback and recognizing achievements with digital badges and other rewards.[56,57] These systems create a dynamic learning environment that continuously engages students. AI-driven analytics also enable educators to identify and intervene when students show signs of decreased engagement or motivation, thus maintaining a high level of engagement throughout the course.[57,58,59] Moreover, the novelty and interactiveness of AI tools can increase curiosity and enthusiasm for learning, which are crucial motivators for student participation.

Effects on Retention of Information: The adaptive nature of AI in education helps in optimizing the retention of information.[5] By facilitating spaced repetition and revisiting key concepts at optimal intervals based on individual student data, AI systems ensure that knowledge is reinforced and retained.[58,60] Additionally, AI can identify the best teaching strategies for different types of content, thereby enhancing the overall retention rates.[61,62] For example, visual learners might receive more graphical content, while auditory learners might benefit from increased use of audio explanations.[63,64]

Impact on Learning Strategies: AI technologies also transform learning strategies by introducing sophisticated data-driven methods.[65,66] With big data analytics and machine learning, educational AI systems can uncover effective learning patterns and promote strategies that lead to better academic outcomes.[14,67] These might include recommending group studies when beneficial or suggesting individual study plans based on predictive performance outcomes.[68]

As AI continues to evolve, its role in enhancing learning behaviors through personalization, motivation, and retention is becoming increasingly significant.[19] The capability of AI to adapt to the individual learning journey not only enhances educational outcomes but also supports a deeper, more meaningful learning process.[3]

Impact on Psychological Well-being

The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in university settings extends beyond educational outcomes, significantly influencing the psychological well-being of students.[2] This section explores the nuanced impacts of AI on student stress and anxiety, self-efficacy, autonomy, and highlights potential psychological risks associated with dependency on these technologies.

Stress and Anxiety: While AI tools are designed to enhance learning efficiency and adaptability, they can also be sources of stress and anxiety.[69,70] The pressure to keep up with AI-driven learning paces, constant monitoring, and evaluation through digital platforms may increase stress levels among students.[71] Furthermore, the impersonal nature of some AI interactions can exacerbate feelings of isolation, particularly when students are struggling with complex or challenging material without human support.[72] However, AI can also mitigate stress by providing students with instant feedback and additional learning resources tailored to their needs, potentially easing the anxiety associated with uncertainty in their academic performance.[71]

Self-Efficacy and Autonomy: AI's ability to personalize learning experiences can have a dual effect on self-efficacy.[73] On one hand, adaptive learning technologies that adjust to individual learning styles and paces can enhance students' confidence in their ability to master subjects at their own speed.[74,75] On the other hand, over-reliance on AI for learning guidance and decision-making can diminish a student's sense of autonomy and self-driven learning, potentially impairing the development of independent problem-solving skills.[76,77] It is crucial for educational AI implementations to strike a balance, ensuring that while supportive, they still encourage students to take initiative and build their independent learning competencies.[78,79,80]

https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2023582

Psychological Risks: The dependency on AI technologies introduces several psychological risks.[81,82,83,84] The foremost concern is the potential for reduced human interaction, as AI takes over more aspects of the educational process.[16] This shift can affect social learning and interpersonal development, which are critical components of university education.[85,86] Additionally, the constant data collection and surveillance capabilities of AI tools can lead to privacy concerns and the fear of being constantly watched, which can be psychologically unsettling for students.[87,88]

Moreover, the predictive nature of some AI applications might pigeonhole students into specific learning paths, reducing their exposure to a broader curriculum and potentially stifling creativity and critical thinking by promoting a too narrow focus on predicted strengths or weaknesses.[89,90,91]

While AI has the potential to transform educational experiences positively by supporting personalized and adaptive learning, it is essential to remain vigilant about its psychological impacts. Institutions must implement AI tools thoughtfully, with considerations for their broad implications on student well-being. Ensuring that AI aids rather than hinders the development of critical life skills such as self-regulation, resilience, and interpersonal communication is vital for the holistic development of students.

Diversity and Equity in AI-Enhanced Education

Artificial Intelligence (AI) holds transformative potential for personalizing education and making learning more accessible.[92] However, its impact on diversity and equity within university settings is a subject of critical importance that warrants a thorough examination.[15] This section explores whether AI in education serves as a tool for bridging disparities or if it inadvertently perpetuates existing inequalities among students from diverse backgrounds.

