



UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA

TESIS DOCTORAL

Título	Coaching ejecutivo y sostenibilidad de cambios: un estudio de caso múltiple en la perspectiva de empresarios directivos
Autor/es	Eliane Cristina Bringmann de Souza
Director/es	Mónica Clavel San Emeterio
Facultad	Facultad de Ciencias Empresariales
Titulación	
Departamento	Economía y Empresa
Curso Académico	2023-2024

Existen circunstancias excepcionales que impiden la difusión de la versión íntegra de esta tesis. Por este motivo se difunden únicamente los contenidos que no están sujetos a confidencialidad



Coaching ejecutivo y sostenibilidad de cambios: un estudio de caso múltiple en la perspectiva de empresarios directivos, tesis doctoral de Eliane Cristina Bringmann de Souza, dirigida por Mónica Clavel San Emeterio (publicada por la Universidad de La Rioja), se difunde bajo una Licencia Creative Commons Reconocimiento-NoComercial-SinObraDerivada 3.0 Unported. Permisos que vayan más allá de lo cubierto por esta licencia pueden solicitarse a los titulares del copyright.



**UNIVERSIDAD
DE LA RIOJA**

TESIS DOCTORAL

2023

Programa de Doctorado en Economía de la Empresa

**COACHING EJECUTIVO Y SOSTENIBILIDAD DE
CAMBIOS: UN ESTUDIO DE CASO MÚLTIPLE EN LA
PERSPECTIVA DE EMPRESARIOS DIRECTIVOS**

Eliane Cristina Bringmann de Souza

Directora: Mónica Clavel San Emeterio

RESUMEN

Las empresas con múltiples socios directivos pueden enfrentar divergencias comprometedoras durante períodos de cambio y renovación. Si bien el coaching ejecutivo puede apoyarlos en los cambios individuales y organizacionales necesarios, la sostenibilidad de los cambios logrados por los empresarios es un tema emergente que requiere atención. Este estudio explora la sostenibilidad de los cambios alcanzados a través del coaching ejecutivo de 6 a 24 meses después de la conclusión del proceso. Centrándonos en cuatro áreas temáticas: interacción, procesos, negocios y experiencia de coaching, examinamos las percepciones de 10 socios directivos que buscaron el coaching por su propia iniciativa. Las empresas pertenecen a diversos sectores de servicios que están experimentando cambios significativos (renovación). Nuestra investigación práctica utiliza un enfoque cualitativo y un marco conceptual de predictores de sostenibilidad e insostenibilidad. Utilizamos un diseño de estudio de casos múltiples y entrevistas semiestructuradas para recopilar datos de ex coachees seleccionados intencionalmente. Se llevó a cabo un análisis de contenido temático, respaldado por el software Nvivo y validación externa. Nuestros hallazgos resaltan la interacción entre el contexto individual, el contexto organizacional (jerarquía y relaciones de poder) y el contexto social (familia) como factores clave que influyen en la sostenibilidad. Adicionalmente, revelan 6 nuevos predictores de sostenibilidad (satisfacción del coachee, liderazgo efectivo, conversaciones honestas, capacidad de aprendizaje y adaptación, consistencia cognitiva) y uno de insostenibilidad (disonancia cognitiva). Además, destacan la importancia del engagement de los stakeholders y el uso de predictores de sostenibilidad que pueden influir en los cambios a lo largo del tiempo.

Palabras clave: Coaching ejecutivo; sostenibilidad e insostenibilidad de cambios; empresarios directivos.

ALGUNAS CONCLUSIONES

INTRODUCCIÓN

En este capítulo presentamos las conclusiones más relevantes de esta investigación y la discusión. Profundizaremos nuestra comprensión de los hallazgos, analizaremos e interpretaremos dichos resultados a la luz del marco teórico adoptado, discutiendo su relevancia, consistencia y contribución al conocimiento existente. La discusión se organiza en cuatro bloques, coincidiendo con las preguntas de investigación: (1) contexto actual de los resultados de la experiencia de coaching, (2) predictores de sostenibilidad de los cambios logrados, (3) predictores de insostenibilidad de estos cambios y (4) principales hallazgos. Además, a continuación, consideraremos las implicaciones prácticas y teóricas de estos resultados, abordando posibles limitaciones y sugiriendo líneas futuras para la investigación (bloque 6 y 7).

