



UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA

TESIS DOCTORAL

| |
|--|
| Título |
| Computer visión and artificial intelligence for yield components' assessment in digital viticulture |
| Autor/es |
| Fernando Palacios López |
| Director/es |
| Javier Tardáguila Laso y María Paz Diago Santamaría |
| Facultad |
| Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología |
| Titulación |
| |
| Departamento |
| Agricultura y Alimentación |
| Curso Académico |
| |

Tesis presentada como compendio de publicaciones. La edición en abierto de la misma NO incluye las partes afectadas por cesión de derechos



Computer visión and artificial intelligence for yield components' assessment in digital viticulture, tesis doctoral de Fernando Palacios López , dirigida por Javier Tardáguila Laso y María Paz Diago Santamaría (publicada por la Universidad de La Rioja), se difunde bajo una Licencia Creative Commons Reconocimiento-NoComercial-SinObraDerivada 3.0 Unported.

Permisos que vayan más allá de lo cubierto por esta licencia pueden solicitarse a los titulares del copyright.

- © El autor
- © Universidad de La Rioja, Servicio de Publicaciones, 2021
publicaciones.unirioja.es
E-mail: publicaciones@unirioja.es

Computer Vision and Artificial Intelligence for Yield Components' Assessment in Digital Viticulture

PhD Thesis

Fernando Palacios López



2021

Computer Vision and Artificial Intelligence for Yield Components' Assessment in Digital Viticulture

PhD Thesis

Fernando Palacios López

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Javier Tardáguila Laso

Prof. Dr. María Paz Diago Santamaría



2021

This PhD thesis has been made as a compendium of the following publications.

1. PALACIOS, F., DIAGO, M.P., TARDÁGUILA, J. (2019). A non-Invasive method based on computer vision for grapevine cluster compactness assessment using a mobile sensing platform under field conditions. *Sensors*, 19(17), 3799. DOI: 10.3390/s19173799.
 - Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 3.275
 - i. Quartile: Q1
 - ii. Category: INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION
2. PALACIOS, F., BUENO, G., SALIDO, J., DIAGO, M.P., HERNÁNDEZ, I., TARDÁGUILA, J. (2020). Automated grapevine flower detection and quantification method based on computer vision and deep learning from on-the-go imaging using a mobile sensing platform under field conditions. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 178, 105796. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2020.105796.
 - Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 5.565
 - i. Quartile: Q1
 - ii. Category: AGRICULTURE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY
3. ÍÑIGUEZ, R., PALACIOS, F., BARRIO, I., HERNÁNDEZ, I., GUTIÉRREZ, S., TARDÁGUILA, J. (2021). Impact of leaf occlusions on yield assessment by computer vision in commercial vineyards. *Agronomy* 11, 1003. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy11051003
 - Journal Impact Factor (JIF): 3.417 (2020)
 - i. Quartile: Q1
 - ii. Category: AGRONOMY

Two other publications, already submitted and currently under review, are also included in this thesis.

4. PALACIOS, F., MELO-PINTO P., DIAGO, M.P., TARDÁGUILA, J. (2021) Deep learning and computer vision for assessing the number of total berries and yield in commercial vineyards. *Biosystems Engineering* (under review)
5. PALACIOS, F., MELO-PINTO P., DIAGO, M.P., TARDÁGUILA, J. (2021) Early yield forecast in different grapevine varieties using computer vision and machine learning. *Precision Agriculture* (under review).

Abstract

Grapevine yield components' estimation is a highly relevant task for the wine industry and grape growers. Traditional methods to obtain these components are usually tedious, time-demanding and limited to a reduced acquisition of data. New technologies have arisen to offer an alternative to these methods. Among them, computer vision and artificial intelligence have been widely used in different fields to transform the information contained in images and other data into knowledge that supports decision-making. Particularly, computer vision and artificial intelligence are being recently used to estimate relevant parameters in agriculture and viticulture.

The main goal of this PhD Thesis is to provide new tools in digital viticulture that combine computer vision and artificial intelligence towards yield components' estimation under field conditions. In particular, the following objectives were attempted: i) the application of computer vision and machine learning for grapevine cluster compactness estimation; ii) the combination of deep learning and computer vision to quantify the number of grapevine flowers per vine; iii) the use of computer vision to analyze the impact of the canopy status on grapevine berry occlusion; iv) the combination of computer vision, deep learning and machine learning to quantify the number of grapevine pea-size berries per vine; and v) the use of computer vision, deep learning and machine learning to estimate grape yield months before harvest.

For the first objective, RGB vine images were acquired on-the-go using a mobile sensing platform to obtain a compactness estimation of the grapevine clusters. A computer vision algorithm was developed to extract cluster morphology features from the images, and a machine learning model used those features to assess the compactness of each cluster. The results shown that the developed method can be a more objective alternative to traditional visual assessment performed by trained evaluators.

For the second objective, which is focused on the quantification of grapevine flowers, a set of RGB vine images was acquired on-the-go at pre-

flowering phenological stage using a mobile sensing platform. A deep learning semantic segmentation approach was followed to individually segment and count each flower presented on the images. A high correlation was found between the number of estimated flowers (from the images) and the final yield at harvest, proving that the developed system is highly useful to obtain a yield indicator near 100 days before harvest.

The analysis of the impact of the canopy status on grapevine berry occlusion was addressed in a work where RGB vine images were manually acquired near harvest. From the results obtained it could be concluded that computer vision can be employed to assess yield on fully and partially defoliated vines in the fruiting zone, combined with a model capable to capture the variability in the canopy status from different vineyards.

For the quantification of grapevine pea-size berries (fourth objective), a mobile sensing platform was employed to capture RGB vine images on-the-go at pea-size phenological stage. Deep learning semantic segmentation techniques were applied to obtain the number of visible berries in the images and several vine canopy features that were used by machine learning models to estimate the number of berries per vine, thus partially overcoming canopy occlusion artifacts. The results presented in this chapter demonstrated that this tool could be highly beneficial to develop a yield indicator almost two months before harvest without applying an intensive full vine defoliation.

Finally, the grape yield assessment issue was presented. The images and vines from the work of the third objective were used. Computer vision, deep learning and machine learning were combined to obtain some canopy features, that were relevant to estimate the final yield overcoming canopy occlusions, in different grapevine varieties. The estimation model proved to be accurate at estimating the yield not only in grapevine varieties already included in the model, but also in new varieties not included.

The outcomes presented in the research work of this PhD Thesis manifest the usefulness and applicability of computer vision, deep learning and machine learning to estimate grapevine yield components, non-invasively, under field

conditions. These outcomes can be crucial in digital viticulture as an alternative to traditional methods, and a support for decision making in vineyards.

Resumen

La estimación de los componentes del rendimiento de la vid es una tarea de gran relevancia para la industria vitivinícola y los viticultores. Los métodos tradicionales para obtener estos componentes suelen ser tediosos, requieren mucho tiempo y se limitan a una adquisición reducida de datos. Las nuevas tecnologías han surgido para ofrecer una alternativa a estos métodos. Entre ellas, la visión artificial y la inteligencia artificial se han utilizado ampliamente en diferentes campos para transformar la información contenida en las imágenes y otros datos en conocimiento que sirvan de apoyo la toma de decisiones. En particular, la visión artificial y la inteligencia artificial se están utilizando recientemente para estimar parámetros relevantes en la agricultura y la viticultura.

El objetivo principal de esta Tesis Doctoral es proporcionar nuevas herramientas en viticultura digital que combinen la visión artificial y la inteligencia artificial para la estimación de los componentes del rendimiento en condiciones de campo. En particular, se intentaron los siguientes objetivos: i) la aplicación de la visión artificial y el aprendizaje automático para la estimación de la compacidad de los racimos de la vid; ii) la combinación del aprendizaje profundo y la visión artificial para cuantificar el número de flores de la vid por cepa; iii) el uso de la visión artificial para analizar el impacto del estado del dosel en la oclusión de las bayas de la vid; iv) la combinación de la visión artificial, el aprendizaje profundo y el aprendizaje automático para cuantificar el número de bayas de la vid en tamaño guisante por cepa; y v) el uso de la visión artificial, el aprendizaje profundo y el aprendizaje automático para estimar el rendimiento de la uva meses antes de la vendimia.