Addressing Inequalities: AI technologies can potentially level the educational playing field by providing personalized learning experiences tailored to the needs of each student, regardless of their background.[15,93] For instance, AI-driven platforms can adapt to various learning styles and speeds, which is particularly beneficial for students who may not thrive under traditional teaching methods.[94] Programs such as ELLI (Enhanced Learning through Intelligent Algorithms) are designed to identify learning gaps and offer customized support, thereby potentially reducing the performance gap between students from varying academic and cultural backgrounds.[95,96,97]

Perpetuating Inequalities: Despite the potential benefits, there are significant concerns that AI might reinforce existing disparities.[97,98] One major issue is the digital divide—the gap between those who have access to modern information and communication technology and those who do not.[99] Students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may lack access to the necessary hardware and broadband internet required to benefit from AI-based educational tools, thus exacerbating educational inequalities.[100,101] Additionally, AI algorithms are often only as unbiased as the data they are trained on; if the underlying data reflects historical biases, the AI's outputs could perpetuate these biases, affecting minority students adversely.[102,103]

Accessibility of AI Technologies: Ensuring that AI educational tools are accessible to all students is crucial for fostering equity.[15,104] Accessibility involves not only physical access to technology but also the design of AI systems that are inclusive of students with disabilities and those who speak languages other than English.[105,106] For example, AI applications that incorporate multilingual support and are designed with user-friendly interfaces can help bridge the language barriers and improve usability for students with varying abilities.[107,108]

Recommendations for Enhancing Equity: To harness AI's potential for promoting equity in education, policymakers and educational institutions must implement several strategic measures:[109]

1. Infrastructure Investment: schools and governments should invest in infrastructure that provides all students with high-speed internet and modern devices.[110]
2. Bias Mitigation: AI developers must prioritize the creation of algorithms that are transparent and free from biases. This involves using diverse datasets for training and regular audits for bias.[111]
3. Inclusive Design: AI tools should be designed with input from a diverse group of stakeholders, ensuring they meet the broad needs of various student groups, including those with special educational needs.[112,113]

While AI in education has the potential to significantly enhance learning outcomes and access, it also poses risks of deepening inequalities if not carefully managed. By addressing these challenges proactively, educators and technologists can ensure that AI serves as a force for equity and inclusion in higher education.

Diversity and Equity in AI-Enhanced Education

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education promises significant advancements in personalized learning and operational efficiency.[114] However, its role in addressing or perpetuating inequalities among students of various socio-economic, cultural, and academic backgrounds remains a critical concern.[115] This section evaluates whether AI technologies in education serve as tools for fostering diversity and equity or whether they inadvertently deepen existing disparities.

Addressing Inequalities: AI can potentially democratize education by offering personalized learning experiences that adapt to the needs of each student, regardless of their background.[116] For example, AI-driven programs can provide additional support to students who may need more time to understand complex concepts, thereby leveling the playing field for those who might not have access to private tutoring.[117,118] Furthermore, AI can help non-native speakers by offering real-time language translation services, making educational content more accessible to students from diverse linguistic backgrounds.[119]

Perpetuating Inequalities: Despite these advantages, there are significant concerns regarding the accessibility of AI technologies.[120] Students from lower socio-economic backgrounds may not have reliable access to the necessary technology and high-speed internet required to benefit from AI-based educational tools, thus exacerbating the digital divide.[121] Moreover, if AI systems are trained on data that is not sufficiently diverse, their algorithms could perpetuate biases, thereby disadvantaging minority students.[122]

Accessibility of AI Technologies: Ensuring that AI tools are accessible to all students is a paramount concern.[80] This includes not only the physical availability of technology but also the design and functionality of AI systems to accommodate students with disabilities.[123] For example, AI tools must be developed with interfaces that are usable for students with various types of disabilities, such as visual or auditory impairments.[124]

Strategies to Enhance Equity: To maximize the benefits of AI in education and mitigate its risks, several strategies can be employed:

1. Infrastructure Development: educational institutions and governments should invest in infrastructure that ensures all students have access to the necessary technological tools and internet connectivity.[125,126,127]
2. Inclusive Design: AI systems should be designed with input from a diverse range of stakeholders to ensure they cater to the varied needs of different student groups.[128,129]
3. Bias Mitigation: it is crucial to train AI systems on diverse datasets and to implement regular audits for algorithmic bias to prevent the perpetuation of stereotypes and inequalities.[129]

DISCUSSION

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) continues to evolve and integrate within higher education, identifying gaps in existing research and proposing future directions is crucial for maximizing its potential benefits and addressing its challenges.[130,131] This section outlines several key areas where further research is necessary and anticipates possible technological developments in AI that could significantly influence higher education.

Identifying Research Gaps:

1. Equity and Accessibility: while AI has the potential to transform educational experiences, research into how it can be leveraged to bridge rather than widen the gap between different student demographics remains sparse.[132,133] Future studies need to focus on developing and evaluating AI tools that are accessible to all students, including those from diverse backgrounds and those with disabilities.
2. Ethical Implications: there is a need for more comprehensive research into the ethical implications of AI in education, particularly concerning data privacy, consent, and security.[134,135,136] Studies should explore how institutions can implement AI technologies in ways that respect student privacy and ensure data is used ethically and responsibly.[134,136]
3. Long-term Impact: the long-term impact of AI on student learning and psychological well-being is still not well-understood.[137,138] Longitudinal studies are necessary to assess how sustained use of AI affects learning outcomes, career preparedness, and the mental health of students.[10]
4. AI and Faculty Roles: research is needed to understand how AI is reshaping the roles and responsibilities of faculty.[139,140] This includes exploring how AI tools can enhance faculty effectiveness without replacing the essential human elements of teaching.[139]