BLOQUE 1: CONTEXTO DE LOS RESULTADOS DE LA EXPERIENCIA DE COACHING EJECUTIVO

Este bloque se refiere al estado actual de los cambios alcanzados de los socios directores de las 10 empresas estudiadas, en la fecha de la entrevista, a través de la experiencia de coaching ejecutivo. El análisis inductivo y cruzado utilizado en este estudio revela la sostenibilidad de los cambios logrados, a través de 1245 referencias codificadas en las 23 subcategorías de los cuatro ejes temáticos investigados (interrelación, proceso, negocios y experiencia de coaching), en los 10 casos objeto de estudio. Sin embargo, 6 (diálogo, reuniones, retroalimentación, conflictos, decisiones y metas) de las 23 subcategorías revelan algunos riesgos de insostenibilidad a largo plazo.

Los ejes temáticos de interacción, procesos y negocios, a través de las subcategorías diálogo, autodesarrollo, delegación, decisiones, activación de procesos, gestión de procesos, metas, coste y ganancias, pertenecientes a los ejes temáticos interacción y procesos, revelan indicadores como una mejor comunicación, compromiso, autogestión, aprendizaje y acuerdos, autoconciencia, conciencia, autorregulación, autoeficacia, influencia y visión ampliada, y optimización o mejora de habilidades o recursos (certificaciones, startups, estrategias lean); más tiempo libre para otras actividades (gestión estratégica y ocio), flexibilidad mental y conductual, compromiso, desarrollo continuo y toma de decisiones basadas en evidencias, enfoque en resultados, planificación y monitoreo, innovaciones, valor agregado al cliente, satisfacción y colaboraciones; cambios de percepción, avances en la gestión, reconocimiento por buenas ideas, reducción de gastos, mayor rentabilidad y crecimiento del negocio, convergen con Brinkley y Roux (2018), Gan et al. (2020), Koroleva (2016), Kotte et al. (2021) y Taylor et al. (2019). El bienestar expresado a través del ocio,

satisfacción personal, equilibrio interno (autocontrol, calidad del sueño) y apoyo externo (reconocimiento de amigos, reintegración familiar y apoyo de las parejas), convergen con las premisas de Brinkley y Roux (2018), Molyn et al. (2022) y Schermuly et al. (2021).

En contraste, las vulnerabilidades reveladas en las subcategorías diálogo, reuniones, retroalimentación, conflictos, decisiones y metas, por el momento, no significan que los cambios hayan fracasado, sino que los participantes pueden estar experimentando efectos adversos del contexto del coaching (renovación) y del contexto en el que se encuentran (desalineamiento societario). Además, en lugar de abandonar el proceso (tirar la toalla - E9), perseveran en la implementación de los cambios y adaptaciones para mantenerlos a largo plazo, en consonancia con Fleddermann et al. (2023) y Molyn et al. (2022).

Indicadores como falta de confianza, igualdad, compromiso con acuerdos, reuniones o metas, respeto mutuo y valentía para enfrentar, expresados en el eje interacción y las subcategorías diálogo y conflictos, sugieren la influencia del contexto organizacional en la sostenibilidad de los cambios a través de relaciones de poder y control conflictivas (Kerber y Buono, 2018). La falta de conversaciones honestas sugiere dificultades para resolver problemas pendientes (Beer, 2020; By, 2020), reacciones defensivas y riesgo de volver a viejos hábitos, dificultando el progreso y la sostenibilidad de los cambios, en consonancia con Boyatzis (2006; 2008), Boyatzis et al. (2019), Koroleva (2016) y Kotte et al. (2021).

Nuestro estudio ha centrado la atención en los socios directivos coacheados, en línea a estudios previos que han señalado los dirigentes de las empresas como los principales catalizadores para respuestas más ágiles y la toma de decisiones complejas ante el mundo *Volatile, Uncertain, Complex y Ambiguous* (V.U.C.A) (Bennet y Lemoine, 2014a; 2014b; Kaiser, 2020) y, más recientemente, el mundo inmerso en el caos de una pandemia global (Fleddermann, 2023; Liu et al., 2020). En esta perspectiva, la relación entre el liderazgo y la sostenibilidad de los cambios, se ha evidenciado en los socios directivos de las empresas como la principal base de implementación y sustentación del cambio, en la medida en que se desarrollan y practican sus cambios en el ambiente de trabajo, en convergencia con las ideas de Bendell, Sutherland y Little (2017), Boone et al. (2020), Ford y Gilson (2021), Simba y Thai (2019), favoreciendo la innovación, el desarrollo sostenible y longevo de las empresas, en línea a Christensen, Raynor y McDonald (2016) y Scheepers y Bogie (2020), independientemente de su edad, tamaño o ciclo de vida (Rosha y Lace, 2018).