Para el primer objetivo, se adquirieron imágenes RGB de la vid en continuo utilizando una plataforma de detección móvil para obtener una estimación de la compacidad de los racimos de la vid. Se desarrolló un algoritmo de visión artificial para extraer las características morfológicas de los racimos de las imágenes, y un modelo de aprendizaje automático utilizó esas características para evaluar la compacidad de cada racimo. Los resultados mostraron que el método

desarrollado puede ser una alternativa más objetiva que la evaluación visual tradicional realizada por evaluadores entrenados.

Para el segundo objetivo, centrado en la cuantificación de las flores de la vid, se adquirió un conjunto de imágenes RGB de la vid en continuo en el estado fenológico de prefloración utilizando una plataforma de detección móvil. Se siguió un enfoque de segmentación semántica de aprendizaje profundo para segmentar y contar individualmente cada flor presentada en las imágenes. Se encontró una alta correlación entre el número de flores estimadas (a partir de las imágenes) y el rendimiento final en la vendimia, lo que demuestra que el sistema desarrollado es muy útil para obtener un indicador de rendimiento cerca de 100 días antes de la vendimia.

El análisis del impacto del estado del dosel en la oclusión de las bayas de la vid se abordó en un trabajo en el que se adquirieron manualmente imágenes RGB de las cepas cerca de la vendimia. A partir de los resultados obtenidos se pudo concluir que la visión artificial puede emplearse para evaluar el rendimiento en cepas total y parcialmente defoliadas en la zona productiva, combinada con un modelo capaz de capturar la variabilidad en el estado del dosel de diferentes viñedos.

Para la cuantificación de las bayas en tamaño guisante de la vid (cuarto objetivo), se empleó una plataforma de detección móvil para capturar imágenes RGB de la vid en continuo en el estado fenológico de tamaño guisante. Se aplicaron técnicas de segmentación semántica de aprendizaje profundo para obtener el número de bayas visibles en las imágenes y varias características del dosel de la vid que fueron utilizadas por modelos de aprendizaje automático para estimar el número de bayas por cepa, superando así parcialmente los artefactos de oclusión del dosel. Los resultados presentados en este capítulo demostraron que esta herramienta podría ser muy beneficiosa para desarrollar un indicador de rendimiento casi dos meses antes de la vendimia sin aplicar una defoliación completa intensiva de las cepas.

Por último, se presentó el tema de la evaluación del rendimiento de la uva. Se utilizaron las imágenes y las cepas del trabajo del tercer objetivo. Se combinaron

la visión artificial, el aprendizaje profundo y el aprendizaje automático para obtener algunas características del dosel, que eran relevantes para estimar el rendimiento final superando las oclusiones del dosel, en diferentes variedades de vid. El modelo de estimación demostró ser preciso a la hora de estimar el rendimiento no solo en las variedades de vid ya incluidas en el modelo, sino también en nuevas variedades no incluidas.

Los resultados presentados en el trabajo de investigación de esta Tesis Doctoral ponen de manifiesto la utilidad y aplicabilidad de la visión artificial, el aprendizaje profundo y el aprendizaje automático para estimar los componentes del rendimiento de la vid, de forma no invasiva, en condiciones de campo. Estos resultados pueden ser cruciales en la viticultura digital como alternativa a los métodos tradicionales, y como apoyo a la toma de decisiones en los viñedos.

References

1. Abade, A. da S., Ferreira, P. A., & Vidal, F. de B. (2020). Plant Diseases recognition on images using Convolutional Neural Networks: A Systematic Review. *CoRR*, *abs/2009.04365*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04365>
2. Abdel-Rahman, E. M., Ahmed, F. B., & Ismail, R. (2013). Random forest regression and spectral band selection for estimating sugarcane leaf nitrogen concentration using EO-1 Hyperion hyperspectral data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *34*(2), 712–728. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2012.713142>
3. Agoston, M. K. (2005). *Computer Graphics and Geometric Modeling*. Springer-Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b138805>
4. Agoston, M. K. (2005b). Color. In *Computer Graphics and Geometric Modeling: Implementation and Algorithms* (pp. 294–307). Springer London. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-84628-108-3_8
5. Ahmad, I., Saeed, U., Fahad, M., Ullah, A., Habib ur Rahman, M., Ahmad, A., & Judge, J. (2018). Yield Forecasting of Spring Maize Using Remote Sensing and Crop Modeling in Faisalabad-Punjab Pakistan. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, *46*(10), 1701–1711. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-018-0825-8>
6. Aquino, A., Millan, B., Gaston, D., Diago, M.-P., & Tardaguila, J. (2015a). vitisFlower®: Development and Testing of a Novel Android-Smartphone Application for Assessing the Number of Grapevine Flowers per Inflorescence Using Artificial Vision Techniques. *Sensors*, *15*(9), 21204–21218. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s150921204>
7. Aquino, A., Millan, B., Gutiérrez, S., & Tardaguila, J. (2015b). Grapevine flower estimation by applying artificial vision techniques on images with uncontrolled scene and multi-model analysis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *119*, 92–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2015.10.009>
8. Aquino, A., Barrio, I., Diago, M.-P., Millan, B., & Tardaguila, J. (2018a). vitisBerry: An Android-smartphone application to early evaluate the number of grapevine berries by means of image analysis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *148*, 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.02.021>
9. Aquino, A., Millan, B., Diago, M.-P., & Tardaguila, J. (2018b). Automated early yield prediction in vineyards from on-the-go image acquisition. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *144*, 26–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.11.026>
10. Araya-Alman, M., Leroux, C., Acevedo-Opazo, C., Guillaume, S., Valdés-Gómez, H., Verdugo-Vásquez, N., Pañitrur-De la Fuente, C., & Tisseyre, B. (2019). A new localized sampling method to improve grape yield estimation

- of the current season using yield historical data. *Precision Agriculture*, 20(2), 445–459. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-019-09644-y>
11. Arnó, J., Martínez-Casasnovas, J. A., Uribeetxebarria, A., Escolà, A., & Rosell-Polo, J. R. (2017). Comparing efficiency of different sampling schemes to estimate yield and quality parameters in fruit orchards. *Advances in Animal Biosciences*, 8(2), 471–476. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2040470017000978>
 12. Austin, C. N., & Wilcox, W. F. (2012). Effects of sunlight exposure on grapevine powdery mildew development. *Phytopathology*, 102(9), 857–866.
 13. Badrinarayanan, V., Kendall, A., & Cipolla, R. (2017). SegNet: A Deep Convolutional Encoder-Decoder Architecture for Image Segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 39(12), 2481–2495. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2644615>
 14. Ballard, D. H., & Brown, C. M. (1982). *Computer Vision* (1st ed.). Prentice Hall Professional Technical Reference.
 15. Bargoti, S., & Underwood, J. P. (2017). Image Segmentation for Fruit Detection and Yield Estimation in Apple Orchards. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 34(6), 1039–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rob.21699>
 16. Barriguinha, A., de Castro Neto, M., & Gil, A. (2021). Vineyard Yield Estimation, Prediction, and Forecasting: A Systematic Literature Review. *Agronomy*, 11(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11091789>
 17. Bay, H., Tuytelaars, T., & Van Gool, L. (2006). Surf: Speeded up robust features. *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 404–417.
 18. Beardsley, P. A., Torr, P. H. S., & Zisserman, A. (1996). 3D Model Acquisition from Extended Image Sequences. *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 683–695.
 19. Beier, T., & Neely, S. (1992). Feature-Based Image Metamorphosis. *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.*, 26(2), 35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1145/142920.134003>
 20. Benos, L., Tagarakis, A. C., Dolias, G., Berruto, R., Kateris, D., & Bochtis, D. (2021). Machine Learning in Agriculture: A Comprehensive Updated Review. *Sensors*, 21(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21113758>
 21. Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Information Science and Statistics)*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
 22. Blake, A., & Isard, M. (1998). *Active Contours*. Springer London. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-1555-7>
 23. Blake, A., Zisserman, A., & Knowles, G. (1985). Surface descriptions from stereo and shading. *Image and Vision Computing*, 3(4), 183–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0262-8856\(85\)90006-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0262-8856(85)90006-X)