Future Technological Developments:

1. Advanced Predictive Analytics: future developments in AI could enhance predictive analytics to more accurately identify students at risk of underperforming or dropping out [141,142]. These systems could provide real-time interventions tailored to individual academic needs and personal circumstances.[141]
2. AI-Driven Personalization Engines: the next generation of AI personalization engines may use deeper insights into cognitive and emotional aspects of learning to tailor educational experiences more effectively.[142,143,144] This could involve technologies that adjust teaching methods based on real-time analysis of student emotions and engagement levels.
3. Augmented Reality (AR) and AI Integration: AR integrated with AI could create immersive educational environments that simulate real-world scenarios for fields such as medicine, engineering, and the arts, providing students with hands-on experience in a controlled, virtual setting.[145,146,147]
4. Blockchain and AI for Credentialing: blockchain technology combined with AI could revolutionize

credential verification in higher education by providing secure, immutable records of student achievements and learning outcomes.[148,149] This integration could facilitate more transparent and efficient recognition of qualifications across borders.

CONCLUSIONS

The intersection of AI and higher education holds tremendous promise, yet it also presents significant challenges that require careful investigation and thoughtful intervention. By addressing these gaps and anticipating future technological trends, researchers and educators can help ensure that AI technologies serve as effective tools for enhancing educational outcomes and equity.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

1. Bohnacker S, Troisi F, de los Reyes Jiménez M, Esser-von Bieren J. What can parasites tell us about the pathogenesis and treatment of asthma and allergic diseases. Front Immunol. 2020;11: 2106.

2. Shahzad MF, Xu S, Lim WM, Yang X, Khan QR. Artificial intelligence and social media on academic performance and mental well-being: Student perceptions of positive impact in the age of smart learning. Heliyon. 2024.

3. Tapalova O, Zhiyenbayeva N. Artificial intelligence in education: AIEd for personalised learning pathways. Electronic Journal of e-Learning. 2022;20: 639–653.

4. Ouyang F, Jiao P. Artificial intelligence in education: The three paradigms. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. 2021;2: 100020.

5. Gligorea I, Cioca M, Oancea R, Gorski A-T, Gorski H, Tudorache P. Adaptive Learning Using Artificial Intelligence in e-Learning: A Literature Review. Educ Sci (Basel). 2023;13: 1216.

6. Kem D. Personalised and adaptive learning: Emerging learning platforms in the era of digital and smart learning. International Journal of Social Science and Human Research. 2022;5: 385–391.

7. Alotaibi NS, Alshehri AH. Prospers and obstacles in using artificial intelligence in Saudi Arabia higher education institutions—The potential of AI-based learning outcomes. Sustainability. 2023;15: 10723.

8. Wang T, Lund BD, Marengo A, Pagano A, Mannuru NR, Teel ZA, et al. Exploring the potential impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on international students in higher education: Generative AI, chatbots, analytics, and international student success. Applied Sciences. 2023;13: 6716.

9. Burkett-McKee S, Knight BA, Vanderburg MA. Psychological well-being of students with high abilities and their school's ecology: Is there a relationship? Roeper Rev. 2021;43: 197–211.

10. Dekker I, De Jong EM, Schippers MC, De Bruijn-Smolders M, Alexiou A, Giesbers B. Optimizing students' mental health and academic performance: AI-enhanced life crafting. Front Psychol. 2020;11: 1063.

11. Ho M-T, Mantello P, Ghotbi N, Nguyen M-H, Nguyen H-KT, Vuong Q-H. Rethinking technological acceptance in the age of emotional AI: surveying Gen Z (Zoomer) attitudes toward non-conscious data collection. Technol Soc. 2022;70: 102011.

12. Swinton AK, Williams LA. Urban Education Technological Disparities: The Debilitating Impact on Our Students for Twenty-First Century Employment. Computer-Mediated Learning for Workforce Development. IGI Global; 2018. pp. 41–67.

13. Tawfik AA, Reeves TD, Stich A. Intended and unintended consequences of educational technology on social inequality. TechTrends. 2016;60: 598–605.

14. Luan H, Geczy P, Lai H, Gobert J, Yang SJH, Ogata H, et al. Challenges and future directions of big data and artificial intelligence in education. Front Psychol. 2020;11: 580820.

15. Pedro F, Subosa M, Rivas A, Valverde P. Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. 2019.

16. Korteling JE (Hans), van de Boer-Visschedijk GC, Blankendaal RAM, Boonekamp RC, Eikelboom AR. Human-versus artificial intelligence. Front Artif Intell. 2021;4: 622364.

17. Konar A. Artificial intelligence and soft computing: behavioral and cognitive modeling of the human brain. CRC press; 2018.