En cuanto a la experiencia de coaching, indicadores como el reconocimiento de la sostenibilidad de los cambios individuales y organizacionales, los beneficios percibidos al identificar los cambios y los efectos desencadenados por ellos, y la recomendación del coaching a otros emprendedores, revelan una percepción positiva continua de los cambios a

lo largo del tiempo y la satisfacción, en consonancia con Fleddermann et al. (2023), Koroleva (2016), Molyn et al. (2022) y Nanduri (2018). Por otro lado, la sugerencia de seguimiento posterior al coaching en situaciones desafiantes (E6, E9) sugiere la influencia de la confianza en el coaching (relación y alianza de trabajo) como factores que contribuyen a la sostenibilidad, en línea con Boyatzis (2006; 2008), Koroleva (2016) y Molyn et al. (2022).

BLOQUE 2: PREDICTORES DE SOSTENIBILIDAD DE LOS CAMBIOS

Este bloque se refiere a los factores que influyen en la sostenibilidad de los cambios logrados. Los resultados confirman la presencia de nuestros predictores de sostenibilidad de los cambios a través de 1111 recurrencias codificadas, predominando la autorregulación, la conciencia, el aprendizaje transformador, el compromiso con el cambio, la percepción positiva, la orientación hacia los resultados, la autoconciencia y el cambio de percepción. Estos predictores pertenecen a nuestro conceptual-teórico estructural, revelados en los ejes interacción, procesos y negocios, y las subcategorías autodesarrollo, delegación, flexibilidad, reuniones, feedback, visión sistémica, decisiones, activación y gestión de procesos.

Adicionalmente, desde la óptica de la autorregulación, uno de los aspectos que se destaca en nuestros resultados se refiere a los momentos de autorreflexión más profunda, seguidos de auto comparaciones realizadas por algunos socios directivos, dónde se desvela un cierto distanciamiento o extrañamiento al buscar el recuerdo del “antiguo yo” en relación con el “yo actual” (E1, E3, E6 y E10). De ahí se puede conjeturar que, en determinados cambios, hubo un proceso de internalización de los aprendizajes y de la sostenibilidad de los cambios, de tal forma que se han tornado algo natural (como si fuera siempre así; como parte de la propia identidad), inconsciente, un hábito, presentando convergencia a las ideas de Beer (2010), Berkman (2018), Boyatzis (2008); Mbokota et al. (2022) y Mezirow (1997).

1.1 BLOQUE 3: PREDICTORES DE INSOSTENIBILIDAD DE LOS CAMBIOS

Este bloque se refiere a los factores que influyen en la insostenibilidad de los cambios logrados. Nuestros resultados no revelan casos de insostenibilidad de los cambios alcanzados, sino la influencia de factores que afectan la sostenibilidad a través de los 5 predictores investigados, predominando la influencia contextual, la comunicación y el compromiso inadecuados, con 76 recurrencias codificadas, en el eje interacción y procesos, en las subcategorías diálogo, decisiones y reuniones.

En este estudio, los predictores de insostenibilidad también revelan efectos sostenibles, lo que sugiere una coexistencia paradójica entre los efectos del coaching y los predictores, similar al movimiento de transición no lineal que puede ocurrir entre las fases del coaching durante el proceso

(Osatuke et al., 2017), con superposiciones. Como ejemplo, en los predictores de compromiso y comunicación inadecuada se observa una superposición, ya que a pesar de las actitudes defensivas (falta de respeto, compromiso y apoyo) de algunos socios para definir la visión futura y estrategias coherentes, las reuniones están teniendo lugar (ahora estamos enfocados - E5). Este movimiento revela que el participante es consciente del contexto y lo enfrenta con la esperanza de lograr lo deseado (Molyn et al., 2022). Conciencia, compromiso y comunicación son efectos de un proceso de coaching efectivo (DiGirolamo, 2022; Koroleva, 2016; Nanduri, 2018) y, al mismo tiempo, predictores de sostenibilidad (Brinkley y Roux, 2018) y, lo contrario, efectos de la ineficacia del coaching y la insostenibilidad de los cambios. Ambos parecen coexistir y el contexto impacta en su revelación.