24. Borges, D. L., Guedes, S. T. C. de M., Nascimento, A. R., & Melo-Pinto, P. (2016). Detecting and grading severity of bacterial spot caused by *Xanthomonas* spp. in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) fields using visible spectrum images. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 125, 149–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2016.05.003>
25. Bradley, A. P. (1997). The use of the area under the ROC curve in the evaluation of machine learning algorithms. *Pattern Recognition*, 30(7), 1145–1159.
26. Bramley, R. G. V., & Hamilton, R. P. (2004). Understanding variability in winegrape production systems. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 10(1), 32–45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2004.tb00006.x>
27. Bramley, R. G. V., Ouzman, J., Trought, M. C. T., Neal, S. M., & Bennett, J. S. (2019). Spatio-temporal variability in vine vigour and yield in a Marlborough Sauvignon Blanc vineyard. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 25(4), 430–438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12408>
28. Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5–32. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324>
29. Brittany K., & Michelle M. (2015). Vineyard yield estimation. Washington State University. Accessed: Aug. 3, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://research.libraries.wsu.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/2376/5265/EM086E.pdf>
30. Buayai, P., Saikaew, K. R., & Mao, X. (2021). End-to-End Automatic Berry Counting for Table Grape Thinning. *IEEE Access*, 9, 4829–4842. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3048374>
31. Burt, P., & Adelson, E. (1983). The Laplacian Pyramid as a Compact Image Code. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 31(4), 532–540. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOM.1983.1095851>
32. Cadle-Davidson, L. (2008). Monitoring Pathogenesis of Natural Botrytis cinerea Infections in Developing Grape Berries. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 59(4), 387–395.
33. Carrillo, E., Matese, A., Rousseau, J., & Tisseyre, B. (2016). Use of multi-spectral airborne imagery to improve yield sampling in viticulture. *Precision Agriculture*, 17(1), 74–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-015-9407-8>
34. Casser, V. (2016). Using Feedforward Neural Networks for Color Based Grape Detection in Field Images. *3rd Computer Science Conference for University of Bonn Students (CSCUBS)*, 23–33.
35. Cecotti, H., Rivera, A., Farhadloo, M., & Pedroza, M. A. (2020). Grape detection with convolutional neural networks. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 159, 113588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113588>

36. Chamelat, R., Rosso, E., Choksuriwong, A., Rosenberger, C., Laurent, H., & Bro, P. (2006). Grape Detection By Image Processing. *IECON 2006 - 32nd Annual Conference on IEEE Industrial Electronics*, 3697–3702. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2006.347704>
37. Chen, X., Ding, H., Yuan, L.-M., Cai, J.-R., Chen, X., & Lin, Y. (2018). New approach of simultaneous, multi-perspective imaging for quantitative assessment of the compactness of grape bunches. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 24(4), 413–420.
38. Chen, Y., Lee, W. S., Gan, H., Peres, N., Fraise, C., Zhang, Y., & He, Y. (2019). Strawberry Yield Prediction Based on a Deep Neural Network Using High-Resolution Aerial Orthoimages. *Remote Sensing*, 11(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11131584>
39. Chicchón Apaza, M. Á., Monzón, H. M. B., & Alcarria, R. (2020). Semantic Segmentation of Weeds and Crops in Multispectral Images by Using a Convolutional Neural Networks Based on U-Net. In M. Botto-Tobar, M. Zambrano Vizuete, P. Torres-Carrión, S. Montes León, G. Pizarro Vásquez, & B. Durakovic (Eds.), *Applied Technologies* (pp. 473–485). Springer International Publishing.
40. Chinchor, N. (1992). MUC-4 Evaluation Metrics. *Proceedings of the 4th Conference on Message Understanding*, 22–29. USA: Association for Computational Linguistics. <https://doi.org/10.3115/1072064.1072067>
41. Chlingaryan, A., Sukkarieh, S., & Whelan, B. (2018). Machine learning approaches for crop yield prediction and nitrogen status estimation in precision agriculture: A review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 151, 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.05.012>
42. Clingeffer, P., Dunn, G., Krstic, M., & Martin, S. (2001). Crop development, crop estimation and crop control to secure quality and production of major wine grape varieties: A national approach. Technical report, Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation, Australia.
43. Coombe, B. G. (1973). THE REGULATION OF SET AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE GRAPE BERRY. *Acta Horticulturae*, 34, 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1973.34.36>
44. Coombe, B. G. (1995). Growth Stages of the Grapevine: Adoption of a system for identifying grapevine growth stages. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 1(2), 104–110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.1995.tb00086.x>
45. Coombe, B. G., & McCarthy, M. G. (2000). Dynamics of grape berry growth and physiology of ripening. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 6(2), 131–135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2000.tb00171.x>
46. Coombe, B.G., & Dry, P.R. (2001). *Viticulture Volume 2: Practices* (7 th printing), Winetitles, Adelaide, Australia.

47. Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3), 273–297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018>
48. Coviello, L., Cristoforetti, M., Jurman, G., & Furlanello, C. (2020). GBCNet: In-Field Grape Berries Counting for Yield Estimation by Dilated CNNs. *Applied Sciences*, 10(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10144870>
49. Csurka, G., Dance, C., Fan, L., Willamowski, J., & Bray, C. (2004). Visual categorization with bags of keypoints. *Workshop on Statistical Learning in Computer Vision, ECCV*, 1, 1–2.
50. Cubero, S., Aleixos, N., Moltó, E., Gómez-Sanchis, J., & Blasco, J. (2011). Advances in Machine Vision Applications for Automatic Inspection and Quality Evaluation of Fruits and Vegetables. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 4(4), 487–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-010-0411-8>
51. Cubero, S., Diago, M. P., Blasco, J., Tardáguila, J., Prats-Montalbán, J. M., Ibáñez, J., Tello, J., & Aleixos, N. (2015). A new method for assessment of bunch compactness using automated image analysis. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 21(1), 101–109.
52. Daimary, D., Bora, M. B., Amitab, K., & Kandar, D. (2020). Brain Tumor Segmentation from MRI Images using Hybrid Convolutional Neural Networks. *Procedia Computer Science*, 167, 2419–2428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.03.295>
53. Davis, L. S. (1975). A survey of edge detection techniques. *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, 4(3), 248–270. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-664X\(75\)90012-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0146-664X(75)90012-X)
54. Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Li, K., & Fei-Fei, L. (2009). ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 248–255. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848>
55. Deng, L., & Li, X. (2013). Machine Learning Paradigms for Speech Recognition: An Overview. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 21(5), 1060–1089. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TASL.2013.2244083>
56. Deng, L., & Yu, D. (2014). *Deep Learning: Methods and Applications* (MSR-TR-2014-21). Microsoft. <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/deep-learning-methods-and-applications/>
57. Di Gennaro, S. F., Toscano, P., Cinat, P., Berton, A., & Matese, A. (2019). A Low-Cost and Unsupervised Image Recognition Methodology for Yield Estimation in a Vineyard. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 559. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00559>
58. Diago, M. P., Aquino, A., Millan, B., Palacios, F., & Tardaguila, J. (2019). On-the-go assessment of vineyard canopy porosity, bunch and leaf exposure by

- image analysis. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 25(3), 363–374. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12404>
59. Diago, M.P., 2010. Estudio y desarrollo del deshojado precoz como técnica para el control del rendimiento productivo de la vid (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Efectos sobre el desarrollo vegetativo, los componentes de la producción, así como sobre la composición de la uva y del vino. PhD Thesis. Universidad de La Rioja (Spain).
60. Diago, M.-P., Correa, C., Millán, B., Barreiro, P., Valero, C., & Tardaguila, J. (2012). Grapevine Yield and Leaf Area Estimation Using Supervised Classification Methodology on RGB Images Taken under Field Conditions. *Sensors*, 12(12), 16988–17006. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s121216988>
61. Diago, M. P., Sanz-Garcia, A., Millan, B., Blasco, J., & Tardaguila, J. (2014). Assessment of flower number per inflorescence in grapevine by image analysis under field conditions. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 94(10), 1981–1987. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6512>
62. Diago, M. P., Tardaguila, J., Aleixos, N., Millan, B., Prats-Montalban, J. M., Cubero, S., & Blasco, J. (2015). Assessment of cluster yield components by image analysis. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 95(6), 1274–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6819>
63. Diago, M. P., Krasnow, M., Bubola, M., Millan, B., & Tardaguila, J. (2016). Assessment of vineyard canopy porosity using machine vision. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 67(2), 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2015.15037>
64. Dobson, A. J., & Barnett, A. (2008). *An introduction to generalized linear models*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
65. Doulamis, N. (2018). Adaptable deep learning structures for object labeling/tracking under dynamic visual environments. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77(8), 9651–9689. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-017-5349-7>
66. Drucker, H., Burges, C. J. C., Kaufman, L., Smola, A., & Vapnik, V. (1997). Support Vector Regression Machines. In M. C. Mozer, M. Jordan, & T. Petsche (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (Vol. 9). MIT Press. <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/1996/file/d38901788c533e8286cb6400b40b386d-Paper.pdf>
67. Duan, K.-B., & Keerthi, S. S. (2005). Which Is the Best Multiclass SVM Method? An Empirical Study. In N. C. Oza, R. Polikar, J. Kittler, & F. Roli (Eds.), *Multiple Classifier Systems* (pp. 278–285). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
68. Dunn, G. M., & Martin, S. R. (2000). Spatial and temporal variation in vineyard yields. In *Proceedings of the fifth international symposium on cool climate viticulture & oenology. Precision management workshop* (pp. 1–4). Romsey: Cope Williams Winery.