18. Bhutoria A. Personalized education and artificial intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a human-in-the-loop model. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. 2022;3: 100068.

19. Chen L, Chen P, Lin Z. Artificial intelligence in education: A review. Ieee Access. 2020;8: 75264–75278.

20. Zhai X, Chu X, Chai CS, Jong MSY, Istenic A, Spector M, et al. A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. Complexity. 2021;2021: 1–18.

21. Vashishth TK, Sharma V, Sharma KK, Kumar B, Panwar R, Chaudhary S. AI-Driven Learning Analytics for Personalized Feedback and Assessment in Higher Education. Using Traditional Design Methods to Enhance AI-Driven Decision Making. IGI Global; 2024. pp. 206–230.

22. Willis V. The Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Personalizing Online Learning. Journal of Online and Distance Learning. 2023;3: 1–13.

23. Keyes CLM, Waterman MB. Dimensions of well-being and mental health in adulthood. Well-being. Psychology Press; 2003. pp. 477–497.

24. Shahzad MF, Xu S, Lim WM, Yang X, Khan QR. Artificial intelligence and social media on academic performance and mental well-being: Student perceptions of positive impact in the age of smart learning. Heliyon. 2024.

25. Thimmanna A, Naik MS, Radhakrishnan S, Sharma A. Personalized Learning Paths: Adapting Education with AI-Driven Curriculum. European Economic Letters (EEL). 2024;14: 31–40.

26. Nwile CB, Edo BL. Artificial intelligence and robotic tools for effective educational management and administration in the state-owned universities in Rivers State, Nigeria. Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences Journal of Mathematics, and Science Education. 2023;4: 28–36.

27. Shaik T, Tao X, Li Y, Dann C, McDonald J, Redmond P, et al. A review of the trends and challenges in adopting natural language processing methods for education feedback analysis. IEEE Access. 2022;10: 56720–56739.

28. Dowell N, Kovanovic V. Modeling educational discourse with natural language processing. Education (Chula Vista). 2022;64: 82.

29. Nieto Y, Gacía-Díaz V, Montenegro C, González CC, Crespo RG. Usage of machine learning for strategic decision making at higher educational institutions. Ieee Access. 2019;7: 75007–75017.

30. Luan H, Tsai C-C. A review of using machine learning approaches for precision education. Educational Technology & Society. 2021;24: 250–266.

31. Adnan M, Habib A, Ashraf J, Mussadiq S, Raza AA, Abid M, et al. Predicting at-risk students at different percentages of course length for early intervention using machine learning models. Ieee Access. 2021;9: 7519–7539.

32. Liu M, Yu D. Towards intelligent E-learning systems. Educ Inf Technol (Dordr). 2023;28: 7845–7876.

33. Islam S, Mouratidis H, Mahmud H. An automated tool to support an intelligence learner management system using learning analytics and machine learning. Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations: 17th IFIP WG 125 International Conference, AIAI 2021, Hersonissos, Crete, Greece, June 25–27, 2021, Proceedings

17. Springer; 2021. pp. 494–504.

34. Petrovaite E. MALL-Based LMS: a comparative study of Canvas and Moodle. 2023.

35. Swerzenski JD. Critically analyzing the online classroom: Blackboard, moodle, canvas, and the pedagogy they produce. Journal of Communication Pedagogy. 2021;4: 51–69.

36. Desai U, Ramasamy V, Kiper J. Evaluation of student collaboration on canvas LMS using educational data mining techniques. Proceedings of the 2021 ACM southeast conference. 2021. pp. 55–62.

37. St-Hilaire F, Vu D Do, Frau A, Burns N, Faraji F, Potochny J, et al. A new era: Intelligent tutoring systems will transform online learning for millions. arXiv preprint arXiv:220303724. 2022.

38. Akyuz Y. Effects of intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) on personalized learning (PL). Creat Educ. 2020;11: 953–978.

39. Das P, Roy NR. Artificial Intelligence in the Teaching–Learning Process: A Paradigm Shift in the Teacher's Role. Transformative Digital Technology for Disruptive Teaching and Learning. Auerbach Publications; 2024. pp. 198–207.

40. D'Mello SK, Graesser A. Intelligent tutoring systems: How computers achieve learning gains that rival human tutors. Handbook of educational psychology. Routledge; 2023. pp. 603–629.

41. Hooda M, Rana C. Learning analytics lens: Improving quality of higher education. International journal of emerging trends in engineering research. 2020;8.

42. Fischer C, Pardos ZA, Baker RS, Williams JJ, Smyth P, Yu R, et al. Mining big data in education: Affordances and challenges. Review of Research in Education. 2020;44: 130–160.

43. Papadopoulos D, Hossain MM. Education in the age of analytics: maximizing student success through big data-driven personalized learning. Emerging Trends in Machine Intelligence and Big Data. 2023;15: 20–36.