BLOQUE 4: PRINCIPALES HALLAZGOS

Este bloque se refiere a los principales hallazgos de nuestro estudio. Así, al considerar el número de recurrencias de los predictores de sostenibilidad (1111) en comparación con los de insostenibilidad (76), se puede inferir que el contexto organizacional, más específicamente la estructura corporativa (jerarquías y relaciones de poder, y modelo de negocio), es un factor relevante que influye en la capacidad de sostenibilidad de un cambio a largo plazo. En los 10 casos estudiados, los socios directivos buscaron el coaching para intervenir en la realidad y los resultados revelan la intencionalidad o el control en las decisiones y el liderazgo del negocio. Cuatro casos revelan la presencia de conflictos (juegos de poder) y dificultades de cooperación (E1, E4, E5, E9); en dos casos las divergencias parecen haberse superado (E2, E6), y en cuatro casos se observa adhesión y compromiso con los cambios (E3, E7, E8, E10). El modelo de negocio, representado aquí por el mayor número de socios, parece haber afectado la estructura de propiedad (reducción de socios - E5) y la gobernanza (E5, E9), generando vulnerabilidades.

Nuestros resultados revelan que el coaching ejecutivo es una intervención que genera aprendizaje transformador y cambios sostenibles en momentos de renovación empresarial y divergencias societarias, cuyos efectos continúan resonando a lo largo del tiempo, al igual que la satisfacción con los resultados obtenidos. Sin embargo, también es susceptible al fracaso, especialmente cuando no está alineado con los stakeholders estratégicos y no si considera adecuadamente la influencia, así como la interconexión entre los contextos. La sostenibilidad está influenciada por el contexto individual, organizacional y social que se conecta o desconecta en un movimiento no lineal, con reacciones adaptativas y defensivas (según los objetivos, percepciones y subjetividades), lo que hace que el proceso sea complejo. Por lo tanto, se requiere un enfoque colaborativo y adaptativo al contexto, con responsabilidad compartida en la gestión de los factores que pueden influir en la sostenibilidad de los cambios y sus impactos a largo plazo, cultivando un sentido de propiedad y experiencias de aprendizaje transformadoras y sostenibles

LIMITACIONES

Aunque esta tesis ha logrado resultados significativos y esclarecedores, es importante reconocer que tiene algunas limitaciones que deben tenerse en cuenta. Estas limitaciones pueden afectar la generalización de los resultados y la validez de las conclusiones.

El método exploratorio y la técnica de muestreo por conveniencia, no probabilística, aunque ha permitido alcanzar satisfactoriamente los objetivos de este estudio, tiene como una de las limitaciones el hecho de no poder generalizar los resultados y las conclusiones, justamente en función de la representatividad de la muestra con relación al universo de la población.

La naturaleza transversal del estudio, aunque tenga considerado un tiempo largo con relación a la mayoría de los estudios sobre el tema, se muestra como una característica limitadora porque los datos fueron obtenidos en un determinado período de tiempo (entre 6 y 24 meses), después de concluido el proceso de coaching.

Otra limitante se basa en el hecho que esta investigación se basó en autoinformes. Diez antiguos participantes del coaching fueron invitados a evaluar la sostenibilidad de sus cambios. Además, el investigador llevó a cabo las entrevistas en un doble rol (antiguo coach), con una distancia apropiada y sin interacción entre el final del proceso y la fecha de la entrevista. A pesar de que los socios directivos tienden a ser más propensos a mantener conversaciones transparentes debido a su autonomía, es posible que presenten una imagen más favorable de sí mismos y de su empresa, distorsionando cierta información y dando lugar a informes que pueden divergir de la realidad.

LÍNEAS FUTURAS DE INVESTIGACIÓN

Este estudio proporciona una base sólida para investigaciones futuras sobre el tema en cuestión. Sin embargo, existen varias oportunidades de investigación que pueden ampliar y profundizar nuestra comprensión.