69. Dunn, G. M., & Martin, S. R. (2003). The current status of crop forecasting in the Australian wine industry. *ASVO Seminar Series: Grapegrowing at the Edge*, 4–8.
70. Dunn, G. M., & Martin, S. R. (2004). Yield prediction from digital image analysis: A technique with potential for vineyard assessments prior to harvest. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 10(3), 196–198. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2004.tb00022.x>
71. Dunn, G. M. (2010) Yield forecasting. Australian Government: Grape and wine research and development corporation. Fact sheet. June 2010. https://www.wineaustralia.com/getmedia/5304c16d-23b3-4a6f-ad53-b3d4419cc979/201006_Yield-Forecasting.pdf
72. Dunstone, R.J., 2002. Winegrape crop forecasting module. In: Final Report for Project DNR 02/02, Adelaide, Australia, pp. 19.
73. Espejo-Garcia, B., Mylonas, N., Athanasakos, L., Fountas, S., & Vasilakoglou, I. (2020). Towards weeds identification assistance through transfer learning. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 171, 105306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105306>
74. Everingham, Y., Sexton, J., Skocaj, D., & Inman-Bamber, G. (2016). Accurate prediction of sugarcane yield using a random forest algorithm. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 36(2), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0364-z>
75. Evers, D., Molitor, D., Rothmeier, M., Behr, M., Fischer, S., & Hoffmann, L. (2010). Efficiency of different strategies for the control of grey mold on grapes including gibberellic acid (Gibb3), leaf removal and/or botrycide treatments. *OENO One*, 44(3), 151–159.
76. Farias, C. C., Ubierna, C. V., & Elorza, P. B. (2012). Characterization of vineyard's canopy through fuzzy clustering and svm over color images. *International Conference of Agricultural Engineering 2012*. <http://oa.upm.es/13687/>
77. Felzenszwalb, P. F., & Huttenlocher, D. P. (2005). Pictorial Structures for Object Recognition. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 61(1), 55–79. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VISI.0000042934.15159.49>
78. Ferencz, A., Learned-Miller, E. G., & Malik, J. (2008). Learning to Locate Informative Features for Visual Identification. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 77(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-007-0093-5>
79. Font, D., Pallejà, T., Tresanchez, M., Teixidó, M., Martínez, D., Moreno, J., & Palacín, J. (2014). Counting red grapes in vineyards by detecting specular spherical reflection peaks in RGB images obtained at night with artificial illumination. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 108, 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.07.006>

80. Font, D., Tresanchez, M., Martínez, D., Moreno, J., Clotet, E., & Palacín, J. (2015). Vineyard Yield Estimation Based on the Analysis of High Resolution Images Obtained with Artificial Illumination at Night. *Sensors*, *15*(4), 8284–8301. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s150408284>
81. Fountas, S., Mylonas, N., Malounas, I., Rodias, E., Hellmann Santos, C., & Pekkeriet, E. (2020). Agricultural Robotics for Field Operations. *Sensors*, *20*(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20092672>
82. Freedman, D. A. (2009). *Statistical Models: Theory and Practice* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511815867>
83. Freeman, W., Perona, P., & Schölkopf, B. (2008). Guest Editorial. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, *77*(1), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-008-0127-7>
84. Fuentes, S., Hernández-Montes, E., Escalona, J. M., Bota, J., Viejo, C. G., Poblete-Echeverría, C., Tongson, E., & Medrano, H. (2018). Automated grapevine cultivar classification based on machine learning using leaf morpho-colorimetry, fractal dimension and near-infrared spectroscopy parameters. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *151*, 311–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.06.035>
85. Gandhi, N., Armstrong, L. J., Petkar, O., & Tripathy, A. K. (2016). Rice crop yield prediction in India using support vector machines. *2016 13th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering (JCSSE)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JCSSE.2016.7748856>
86. Gao, J., Liao, W., Nuyttens, D., Lootens, P., Vangeyte, J., Pižurica, A., He, Y., & Pieters, J. G. (2018). Fusion of pixel and object-based features for weed mapping using unmanned aerial vehicle imagery. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, *67*, 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2017.12.012>
87. Gatti, M., Dosso, P., Maurino, M., Merli, M. C., Bernizzoni, F., José Pirez, F., Platè, B., Bertuzzi, G. C., & Poni, S. (2016). MECS-VINE®: A New Proximal Sensor for Segmented Mapping of Vigor and Yield Parameters on Vineyard Rows. *Sensors*, *16*(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16122009>
88. Geman, S., & Geman, D. (1984). Stochastic Relaxation, Gibbs Distributions, and the Bayesian Restoration of Images. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *PAMI-6*(6), 721–741. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1984.4767596>
89. Ghiani, L., Sassu, A., Palumbo, F., Mercenaro, L., & Gambella, F. (2021). In-Field Automatic Detection of Grape Bunches under a Totally Uncontrolled Environment. *Sensors*, *21*(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21113908>
90. Grimm, J., Herzog, K., Rist, F., Kicherer, A., Töpfer, R., & Steinhage, V. (2019). An adaptable approach to automated visual detection of plant organs with

- applications in grapevine breeding. *Biosystems Engineering*, 183, 170–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2019.04.018>
91. Grossetete, M., Berthoumieu, Y., Da Costa, J.-P., Germain, C., Laviolle, O., & Grenier, G. (2012). Early estimation of vineyard yield: Site specific counting of berries by using a smartphone. *International Conference on Agriculture Engineering (AgEng)*, tabla137-C1915. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00950298>
 92. Gujjar, S., & Angadi, S. (2016). Plant clinic—A mobile app for grape plant disease detection and remedies. *Int. J. Sci. Res. Develop.*, 3(11), 723–726.
 93. Gutiérrez, S., Hernández, I., Ceballos, S., Barrio, I., Díez-Navajas, A. M., & Tardaguila, J. (2021). Deep learning for the differentiation of downy mildew and spider mite in grapevine under field conditions. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 182, 105991. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.105991>
 94. Hacking, C., Poona, N., Manzan, N., & Poblete-Echeverría, C. (2019). Investigating 2-D and 3-D Proximal Remote Sensing Techniques for Vineyard Yield Estimation. *Sensors*, 19(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19173652>
 95. Hacking, C., Poona, N., & Poblete-Echeverria, C. (2020). Vineyard yield estimation using 2-D proximal sensing: A multitemporal approach. *OENO One*, 54(4), 793–812. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oenone.2020.54.4.3361>
 96. Hagen, N. A., & Kudenov, M. W. (2013). Review of snapshot spectral imaging technologies. *Optical Engineering*, 52(9), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.52.9.090901>
 97. Hed, B., Ngugi, H. K., & Travis, J. W. (2009). Relationship Between Cluster Compactness and Bunch Rot in Vignoles Grapes. *Plant Disease*, 93(11), 1195–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-93-11-1195>
 98. Hernández, I., Gutiérrez, S., Ceballos, S., Iñiguez, R., Barrio, I., & Tardaguila, J. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Novel Sensing Technologies for Assessing Downy Mildew in Grapevine. *Horticulturae*, 7(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7050103>
 99. Herrera, F., Ventura, S., Bello, R., Cornelis, C., Zafra, A., Sánchez-Tarragó, D., & Vluymans, S. (2016). *Multiple instance learning: Foundations and algorithms*. Springer International Publishing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47759-6>
 100. Herrero-Huerta, M., González-Aguilera, D., Rodríguez-Gonzálvez, P., & Hernández-López, D. (2015). Vineyard yield estimation by automatic 3D bunch modelling in field conditions. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 110, 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.10.003>