44. Babu CVS, Akshara PM. Revolutionizing conversational AI: unleashing the power of ChatGPT-Based applications in generative AI and natural language processing. Advanced applications of generative AI and natural language processing models. IGI Global; 2024. pp. 228–248.

45. Demner-Fushman D, Chapman WW, McDonald CJ. What can natural language processing do for clinical decision support? J Biomed Inform. 2009;42: 760–772.

46. Kabudi T, Pappas I, Olsen DH. AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systematic mapping of the literature. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. 2021;2: 100017.

47. Colchester K, Hagras H, Alghazzawi D, Aldabbagh G. A survey of artificial intelligence techniques employed for adaptive educational systems within e-learning platforms. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research. 2017;7: 47–64.

48. Learning IA. Leveraging intelligent adaptive learning to personalize education. Project Tomorrow. 2012.

49. Cheng L, Umapathy K, Rehman M, Ritzhaupt A, Antonyan K, Shidfar P, et al. Designing, developing, and validating a measure of undergraduate students' conceptions of artificial intelligence in education. Journal of Interactive Learning Research. 2023;34: 275–311.

50. Ilić MP, Păun D, Popović Šević N, Hadžić A, Jianu A. Needs and performance analysis for changes in higher education and implementation of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and extended reality. Educ Sci (Basel). 2021;11: 568.

51. Al-Badi A, Khan A. Perceptions of learners and instructors towards artificial intelligence in personalized learning. Procedia Comput Sci. 2022;201: 445–451.

52. Peng H, Ma S, Spector JM. Personalized adaptive learning: an emerging pedagogical approach enabled by a smart learning environment. Smart Learning Environments. 2019;6: 1–14.

53. Singh N, Gunjan VK, Nasralla MM. A parametrized comparative analysis of performance between proposed adaptive and personalized tutoring system "seis tutor" with existing online tutoring system. IEEE Access. 2022;10: 39376–39386.

54. Pursnani V, Sermet Y, Kurt M, Demir I. Performance of ChatGPT on the US fundamentals of engineering exam: Comprehensive assessment of proficiency and potential implications for professional environmental engineering practice. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. 2023;5: 100183.

55. Sallam M, Salim NA, Barakat M, Ala'a B. ChatGPT applications in medical, dental, pharmacy, and public health education: A descriptive study highlighting the advantages and limitations. Narra J. 2023;3.

56. Williams P. AI, Analytics and a New Assessment Model for Universities. Educ Sci (Basel). 2023;13: 1040.

57. Vashishth TK, Sharma V, Sharma KK, Kumar B, Chaudhary S, Panwar R. Transforming Classroom Dynamics: The Social Impact of AI in Teaching and Learning. AI-Enhanced Teaching Methods. IGI Global; 2024. pp. 322–346.

58. Onesi-Ozigagun O, Ololade YJ, Eyo-Udo NL, Ogundipe DO. Revolutionizing education through AI: a comprehensive review of enhancing learning experiences. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 2024;6: 589–607.

59. Almusaed A, Almssad A, Yitmen I, Homod RZ. Enhancing student engagement: Harnessing "AIED"'s power in hybrid education—A review analysis. Educ Sci (Basel). 2023;13: 632.

60. Woolf BP. Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning. Morgan Kaufmann; 2010.

61. Zawacki-Richter O, Marín VI, Bond M, Gouverneur F. Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education. 2019;16: 1–27.

62. Alshahrani A. The impact of ChatGPT on blended learning: Current trends and future research directions. International Journal of Data and Network Science. 2023;7: 2029–2040.

63. Kim N-Y. The more, the better? Effects of multiple modalities on EFL listening and reading Comprehension. Journal of English Teaching through Movies and Media. 2021;22: 29–45.

64. Narmatha P, Balasubramaniam M. THE INFOGRAPHIC METHOD OF LEARNING IN EDUCATION.

65. Nouri J, Ebner M, Ifenthaler D, Sqr M, Malmberg J, Khalil M, et al. Efforts in Europe for Data-Driven Improvement of Education–A review of learning analytics research in six countries. 2019.

66. Guan C, Mou J, Jiang Z. Artificial intelligence innovation in education: A twenty-year data-driven historical analysis. International Journal of Innovation Studies. 2020;4: 134–147.

67. Yağcı M. Educational data mining: prediction of students' academic performance using machine learning algorithms. Smart Learning Environments. 2022;9: 11.

68. Chekroud AM, Bondar J, Delgadillo J, Doherty G, Wasil A, Fokkema M, et al. The promise of machine learning in predicting treatment outcomes in psychiatry. World Psychiatry. 2021;20: 154–170.

69. Mittal S, Mahendra S, Sanap V, Churi P. How can machine learning be used in stress management: A systematic literature review of applications in workplaces and education. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights. 2022;2: 100110.