Investigaciones futuras podrían estudiar la sostenibilidad desde otras perspectivas o contextos (socios, líderes y equipos, empresas, modelos de negocio, ejecutivos y propietarios de empresas). Se podrían agregar diferentes enfoques y teorías de coaching para ampliar y profundizar el marco conceptual de la sostenibilidad y la insostenibilidad del cambio.

También se podría utilizar el diseño de un estudio longitudinal (ensayos controlados aleatorizados) para recopilar datos y dilucidar patrones de influencia, explorando así la relación entre las variables independientes y la variable dependiente, lo que ocasionaría en una investigación más sólida y confiable.

BIBLIOGRAFÍA

- Agote, L., Aramburu, N., y Lines, R. (2016). Authentic leadership perception, trust in the leader, and followers' emotions in organizational change processes. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 52(1), 35–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886315617531>
- Akram, M. U., Chauhan, C., Ghosh, K., y Singh, A. (2019). Knowledge management, sustainable business performance and empowering leadership: A firm-level approach. *International Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15(2), 20-35. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJKM.2019040102>
- Albizu, E., Rekalde, I., Landeta, J., y Fernández-Ferrín, P. (2019). Analysis of executive coaching effectiveness: A study from the coachee perspective. *Cuadernos De Gestión*, 19(2), 33-52. <https://doi.org/10.5295/cdg.170876ea>
- Argyris, C. *On organizational learning*. Massachussets: Blackwell, 1992.
- Athanasiopoulou, A., y Dopson, S. (2018). A systematic review of executive coaching outcomes: Is it the journey or the destination that matters the most? *Leadership Quarterly*, 29(1), 70-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lequa.2017.11.004>
- Atran, S., Medin, D. L. y Ross, O.N. (2005). The cultural mind: Environmental decision making and cultural modeling within and across populations. *Psychological Review*, 112(4), 744–776. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.112.4.744>
- Audet, J. y Couteret, P. (2012). Coaching the entrepreneur: Features and success factors. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 19(3), 515 -531. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14626001211250207>
- Avery, G. C., y Bergsteiner, H. (2011). Sustainable leadership practices for enhancing business resilience and performance. *Strategy & Leadership*, 39(3), 5-15. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10878571111128766>
- Bachkirova, T., Clutterbuck, D., y Cox, E. (2014). *The Complete Handbook of Coaching*. London: Sage.
- Bachkirova, T., Arthur, L. y Reading, E. (2015). Evaluating a coaching and mentoring program: Challenges and solutions. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 10(2), 175–189.
- Bachkirova, T. (2016). The self of the coach: Conceptualization, issues, and opportunities for practitioner development. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 68(2), 143-156. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000055>
- Bachkirova, T; Borrington, S. (2018). Old wine in new bottles: Exploring pragmatism as a philosophical framework for the discipline of coaching. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 2-60. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0268>

- Bandura, A. (1993). Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning. *Educational Psychologist*, 28 (2), 117-148.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2802_3
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioural change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>
- Barato, J.A.B., y Rodríguez-Moneo, M. (2016). The relationship between self-regulation and coaching process. *Universitas Psychologica*, 15(1), 141-152.
<https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.upsy15-1.rpap>
- Bardin, L. (2016): Análise de Conteúdo (3^a ed.). In: Reto, L.A. y Pinheiro, A. (Trad.). São Paulo: Edições 70.
- Barge, J. K.; Oliver, C. (2003). Working with appreciation in managerial practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(1), 124-142.
- Baron, L. y Morin, L. (2009). The coach-coachee relationship in executive coaching: A Field Study. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20(1), 85-106.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.20009>
- Baron, L. y Morin, L. (2010). The impact of executive coaching on self-efficacy related to management soft-skills. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 31(1), 18-38. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731011010362>
- Barr, J. y Dowing, L. (2012). *Leadership in Health Care*. London: Sage Publications Limited.
- Bartlett II, J. E. (2007). Advances in coaching practices: A humanistic approach to coach and client roles. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(1), 91-93.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.09.011>
- Bartlett II, J. y E. Boylan, R. V. y Hale, J. E. (2014). Executive coaching: An integrative literature review. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 2, 187-195. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jhrss.2014.24018>
- Bass, B.M., Waldman, D. A., Avolio, B. J. y Bebb, M. (1987). Transformational leadership and the falling dominoes effect. *Group & Organization Management*, 12(1), 73-87.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/105960118701200106>
- Bauer, M. y Gaskell, G. (Eds.). (2008). *Qualitative researching with text, image, and sound*. London: Sage.
- Beattie, R. S., Kim, S., Hagen, M. S., Egan, T. M., Ellinger, A. D., y Hamlin, R. G. (2014). Managerial coaching: A review of the empirical literature and development of a model to guide future practice. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 16(2), 184-201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422313520476>
- Beer, M. (2010). *Gerenciando mudança e transição. Seu mentor e guia para administração*. (4.ed.) (Serie Harvard Business Essentials). Rio de Janeiro: Record.
- Beer, M. (2020). Why Honest Conversations are Transformative * ", Noumair, D.A. and (Rami) Shani, A.B. (Ed.) Research in Organizational Change and