101. Horowitz, S. L., & Pavlidis, T. (1976). Picture Segmentation by a Tree Traversal Algorithm. *J. ACM*, 23(2), 368–388. <https://doi.org/10.1145/321941.321956>
102. Howell, G. S. (2001). Sustainable Grape Productivity and the Growth–Yield Relationship: A Review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 52(3), 165–174.
103. Huang, T. (1996). *Computer Vision: Evolution And Promise*. <https://doi.org/10.5170/CERN-1996-008.21>
104. Intrieri, C., Filippetti, I., Allegro, G., Centinari, M., & Poni, s. (2008). Early defoliation (hand vs mechanical) for improved crop control and grape composition in Sangiovese (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 14(1), 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2008.00004.x>
105. Ivorra, E., Sánchez, A. J., Camarasa, J. G., Diago, M. P., & Tardaguila, J. (2015). Assessment of grape cluster yield components based on 3D descriptors using stereo vision. *Food Control*, 50, 273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.09.004>
106. Jiang, P., Chen, Y., Liu, B., He, D., & Liang, C. (2019). Real-Time Detection of Apple Leaf Diseases Using Deep Learning Approach Based on Improved Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE Access*, 7, 59069–59080. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2914929>
107. Jones, D. R. (2001). A Taxonomy of Global Optimization Methods Based on Response Surfaces. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 21(4), 345–383. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012771025575>
108. Jones, E. G., Wong, S., Milton, A., Sclauzero, J., Whittenbury, H., & McDonnell, M. D. (2020). The Impact of Pan-Sharpening and Spectral Resolution on Vineyard Segmentation through Machine Learning. *Remote Sensing*, 12(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12060934>
109. Kass, M., Witkin, A., & Terzopoulos, D. (1988). Snakes: Active contour models. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 1(4), 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00133570>
110. Keller, M. (2010). Managing grapevines to optimise fruit development in a challenging environment: A climate change primer for viticulturists. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 16(s1), 56–69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2009.00077.x>
111. Kerkech, M., Hafiane, A., & Canals, R. (2018). Deep leaning approach with colorimetric spaces and vegetation indices for vine diseases detection in UAV images. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 155, 237–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.10.006>

112. Khanal, S., Fulton, J., Klopfenstein, A., Douridas, N., & Shearer, S. (2018). Integration of high resolution remotely sensed data and machine learning techniques for spatial prediction of soil properties and corn yield. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 153, 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.07.016>
113. Kicherer, A., Klodt, M., Sharifzadeh, S., Cremers, D., Töpfer, R., & Herzog, K. (2017). Automatic image-based determination of pruning mass as a determinant for yield potential in grapevine management and breeding. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 23(1), 120–124.
114. Kliewer, W.M., & Casteel, T., (2003). Canopy Management. In: Hellman, E. (Ed.), *Oregon Viticulture*. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR, pp. 177–184.
115. Kliewer, W. M., & Dokoozlian, N. K. (2005). Leaf Area/Crop Weight Ratios of Grapevines: Influence on Fruit Composition and Wine Quality. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 56(2), 170–181.
116. Klompenburg, T. van, Kassahun, A., & Catal, C. (2020). Crop yield prediction using machine learning: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 177, 105709. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105709>
117. Kohavi, R., & John, G. H. (1997). Wrappers for feature subset selection. *Artificial Intelligence*, 97(1), 273–324. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702\(97\)00043-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0004-3702(97)00043-X)
118. Kouadio, L., Deo, R. C., Byrareddy, V., Adamowski, J. F., Mushtaq, S., & Nguyen, V. P. (2018). Artificial intelligence approach for the prediction of Robusta coffee yield using soil fertility properties. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 155, 324–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.10.014>
119. Krstic, M. P., Welsh, M. A., & Clingeleffer, P. R. (1998). Variation in chardonnay yield components between vineyards in a warm irrigated region. In R. J. Blair, A. N. Sas, P. F. Hayes, & P. B. Hoj (Eds.), *Precision agriculture* (pp. 269–270). Urrbrae, SA, Sydney Australia: AWRI.
120. Krstic, M., Clingeleffer, P., Dunn, G., Martin, S., & Petrie, P (2005). Grapevine growth and reproduction: an overview. In: *Transforming Flowers to Fruit, Proceedings ASVO Conference* (pp 7-10). <http://hdl.handle.net/102.100.100/181287?index=1>
121. Ky, I., Lorrain, B., Jourdes, M., Pasquier, G., Fermaud, M., Gény, L., Rey, P., Doneche, B., & Teissedre, P.-L. (2012). Assessment of grey mould (*Botrytis cinerea*) impact on phenolic and sensory quality of Bordeaux grapes, musts and wines for two consecutive vintages. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 18(2), 215–226. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2012.00191.x>
122. Li, B., Wang, M., & Wang, N. (2010). *Development of a Real-Time Fruit*

Recognition System for Pineapple Harvesting Robots. Presented at the 2010 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, June 20 - June 23, 2010, St. Joseph, MI. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.29922>

123. Li, G., Ma, Z., & Wang, H. (2012). Image Recognition of Grape Downy Mildew and Grape Powdery Mildew Based on Support Vector Machine. In D. Li & Y. Chen (Eds.), *Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture V* (pp. 151–162). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
124. Li, T., Hao, X., Kang, S., & Leng, D. (2017). Spatial Variation of Winegrape Yield and Berry Composition and their Relationships to Spatiotemporal Distribution of Soil Water Content. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 68(3), 369–377. <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2017.16067>
125. Lin, L., Wang, K., Zuo, W., Wang, M., Luo, J., & Zhang, L. (2016). A Deep Structured Model with Radius–Margin Bound for 3D Human Activity Recognition. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 118(2), 256–273. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-015-0876-z>
126. Linker, R., Cohen, O., & Naor, A. (2012). Determination of the number of green apples in RGB images recorded in orchards. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 81, 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2011.11.007>
127. Liu, S., & Whitty, M. (2015). Automatic grape bunch detection in vineyards with an SVM classifier. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 13(4, Part 3), 643–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jal.2015.06.001>
128. Liu, S., Marden, S., & Whitty, M. (2013). Towards Automated Yield Estimation in Viticulture. *Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation, ACRA*.
129. Liu, S., Whitty, M., & Cossell, S. (2015). A Lightweight Method for Grape Berry Counting based on Automated 3D Bunch Reconstruction from a Single Image. In ICRA, International Conference on Robotics and Automation (IEEE), Workshop on Robotics in Agriculture (p. 4).
130. Liu, S., Cossell, S., Tang, J., Dunn, G., & Whitty, M. (2017). A computer vision system for early stage grape yield estimation based on shoot detection. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 137, 88–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.03.013>
131. Liu, S., Li, X., Wu, H., Xin, B., Tang, J., Petrie, P. R., & Whitty, M. (2018). A robust automated flower estimation system for grape vines. *Biosystems Engineering*, 172, 110–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.05.009>
132. Liu, S., Zeng, X., & Whitty, M. (2020). 3DBunch: A Novel iOS-Smartphone Application to Evaluate the Number of Grape Berries per Bunch Using Image Analysis Techniques. *IEEE Access*, 8, 114663–114674. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3003415>

133. Liu, S., Zeng, X., & Whitty, M. (2020). A vision-based robust grape berry counting algorithm for fast calibration-free bunch weight estimation in the field. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 173, 105360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105360>
134. Lloyd, S. (1982). Least squares quantization in PCM. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(2), 129–137.
135. Loggenberg, K., Strever, A., Greyling, B., & Poona, N. (2018). Modelling Water Stress in a Shiraz Vineyard Using Hyperspectral Imaging and Machine Learning. *Remote Sensing*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10020202>
136. Long, J., Shelhamer, E., & Darrell, T. (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. *2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 3431–3440. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298965>
137. Lorente, D., Aleixos, N., Gómez-Sanchis, J., Cubero, S., García-Navarrete, O. L., & Blasco, J. (2012). Recent Advances and Applications of Hyperspectral Imaging for Fruit and Vegetable Quality Assessment. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 5(4), 1121–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-011-0725-1>
138. Luo, L., Tang, Y., Zou, X., Wang, C., Zhang, P., & Feng, W. (2016). Robust Grape Cluster Detection in a Vineyard by Combining the AdaBoost Framework and Multiple Color Components. *Sensors*, 16(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16122098>
139. Luo, L., Tang, Y., Zou, X., Ye, M., Feng, W., & Li, G. (2016). Vision-based extraction of spatial information in grape clusters for harvesting robots. *Biosystems Engineering*, 151, 90–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2016.08.026>
140. Luo, L., Tang, Y., Lu, Q., Chen, X., Zhang, P., & Zou, X. (2018). A vision methodology for harvesting robot to detect cutting points on peduncles of double overlapping grape clusters in a vineyard. *Computers in Industry*, 99, 130–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.03.017>
141. Luo, M. R. (2014). CIELAB. In R. Luo (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Color Science and Technology* (pp. 1–7). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-27851-8_11-1
142. Maheswari, P., Raja, P., Apolo-Apolo, O. E., & Pérez-Ruiz, M. (2021). Intelligent Fruit Yield Estimation for Orchards Using Deep Learning Based Semantic Segmentation Techniques—A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 1247. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.684328>
143. Main, G. L., & Morris, J. R. (2004). Leaf-Removal Effects on Cynthiana Yield, Juice Composition, and Wine Composition. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 55(2), 147–152.