70. Almaiah MA, Alfaisal R, Salloum SA, Hajjej F, Thabit S, El-Qirem FA, et al. Examining the impact of artificial intelligence and social and computer anxiety in e-learning settings: Students' perceptions at the

university level. Electronics (Basel). 2022;11: 3662.

71. alias Rohini SG, Mohanavel S. Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Pedagogical Development. Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Big Data and Internet of Things in Sustainable Development. CRC Press; 2022. pp. 11–21.

72. Salah M, Abdelfattah F, Alhalbusi H, Al Mukhaini M. Me and My AI Bot: Exploring the'AIholic'Phenomenon and University Students' Dependency on Generative AI Chatbots-Is This the New Academic Addiction? 2023.

73. Sufyan Ghaleb MM, Alshiha AA. Empowering Self-Management: Unveiling the Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Learning on Student Self-Efficacy and Self-Monitoring. Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (EJER). 2023.

74. Rane N, Choudhary S, Rane J. Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) for personalized and adaptive learning. Available at SSRN 4638365. 2023.

75. Aggarwal D. Integration of innovative technological developments and AI with education for an adaptive learning pedagogy. China Petroleum Processing and Petrochemical Technology. 2023;23.

76. Parker SK, Ward MK, Fisher GG. Can high-quality jobs help workers learn new tricks? A multidisciplinary review of work design for cognition. Academy of Management Annals. 2021;15: 406–454.

77. Jagatheesaperumal SK, Ahmad K, Al-Fuqaha A, Qadir J. Advancing education through extended reality and internet of everything enabled metaverses: applications, challenges, and open issues. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies. 2024.

78. Tanveer M, Hassan S, Bhaumik A. Academic policy regarding sustainability and artificial intelligence (AI). Sustainability. 2020;12: 9435.

79. Kamalov F, Santandreu Calonge D, Gurrib I. New era of artificial intelligence in education: Towards a sustainable multifaceted revolution. Sustainability. 2023;15: 12451.

80. Alasadi EA, Baiz CR. Generative AI in education and research: Opportunities, concerns, and solutions. J Chem Educ. 2023;100: 2965–2971.

81. Li X, Sung Y. Anthropomorphism brings us closer: The mediating role of psychological distance in User–AI assistant interactions. Comput Human Behav. 2021;118: 106680.

82. Small GW, Lee J, Kaufman A, Jalil J, Siddarth P, Gaddipati H, et al. Brain health consequences of digital technology use. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2020;22: 179–187.

83. Parker SK, Grote G. Automation, algorithms, and beyond: Why work design matters more than ever in a digital world. Applied Psychology. 2022;71: 1171–1204.

84. Pelau C, Dabija D-C, Ene I. What makes an AI device human-like? The role of interaction quality, empathy and perceived psychological anthropomorphic characteristics in the acceptance of artificial intelligence in the service industry. Comput Human Behav. 2021;122: 106855.

85. Van Ouytsel J, Ponnet K, Walrave M. Cyber dating abuse: Investigating digital monitoring behaviors among adolescents from a social learning perspective. J Interpers Violence. 2020;35: 5157–5178.

86. Chuang S. The applications of constructivist learning theory and social learning theory on adult continuous development. Performance Improvement. 2021;60: 6–14.

87. Barrett L. Rejecting test surveillance in higher education. Mich St L Rev. 2022; 675.

88. Han B, Buchanan G, Mckay D. Learning in the Panopticon: Examining the Potential Impacts of AI Monitoring on Students. Proceedings of the 34th Australian Conference on Human-Computer Interaction. 2022. pp. 9–21.

89. Sovacool BK, Axsen J, Sorrell S. Promoting novelty, rigor, and style in energy social science: Towards codes of practice for appropriate methods and research design. Energy Res Soc Sci. 2018;45: 12–42.

90. Winkelmes M-A, Boye A, Tapp S. Transparent design in higher education teaching and leadership: A guide to implementing the transparency framework institution-wide to improve learning and retention. Taylor & Francis; 2023.

91. Schlimbach R, Rinn H, Markgraf D, Robra-Bissantz S. A literature review on pedagogical conversational agent adaptation. Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems. 2022.

92. Pratama MP, Sampelolo R, Lura H. Revolutionizing education: harnessing the power of artificial intelligence for personalized learning. Klasikal: Journal of Education, Language Teaching and Science. 2023;5: 350–357.

93. Ali A. Exploring the Transformative Potential of Technology in Overcoming Educational Disparities. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts. 2023;2.

94. Mallik S, Gangopadhyay A. Proactive and reactive engagement of artificial intelligence methods for education: a review. Front Artif Intell. 2023;6: 1151391.

95. Bailey J, Martin N, Schneider C, Vander Ark T, Duty L, Ellis S, et al. Blended learning implementation guide 2.0. Digital Shift. 2013;2.

96. Mangaroska K, Vesin B, Kostakos V, Brusilovsky P, Giannakos MN. Architecting analytics across multiple e-learning systems to enhance learning design. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies. 2021;14: 173–188.