- Development (Research in Organizational Change and Development, Vol. 28), Emerald Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 211-238. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0897-301620200000028008>
- Beer, M., Eisenstat, R. A. y Spector, B. (1990). Why change programs don't produce change. *Harvard Business School*, 68 (6), 158-166.
- Beer, M., y Nohria, N. (2000). Cracking the code of change. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(3), 133-141, 216.
- Bendell, J. y Little, R. (2015). Seeking sustainability leadership. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 15(60), 13-26.
- Bendell, J., Sutherland, N. y Little, R. (2017). Beyond unsustainable leadership: Critical social theory for sustainable leadership. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 8(4), 418-444. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-08-2016-0048>
- Ben-Hador, B. (2016). Coaching executives as tacit performance evaluation: A multiple case study. *Journal of Management Development*, 35, 75–88. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-08-2014-0091>.
- Ben Salem, A., y Lakhal, L. (2018). Entrepreneurial coaching: How to be modeled and measured? *Journal of Management Development*, 37(1), 88-100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-12-2016-0292>
- Bennis, W. (1995). *A invenção de uma vida*. Rio de Janeiro: Campus.
- Bennett, N. y Lemoine, G. J. (2014a). What VUCA really means for you. *Harvard Business Review*, (Jan-Feb).
- Bennett, N. y Lemoine, G. J. (2014b). What a Difference a Word Makes: Understanding Threats to Performance in a VUCA World. *Business Horizons*, 57(3), 311-317. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2406676>
- Berglas, S. (2002). The very real dangers of executive coaching. *Harvard Business Review*, 80(6), 86–87.
- Berkman, E.T. (2018). The neuroscience of goals and behavior change. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 70(1), 28-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000094>
- Bickerich, K., Michel, A. y O'Shea, D. (2018). Executive coaching during organizational change: A qualitative study of executives and coaches perspectives. *Coaching*, 11(2), 117-143. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2017.1407806>
- Blackman, A., Moscardo, G. y Gray, D.E. (2016). Challenges for the theory and practice of business coaching: A Systematic Review of Empirical Evidence. *Human Resource Development Review*, 15(4), 459-486. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484316673177>
- Bond, C., y Seneque, M. (2013). Conceptualizing coaching as an approach to management and organizational development. *Journal of Management Development*, 32(1), 57-72. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621711311287026>