144. Maktabdaz Oghaz, M., Maarof, M. A., Zainal, A., Rohani, M. F., & Yaghoubyan, S. H. (2015). A Hybrid Color Space for Skin Detection Using Genetic Algorithm Heuristic Search and Principal Component Analysis Technique. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(8), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134828>
145. Mann, S., & Picard, R. (1994). Virtual bellows: Constructing high quality stills from video. *Proceedings of 1st International Conference on Image Processing*, *1*, 363–367. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIP.1994.413336>
146. Martin, S., Dunn, G., Hoogenraad, T., Krstic, M., Clingeffer, P., & Ashcroft, W., 2002 Crop forecasting in cool climate vineyards. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Cool Climate Viticulture and Enology*, Melbourne, Australia.
147. Martin, S., Dunstone, R., & Dunn, G. (2003). *How to Forecast Wine Grape Deliveries Using Grape Forecaster Excel Workbook Version 7*. Department of Primary Industries.
148. Matese, A., & Di Gennaro, S. F. (2021). Beyond the traditional NDVI index as a key factor to mainstream the use of UAV in precision viticulture. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 2721. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81652-3>
149. May, P. (2004). *Flowering and fruitset in grapevines*. Phylloxera and Grape Industry Board of South Australia in association with Lythrum Press Adelaide.
150. Mayuri, K. (2018). Role of Image Processing and Machine Learning Techniques in Disease Recognition, Diagnosis and Yield Prediction of Crops: A Review. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science*, *9*(2), 788–795. <https://doi.org/10.26483/ijarcs.v9i2.5793>
151. Meunkaewjinda, A., Kumsawat, P., Attakitmongcol, K., & Srikaew, A. (2008). Grape leaf disease detection from color imagery using hybrid intelligent system. *2008 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics, Computer, Telecommunications and Information Technology*, *1*, 513–516. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ECTICON.2008.4600483>
152. Meyer, F. (1994). Topographic distance and watershed lines. *Signal Processing*, *38*(1), 113–125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1684\(94\)90060-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1684(94)90060-4)
153. Meyers, J. M., & Vanden Heuvel, J. E. (2014). Use of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index Images to Optimize Vineyard Sampling Protocols. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, *65*(2), 250–253. <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2014.13103>
154. Milella, A., Marani, R., Petitti, A., & Reina, G. (2019). In-field high throughput grapevine phenotyping with a consumer-grade depth camera. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *156*, 293–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.11.026>

155. Milioto, A., Lottes, P., & Stachniss, C. (2018). Real-Time Semantic Segmentation of Crop and Weed for Precision Agriculture Robots Leveraging Background Knowledge in CNNs. *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2229–2235. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA.2018.8460962>
156. Millan, B., Aquino, A., Diago, M. P., & Tardaguila, J. (2017). Image analysis-based modelling for flower number estimation in grapevine. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 97(3), 784–792. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7797>
157. Millan, B., Velasco-Forero, S., Aquino, A., & Tardaguila, J. (2018). On-the-Go Grapevine Yield Estimation Using Image Analysis and Boolean Model. *Journal of Sensors*, 2018, 9634752. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9634752>
158. Millan, B., Diago, M. P., Aquino, A., Palacios, F., & Tardaguila, J. (2019). Vineyard pruning weight assessment by machine vision: Towards an on-the-go measurement system. *OENO One*, 53(2). <https://doi.org/10.20870/oenone.2019.53.2.2416>
159. Mirbod, O., Yoder, L., & Nuske, S. (2016). Automated Measurement of Berry Size in Images. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 49(16), 79–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.10.015>
160. Mockus, J., Tiesis, V., & Zilinskas, A. (2014). The application of Bayesian methods for seeking the extremum. In *Towards Global Optimization* (Vol. 2, pp. 117–129).
161. Molitor, D., Behr, M., Hoffmann, L., & Evers, D. (2012). Research note: Benefits and drawbacks of pre-bloom applications of gibberellic acid (GA3) for stem elongation in Sauvignon blanc. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 33(2), 198–202.
162. Monga, T. (2018). Estimating Vineyard Grape Yield from Images. In E. Bagheri & J. C. K. Cheung (Eds.), *Advances in Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 339–343). Springer International Publishing.
163. Nosratabadi, S., Mosavi, A., Duan, P., Ghamisi, P., Filip, F., Band, S. S., Reuter, U., Gama, J., & Gandomi, A. H. (2020). Data Science in Economics: Comprehensive Review of Advanced Machine Learning and Deep Learning Methods. *Mathematics*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8101799>
164. Nuske, S., Achar, S., Bates, T., Narasimhan, S., & Singh, S. (2011). Yield estimation in vineyards by visual grape detection. *2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2352–2358. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2011.6095069>
165. Nuske, S., Wilshusen, K., Achar, S., Yoder, L., Narasimhan, S., & Singh, S. (2014a). Automated Visual Yield Estimation in Vineyards. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 31(5), 837–860. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rob.21541>

166. Nuske, S., Gupta, K., Narasimhan, S., & Singh, S. (2014b). Modeling and Calibrating Visual Yield Estimates in Vineyards. In K. Yoshida & S. Tadokoro (Eds.), *Field and Service Robotics: Results of the 8th International Conference* (pp. 343–356). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40686-7_23
167. Oger, B., Laurent, C., Vismara, P., & Tisseyre, B. (2021a). Is the optimal strategy to decide on sampling route always the same from field to field using the same sampling method to estimate yield? *OENO One*, 55(1), 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2021.55.1.3334>
168. Oger, B., Vismara, P., & Tisseyre, B. (2021b). Combining target sampling with within field route-optimization to optimise on field yield estimation in viticulture. *Precision Agriculture*, 22(2), 432–451. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-020-09744-0>
169. OIV. (2009). OIV Descriptor list for grape varieties and Vitis species. *OIV 18, Rue d'Aguesseau-75008 Paris*, 178. Available online: <http://www.oiv.int/public/medias/2274/code-2e-edition-finale.pdf> (accessed on 2 September 2019).
170. Otsu, N. (1979). A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 9(1), 62–66. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310076>
171. Ouyang, W., Zeng, X., Wang, X., Qiu, S., Luo, P., Tian, Y., Li, H., Yang, S., Wang, Z., Li, H., Wang, K., Yan, J., Loy, C.-C., & Tang, X. (2017). DeepID-Net: Object Detection with Deformable Part Based Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 39(7), 1320–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2587642>
172. Palacios F., Melo-Pinto P., Diago M.P., Iñiguez R., Tardaguila J. (2021) Assessing number of grapevine berries using linear methods and machine learning. 13th European Conference on Precision Agriculture, 2021 Budapest (Hungary).
173. Palliotti, A., Gatti, M., & Poni, S. (2011). Early Leaf Removal to Improve Vineyard Efficiency: Gas Exchange, Source-to-Sink Balance, and Reserve Storage Responses. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 62(2), 219–228. <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2011.10094>
174. Pastore, C., Allegro, G., Valentini, G., Muzzi, E., & Filippetti, I. (2017). Anthocyanin and flavonol composition response to veraison leaf removal on Cabernet Sauvignon, Nero d'Avola, Raboso Piave and Sangiovese Vitis vinifera L. cultivars. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 218, 147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.01.048>
175. Pentland, A. P. (1984). Local Shading Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, PAMI-6(2), 170–187. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1984.4767501>