97. Chen Z. Artificial intelligence-virtual trainer: Innovative didactics aimed at personalized training needs. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. 2023;14: 2007–2025.

98. Kumar S. Breaking privilege paradoxes: Ethical framework for AI advancement in an unequal world.

99. Wang D, Zhou T, Wang M. Information and communication technology (ICT), digital divide and urbanization: Evidence from Chinese cities. Technol Soc. 2021;64: 101516.

100. Gonzales AL, McCrory Calarco J, Lynch T. Technology problems and student achievement gaps: A validation and extension of the technology maintenance construct. Communic Res. 2020;47: 750–770.

101. Isaacs S, Mishra S. Smart Education Strategies for Teaching and Learning: Critical analytical framework and case studies. 2022.

102. Scatiggio V. Tackling the issue of bias in artificial intelligence to design AI-driven fair and inclusive service systems. How human biases are breaching into AI algorithms, with severe impacts on individuals and societies, and what designers can do to face this phenomenon and change for the better. 2020.

103. Raub M. Bots, bias and big data: artificial intelligence, algorithmic bias and disparate impact liability in hiring practices. Ark L Rev. 2018;71: 529.

104. Holstein K, Doroudi S. Equity and artificial intelligence in education. The ethics of artificial intelligence in education. Routledge; 2022. pp. 151–173.

105. Chakraborty N, Mishra Y, Bhattacharya R, Bhattacharya B. Artificial Intelligence: The road ahead for the accessibility of persons with Disability. Mater Today Proc. 2023;80: 3757–3761.

106. Estes MD, Beverly CL, Castillo M. Designing for accessibility: the intersection of instructional design and disability. Handbook of Research in Educational Communications and Technology: Learning Design. 2020; 205–227.

107. Ray PP. ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems. 2023.

https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2023582

108. Familoni BT, Babatunde SO. User experience (UX) design in medical products: theoretical foundations and development best practices. Engineering Science & Technology Journal. 2024;5: 1125–1148.

109. Roshanaei M, Olivares H, Lopez RR. Harnessing AI to Foster Equity in Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Emerging Strategies. Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications. 2023;15: 123–143.

110. Eden CA, Chisom ON, Adeniyi IS. Harnessing technology integration in education: Strategies for enhancing learning outcomes and equity. World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences. 2024;11: 1–8.

111. Hasan A, Brown S, Davidovic J, Lange B, Regan M. Algorithmic bias and risk assessments: Lessons from practice. Digital Society. 2022;1: 14.

112. Worsley M, Bar-El D. Inclusive Making: designing tools and experiences to promote accessibility and redefine making. Computer Science Education. 2022;32: 155–187.

113. Mehta P, Chillarge GR, Sapkal SD, Shinde GR, Kshirsagar PS. Inclusion of Children With Special Needs in the Educational System, Artificial Intelligence (AI). AI-Assisted Special Education for Students With Exceptional Needs. IGI Global; 2023. pp. 156–185.

114. Maghsudi S, Lan A, Xu J, van Der Schaar M. Personalized education in the artificial intelligence era: what to expect next. IEEE Signal Process Mag. 2021;38: 37–50.

115. Lainjo B. The global social dynamics and inequalities of artificial intelligence. 2023.

116. Kucirkova N, Leaton Gray S. Beyond Personalization: Embracing Democratic Learning Within Artificially Intelligent Systems. Educ Theory. 2023;73: 469–489.

117. Kim J, Lee H, Cho YH. Learning design to support student-AI collaboration: Perspectives of leading teachers for AI in education. Educ Inf Technol (Dordr). 2022;27: 6069–6104.

118. Chiu TKF, Moorhouse BL, Chai CS, Ismailov M. Teacher support and student motivation to learn with Artificial Intelligence (AI) based chatbot. Interactive Learning Environments. 2023; 1–17.

119. SWARGIARY K. How AI Revolutionizes Regional Language Education. scholar press; 2024.

120. Zajko M. Artificial intelligence, algorithms, and social inequality: Sociological contributions to contemporary debates. Sociol Compass. 2022;16: e12962.

121. Tregubov V. Using Voice Recognition in E-Learning System to Reduce Educational Inequality During COVID-19. International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Applications (IJCSEA) Vol. 2021;11.

122. Baker RS, Hawn A. Algorithmic bias in education. Int J Artif Intell Educ. 2022; 1–41.

123. Botelho FHF. Accessibility to digital technology: Virtual barriers, real opportunities. Assistive Technology. 2021;33: 27–34.

124. Muhsin ZJ, Qahwaji R, Ghanchi F, Al-Taee M. Review of substitutive assistive tools and technologies for people with visual impairments: recent advancements and prospects. Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces. 2024;18: 135–156.

125. Barrett P, Treves A, Shmis T, Ambasz D. The impact of school infrastructure on learning: A synthesis of the evidence. 2019.