176. Pentland, A. P. (1987). A New Sense for Depth of Field. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *PAMI-9*(4), 523–531. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1987.4767940>
177. Pereira, C. S., Morais, R., & Reis, M. J. C. S. (2019). Deep Learning Techniques for Grape Plant Species Identification in Natural Images. *Sensors*, *19*(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19224850>
178. Perez, D. S., Bromberg, F., & Diaz, C. A. (2017). Image classification for detection of winter grapevine buds in natural conditions using scale-invariant features transform, bag of features and support vector machines. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *135*, 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.01.020>
179. Poggio, T., Torre, V., & Koch, C. (1985). Computational vision and regularization theory. *Nature*, *317*(6035), 314–319. <https://doi.org/10.1038/317314a0>
180. Ponce, J., Hebert, M., Schmid, C., & Zisserman, A. (Eds.). (2006). *Toward Category-Level Object Recognition*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/11957959>
181. Poni, S., Casalini, L., Bernizzoni, F., Civardi, S., & Intrieri, C. (2006). Effects of Early Defoliation on Shoot Photosynthesis, Yield Components, and Grape Composition. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, *57*(4), 397–407.
182. Portugal, I., Alencar, P., & Cowan, D. (2018). The use of machine learning algorithms in recommender systems: A systematic review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *97*, 205–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.12.020>
183. Radhouane, B., Derdour, K., & Mohamed, E. (2016). Estimation of the flower buttons per inflorescences of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) by image auto-assessment processing. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *11*, 3203–3209. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2016.11331>
184. Rajkomar, A., Dean, J., & Kohane, I. (2019). Machine Learning in Medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *380*(14), 1347–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1814259>
185. Rakun, J., Stajnko, D., & Zazula, D. (2011). Detecting fruits in natural scenes by using spatial-frequency based texture analysis and multiview geometry. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *76*(1), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2011.01.007>
186. Rangarajan, A. K., Purushothaman, R., & Pérez-Ruiz, M. (2021). Disease classification in aubergine with local symptomatic region using deep learning models. *Biosystems Engineering*, *209*, 139–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2021.06.014>

187. Rasmussen, C. E. (2004). Gaussian Processes in Machine Learning. In O. Bousquet, U. von Luxburg, & G. Rätsch (Eds.), *Advanced Lectures on Machine Learning: ML Summer Schools 2003, Canberra, Australia, February 2–14, 2003, Tübingen, Germany, August 4–16, 2003, Revised Lectures* (pp. 63–71). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-28650-9_4
188. Reis, M. J. C. S., Morais, R., Peres, E., Pereira, C., Contente, O., Soares, S., Valente, A., Baptista, J., Ferreira, P. J. S. G., & Cruz, J. B. (2012). Automatic detection of bunches of grapes in natural environment from color images. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 10(4), 285–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jal.2012.07.004>
189. Rezatofighi, H., Tsoi, N., Gwak, J., Sadeghian, A., Reid, I., & Savarese, S. (2019). Generalized Intersection Over Union: A Metric and a Loss for Bounding Box Regression. *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 658–666. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2019.00075>
190. Riseman, E. M., & Arbib, M. A. (1977). Computational techniques in the visual segmentation of static scenes. *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, 6(3), 221–276. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-664X\(77\)80028-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-664X(77)80028-2)
191. Rose, J. C., Kicherer, A., Wieland, M., Klingbeil, L., Töpfer, R., & Kuhlmann, H. (2016). Towards Automated Large-Scale 3D Phenotyping of Vineyards under Field Conditions. *Sensors*, 16(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16122136>
192. Rosenfeld, A., & Pfaltz, J. L. (1966). Sequential Operations in Digital Picture Processing. *J. ACM*, 13(4), 471–494. <https://doi.org/10.1145/321356.321357>
193. Rosenfeld, A., & Kak, A. C. (1976). *Digital picture processing*. Academic Press.
194. Rosenfeld, A., Hummel, R. A., & Zucker, S. W. (1976). Scene Labeling by Relaxation Operations. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, SMC-6*(6), 420–433. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1976.4309519>
195. Rosenfeld, A. (1980). Quadrees and pyramids for pattern recognition and image processing. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 802–809.
196. Ross, D. A., Lim, J., Lin, R.-S., & Yang, M.-H. (2008). Incremental Learning for Robust Visual Tracking. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 77(1), 125–141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-007-0075-7>
197. Rudolph, R. I., Herzog, K., Töpfer, R., & Steinhage, V. (2019). Efficient identification, localization and quantification of grapevine inflorescences and flowers in unprepared field images using Fully Convolutional Networks. *Vitis: Journal of Grapevine Research*, 58, 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.5073/vitis.2019.58.95-104>

198. Ruiz-Santaquitaría, J., Pedraza, A., Sánchez, C., Libreros, J. A., Salido, J., Deniz, O., Blanco, S., Cristóbal, G., & Bueno, G. (2019). Deep Learning Versus Classic Methods for Multi-taxon Diatom Segmentation. In A. Morales, J. Fierrez, J. S. Sánchez, & B. Ribeiro (Eds.), *Pattern Recognition and Image Analysis* (pp. 342–354). Springer International Publishing.
199. Sabbatini P., Dami I., & Howell G. S. (2012). Predicting Harvest Yield in Juice and Wine Grape Vineyards (E3186). Accessed: Aug. 24, 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://www.canr.msu.edu/uploads/resources/pdfs/Predicting_Harvest_Yield_in_Juice_and_Wine_Grape_Vineyards_\(E3186\).pdf](https://www.canr.msu.edu/uploads/resources/pdfs/Predicting_Harvest_Yield_in_Juice_and_Wine_Grape_Vineyards_(E3186).pdf)
200. Sankaran, S., Mishra, A., Ehsani, R., & Davis, C. (2010). A review of advanced techniques for detecting plant diseases. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 72(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2010.02.007>
201. Sannakki, S. S., Rajpurohit, V. S., Nargund, V. B., & Kulkarni, P. (2013). “Diagnosis and classification of grape leaf diseases using neural networks.” *2013 Fourth International Conference on Computing, Communications and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCNT.2013.6726616>
202. Santos, T. T., Souza, L. L. de, Santos, A. A. dos, & Avila, S. (2020). Grape detection, segmentation, and tracking using deep neural networks and three-dimensional association. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 170, 105247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105247>
203. Sapkota, B., Singh, V., Cope, D., Valasek, J., & Bagavathiannan, M. (2020). Mapping and Estimating Weeds in Cotton Using Unmanned Aerial Systems-Borne Imagery. *AgriEngineering*, 2(2), 350–366. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering2020024>
204. Schindler, G., Brown, M., & Szeliski, R. (2007). City-Scale Location Recognition. *2007 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2007.383150>
205. Seitz, S., & Szeliski, R. (1999). Applications of computer vision to computer graphics. *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.*, 33(4), 35–37. <https://doi.org/10.1145/345370.345398>
206. Seng, K. P., Ang, L.-M., Schmidtke, L. M., & Rogiers, S. Y. (2018). Computer Vision and Machine Learning for Viticulture Technology. *IEEE Access*, 6, 67494–67510. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2875862>
207. Siam, M., Elkerdawy, S., Jagersand, M., & Yogamani, S. (2017). Deep semantic segmentation for automated driving: Taxonomy, roadmap and challenges. *2017 IEEE 20th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, 1–8.

208. Simon, P., & Uma, V. (2018). Review of Texture Descriptors for Texture Classification. In S. C. Satapathy, V. Bhateja, K. S. Raju, & B. Janakiramaiah (Eds.), *Data Engineering and Intelligent Computing* (pp. 159–176). Springer Singapore.
209. Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2015). Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
210. Smart, R.E., & Robinson, M. (1991) Sunlight into the wine. A handbook for winegrape canopy management (*Winetitles*: Adelaide, SA, Australia).
211. Soille, P. (2004). Erosion and Dilation. In *Morphological Image Analysis: Principles and Applications* (pp. 63–103). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05088-0_3
212. Soille, P. (1999). *Morphological Image Analysis*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03939-7>
213. Sonka, M., Hlavac, V., & Boyle, R. (2007). *Image Processing, Analysis, and Machine Vision*. Thomson-Engineering.
214. Su, W.-H. (2020). Advanced Machine Learning in Point Spectroscopy, RGB- and Hyperspectral-Imaging for Automatic Discriminations of Crops and Weeds: A Review. *Smart Cities*, 3(3), 767–792. <https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities3030039>
215. Szeliski, R., & Shum, H.-Y. (1997). Creating Full View Panoramic Image Mosaics and Environment Maps. *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, 251–258. <https://doi.org/10.1145/258734.258861>
216. Szeliski, R. (2011). Introduction. In *Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications* (pp. 1–25). Springer London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84882-935-0_1
217. Tardaguila, J., de Toda, F. M., Poni, S., & Diago, M. P. (2010). Impact of Early Leaf Removal on Yield and Fruit and Wine Composition of *Vitis vinifera* L. Graciano and Carignan. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 61(3), 372–381.
218. Tardaguila, J., Blanco, J., Poni, S., & Diago, M. (2012). Mechanical yield regulation in winegrapes: Comparison of early defoliation and crop thinning. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 18(3), 344–352.
219. Tardaguila, J., Diago, M. P., Millan, B., Blasco, J., Cubero, S., & Aleixos, N. (2013). Applications of computer vision techniques in viticulture to assess canopy features, cluster morphology and berry size. *Acta Horticulturae*, 978, 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.978.7>

220. Tardaguila, J., Stoll, M., Gutiérrez S., Proffitt T., & Diago M. P (2021). Smart applications and technologies in viticulture: A review. *Smart Agricultural Technology* (Accepted for publication)
221. Taylor, C. J., Debevec, P. E., & Malik, J. (1996). Reconstructing polyhedral models of architectural scenes from photographs. *Computer Vision — ECCV '96*, 659–668.
222. Taylor, J., Tisseyre, B., Bramley, R., & Reid, A. (2005). *A comparison of the spatial variability of vineyard yield in European and Australian production systems*. (J. V. Stafford, Ed.; pp. 907–914). Wageningen Academic Publishers.
223. Taylor, J., Tisseyre, B., & Leroux, C. (2019). A simple index to determine if within-field spatial production variation exhibits potential management effects: Application in vineyards using yield monitor data. *Precision Agriculture*, 20(5), 880–895. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-018-9620-3>
224. Tedesco-Oliveira, D., Silva, R. P. da, Maldonado, W., & Zerbato, C. (2020). Convolutional neural networks in predicting cotton yield from images of commercial fields. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 171, 105307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105307>
225. Tello, J., & Ibáñez, J. (2014). Evaluation of indexes for the quantitative and objective estimation of grapevine bunch compactness. *Vitis*, 53(1), 9–16.
226. Tello, J., Aguirrezábal, R., Hernáiz, S., Larreina, B., Montemayor, M. I., Vaquero, E., & Ibáñez, J. (2015). Multicultivar and multivariate study of the natural variation for grapevine bunch compactness. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 21(2), 277–289.
227. Tello, J., Herzog, K., Rist, F., This, P., & Doligez, A. (2019). Automatic Flower Number Evaluation in Grapevine Inflorescences Using RGB Images. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*. <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2019.19036>
228. Terzopoulos, D. (1986). Regularization of Inverse Visual Problems Involving Discontinuities. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, PAMI-8(4), 413–424. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1986.4767807>
229. Tian, H., Wang, T., Liu, Y., Qiao, X., & Li, Y. (2020). Computer vision technology in agricultural automation—A review. *Information Processing in Agriculture*, 7(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2019.09.006>
230. Tisseyre, B., Leroux, C., Pichon, L., Geraudie, V., & Sari, T. (2018). How to define the optimal grid size to map high resolution spatial data? *Precision Agriculture*, 19(5), 957–971. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-018-9566-5>
231. Toshev, A., & Szegedy, C. (2014). DeepPose: Human Pose Estimation via Deep Neural Networks. *2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1653–1660. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2014.214>

232. Turk, M. A., & Pentland, A. P. (1991). Face recognition using eigenfaces. *Proceedings. 1991 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 586–591. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.1991.139758>
233. Vail, M., & Marois, J. (1991). Grape cluster architecture and the susceptibility of berries to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Phytopathology*, 81(2), 188–191.
234. Vasconcelos, M. C., Greven, M., Winefield, C. S., Trought, M. C. T., & Raw, V. (2009). The Flowering Process of *Vitis vinifera*: A Review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 60(4), 411–434.
235. Victorino, G. F., Braga, R., Santos-Victor, J., & Lopes, C. M. (2020). Yield components detection and image-based indicators for non-invasive grapevine yield prediction at different phenological phases. *OENO One*, 54(4), 833–848. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2020.54.4.3616>
236. Viola, P., & Jones, M. J. (2004). Robust Real-Time Face Detection. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 57(2), 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:VISI.0000013087.49260.fb>
237. Virnodkar, S. S., Pachghare, V. K., Patil, V. C., & Jha, S. K. (2020). Remote sensing and machine learning for crop water stress determination in various crops: A critical review. *Precision Agriculture*, 21(5), 1121–1155. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-020-09711-9>
238. Voulodimos, A., Doulamis, N., Doulamis, A., & Protopapadakis, E. (2018). Deep Learning for Computer Vision: A Brief Review. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2018, 7068349. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7068349>
239. Waghmare, H., Kokare, R., & Dandawate, Y. (2016). Detection and classification of diseases of Grape plant using opposite colour Local Binary Pattern feature and machine learning for automated Decision Support System. *2016 3rd International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN)*, 513–518. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SPIN.2016.7566749>
240. Wäldchen, J., Rzanny, M., Seeland, M., & Mäder, P. (2018). Automated plant species identification—Trends and future directions. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 14(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005993>
241. Waltz, D. (1975). Understanding line drawings of scenes with shadows. In P. Winston (Ed.), *The Psychology of Computer Vision* (pp. 19–91). McGraw-Hill.
242. Wang, S., Chaovalitwongse, W., & Babuska, R. (2012). Machine Learning Algorithms in Bipedal Robot Control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)*, 42(5), 728–743. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCC.2012.2186565>
243. Wang, X., Han, T. X., & Yan, S. (2009). An HOG-LBP human detector with partial occlusion handling. *2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on Computer Vision*, 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2009.5459207>

244. Whitty, M., Liu, S., Cossell, S., Jayakody, H., Woods, M., Tang, J., ... Dunn, G. (2017). Improved yield estimation for the Australian wine industry. Wine Australia. Department of Primary Industries NSW & University of NSW, (November), 167.
245. Williams, C. K., & Rasmussen, C. E. (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning* (Vol. 2). MIT Press Cambridge, MA.
246. Witkin, A. P. (1981). Recovering surface shape and orientation from texture. *Artificial Intelligence*, 17(1), 17–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-3702\(81\)90019-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-3702(81)90019-9)
247. Wittenberghe, S. V., Verrelst, J., Rivera, J. P., Alonso, L., Moreno, J., & Samson, R. (2014). Gaussian processes retrieval of leaf parameters from a multi-species reflectance, absorbance and fluorescence dataset. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 134, 37–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2014.03.010>
248. Wohlfahrt, Y., Collins, C., & Stoll, M. (2019). Grapevine bud fertility under conditions of elevated carbon dioxide: This article is published in cooperation with the 21th GIESCO International Meeting, June 23-28 2019, Thessaloniki, Greece. Guests editors: Stefanos Koundouras and Laurent Torregrosa. *OENO One*, 53(2). <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.2019.53.2.2428>
249. Wolpert, J. A., & Vilas, E. P. (1992). Estimating Vineyard Yields: Introduction to a Simple, Two-Step Method. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 43(4), 384–388.
250. Xin, B., Liu, S., & Whitty, M. (2020). Three-dimensional reconstruction of *Vitis vinifera* (L.) cvs Pinot Noir and Merlot grape bunch frameworks using a restricted reconstruction grammar based on the stochastic L-system. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 26(3), 207–219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12444>
251. Yang, Q., Shi, L., Han, J., Zha, Y., & Zhu, P. (2019). Deep convolutional neural networks for rice grain yield estimation at the ripening stage using UAV-based remotely sensed images. *Field Crops Research*, 235, 142–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2019.02.022>
252. Yao, X., Huang, Y., Shang, G., Zhou, C., Cheng, T., Tian, Y., Cao, W., & Zhu, Y. (2015). Evaluation of Six Algorithms to Monitor Wheat Leaf Nitrogen Concentration. *Remote Sensing*, 7(11), 14939–14966. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs71114939>
253. Zabadal, T. J., & Bukovac, M. J. (2006). Effect of CPPU on fruit development of selected seedless and seeded grape cultivars. *HortScience*, 41(1), 154–157.
254. Zabawa, L., Kicherer, A., Klingbeil, L., Töpfer, R., Kuhlmann, H., & Roscher, R. (2020). Counting of grapevine berries in images via semantic segmentation

using convolutional neural networks. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 164, 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2020.04.002>

255. Zhang, J., Marszałek, M., Lazebnik, S., & Schmid, C. (2007). Local Features and Kernels for Classification of Texture and Object Categories: A Comprehensive Study. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 73(2), 213–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-006-9794-4>
256. Zuiderveld, K. (1994). Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization. In *Graphics Gems IV* (pp. 474–485). Academic Press Professional, Inc.