126. Williamson B. Policy networks, performance metrics and platform markets: Charting the expanding data infrastructure of higher education. British Journal of Educational Technology. 2019;50: 2794–2809.

127. Anis M. Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Inclusive English Language Teaching: Strategies and Implications for Learner Diversity. Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research. 2023;12.

128. Kazimzade G, Patzer Y, Pinkwart N. Artificial intelligence in education meets inclusive educational technology—The technical state-of-the-art and possible directions. Artificial intelligence and inclusive education: Speculative futures and emerging practices. 2019; 61–73.

129. Ferrara E. Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. Sci. 2023;6: 3.

130. Jagatheesaperumal SK, Rahouti M, Ahmad K, Al-Fuqaha A, Guizani M. The duo of artificial intelligence and big data for industry 4.0: Applications, techniques, challenges, and future research directions. IEEE Internet Things J. 2021;9: 12861–12885.

131. Kuleto V, Ilić M, Dumangiu M, Ranković M, Martins OMD, Păun D, et al. Exploring opportunities and challenges of artificial intelligence and machine learning in higher education institutions. Sustainability. 2021;13: 10424.

132. Yu PK. The algorithmic divide and equality in the age of artificial intelligence. Fla L Rev. 2020;72: 331.

133. Gottschalk F, Weise C. Digital equity and inclusion in education: An overview of practice and policy in OECD countries. 2023.

134. Kooli C. Chatbots in education and research: A critical examination of ethical implications and solutions. Sustainability. 2023;15: 5614.

135. Stahl BC, Wright D. Ethics and privacy in AI and big data: Implementing responsible research and innovation. IEEE Secur Priv. 2018;16: 26–33.

136. Nguyen A, Ngo HN, Hong Y, Dang B, Nguyen B-PT. Ethical principles for artificial intelligence in education. Educ Inf Technol (Dordr). 2023;28: 4221–4241.

137. Dwivedi YK, Hughes L, Ismagilova E, Aarts G, Coombs C, Crick T, et al. Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. Int J Inf Manage. 2021;57: 101994.

138. Ozmen Garibay O, Winslow B, Andolina S, Antona M, Bodenschatz A, Coursaris C, et al. Six human-centered artificial intelligence grand challenges. Int J Hum Comput Interact. 2023;39: 391–437.

139. Alam A. Should robots replace teachers? Mobilisation of AI and learning analytics in education. 2021 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communication, and Control (ICAC3). IEEE; 2021. pp. 1–12.

140. Rosenbusch K. Technology intervention: rethinking the role of education and faculty in the transformative digital environment. Adv Dev Hum Resour. 2020;22: 87–101.

141. Liz-Domínguez M, Caeiro-Rodríguez M, Llamas-Nistal M, Mikic-Fonte FA. Systematic literature review of predictive analysis tools in higher education. Applied Sciences. 2019;9: 5569.

142. Hung J-L, Shelton BE, Yang J, Du X. Improving predictive modeling for at-risk student identification: A multistage approach. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies. 2019;12: 148–157.

143. Tan S. Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for innovation in education. Learning intelligence: Innovative and digital transformative learning strategies: Cultural and social engineering perspectives. Springer; 2023. pp. 335–363.

144. Srinivasa KG, Kurni M, Saritha K. Harnessing the Power of AI to Education. Learning, teaching, and assessment methods for contemporary learners: pedagogy for the digital generation. Springer; 2022. pp. 311–342.

145. Dhar P, Rocks T, Samarasinghe RM, Stephenson G, Smith C. Augmented reality in medical education:

students' experiences and learning outcomes. Med Educ Online. 2021;26: 1953953.

146. Iqbal MZ, Mangina E, Campbell AG. Current challenges and future research directions in augmented reality for education. Multimodal Technologies and Interaction. 2022;6: 75.

147. Papadopoulou P, Chui KT, Daniela L, Lytras MD. Virtual and augmented reality in medical education and training: innovative ways for transforming medical education in the 21st century. Cognitive Computing in Technology-Enhanced Learning. IGI Global; 2019. pp. 109–150.

148. Tapscott D, Kaplan A. Blockchain revolution in education and lifelong learning. Blockchain Research Institute-IBM Institute for Business Value. 2019.

149. Mardisentosa B, Rahardja U, Zelina K, Oganda FP, Hardini M. Sustainable learning micro-credential using blockchain for student achievement records. 2021 Sixth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC). IEEE; 2021. pp. 1–6.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

Proyecto DIDE PF47.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández.
Data curation: Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández, Mayra Lucia Rodriguez-Pérez.
Formal analysis: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández.
Research: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández.
Methodology: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés.
Supervision: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Mayra Lucia Rodriguez-Pérez.
Validation: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández.
Writing – original draft: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández.
Writing – review and editing: Luis Fabián Salazar-Garcés, Diana Catalina Velastegui-Hernández, Mayra Lucia Rodriguez-Pérez.