- Boone, C. G., Pickett, S. T. A., Bammer, G., Bawa, K., Dunne, J. A., Gordon, I. J., . . . Mallee, H. (2020). Preparing interdisciplinary leadership for a sustainable future. *Sustainability Science*, 15(6), 1723-1733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00823-9>
- Bowditch, J. L. y Buono, A. F. (2004). *Elementos de comportamiento organizacional*. São Paulo: Pioneira Thomson.
- Boyatzis, R. E., y Jack, A. I. (2018). The neuroscience of coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 70(1), 11-27. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000095>
- Boyatzis, R. E., Smith, M. y Van Oosten, E. (2009). Coaching for sustained desired change. *Research in Organizational Change and Development*, 17, 145-173.
- Boyatzis, R.E., Smith, M. L. y Beveridge, A.J. (2012). Coaching with compassion: Inspiring health, well-Being, and development in organizations. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 49(2), 153-178.
- Boyatzis R. E. (2008). Leadership development from a complexity perspective. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 60(4), 298. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.60.4.298>
- Boyatzis, R., Smith, M., y Van Oosten, E. (2019). Coaching for change. *Harvard Business Review*, 2019(September-October).
- Boysen, S., Cherry, M., Amerie, W. y Takagawa, M. (2018). Organisational Coaching Outcomes: A comparison of a practitioner survey and key findings from the literature. *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 16(1), 159-66. <https://doi.org/10.24384/000475>
- Bozer, G., Delegach, M., y Kotte, S. (2022). The influence of organizational coaching context on pre-coaching motivation and the role of regulatory focus: An experimental study. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 33(4), 383-403. <https://doi:10.1002/hrdq.21462>
- Bozer, G., y Delegach, M. (2019). Bringing context to workplace coaching: A theoretical framework based on uncertainty avoidance and regulatory focus. *Human Resource Development Review*, 18(3), 376-402. <https://doi:10.1177/1534484319853098>
- Bozer, G., y Jones, R. J. (2018). Understanding the factors that determine workplace coaching effectiveness: A systematic literature review. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 27(3), 342-361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2018.1446946>
- Bozer, G.; Sarros, J. C. y Santora, J. C., (2014a). Executive coaching: Guidelines that work. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 28 (4), 9-14. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DLO-05-2013-0020>
- Bozer, G., Sarros, J. C. y Santora, J. C. (2014b). Academic background and credibility in executive coaching effectiveness. *Personnel Review*, 43(6), 881-

897. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-10-2013-0171>

- Bozer, G; Sarros, J. C. y Santora J.C. (2013). The role of coachee characteristics in executive coaching for effective sustainability. *Journal of Management Development*, 32 (3), pp.277-294. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621711311318319>
- Brand, H. y Coetze, M. (2013). An explorative study of the experience of the coach and coachee during executive coaching. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 34 (3), 247-256. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09718923.2013.11893136>
- Brinkert, R. (2006). Conflict coaching: Advancing the conflict resolution field by developing an individual disputant process. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly*, 23(4), 517-528. <https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.153>
- Brinkley, M.-L., y Le Roux, I. (2018). Coaching as a support function for potential entrepreneurs. *The Southern African Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management*, 10(1), 1–12.
- Brock, V. G. (2008). *Grounded theory of the roots and emergence of coaching*. (Tesis de Doctorado) International University of Professional Studies, Maui.
- Brock, V. G. (2009). Professional challenges facing the coaching field from an historical perspective. *The International Journal of Coaching in Organization*, 7(1), 27-30.
- Brunning, H. (2018). The six domains of executive coaching. En *Executive coaching: Systems-psychodynamic Perspective* (pp. 113–130). Londres: Karnac Books. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429474385-6>
- Bryman, A. (1996). Leadership in Organizations. En CLEGG, S. H. y Nord, W. R. (Eds.) *Handbook of Organization Studies*. Nova York: Sage.
- By, R. T. (2020). Organizational change and leadership: Out of the quagmire. *Journal of Change Management*, 20(1), 1-6. <https://doi:10.1080/14697017.2020.1716459>
- Cañas, J.J., Antoli, A., Fajardo, I. y Salmerón, L. (2005). Cognitive inflexibility and the development and use of strategies for solving complex dynamic problems: Effects of different types of training. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, 6 (1), 95-108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14639220512331311599>
- Canning, J. y Found, P. A. (2015). The effect of resistance in organizational change programmes: A study of a lean transformation. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 7(2-3), 274–295. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-02-2015-0018>
- Carter, A., Blackman, A., Hicks, B., Williams, M. y Hay, R. (2017). Perspectives on effective coaching by those who have been coached. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 21 (2), 73-91. <https://doi10.1111/ijtd.12908>
- Cepeda, G. (2006). La calidad en los métodos de investigación cualitativa: Principios de aplicación práctica para estudios de casos. *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de la Empresa*, 29, 57-82.

- Chemers, M. M. (2000). Leadership research and theory: A functional integration. *Group Dynamics*, 4(1), 27-43. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2699.4.1.27>
- Cho, J. y Trent, A. (2006). Validity in qualitative research revisited. *Qualitative Research*, 6(3), 319-340. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794106065006>
- Christensen, C. M., McDonald, R., Altman, E. J., y Palmer, J. E. (2018). Disruptive innovation: An intellectual history and directions for future research. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55(7), 1043-1078. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12349>
- Christensen, C. M. y Overdorf, M. (2000). Meeting the challenge of disruptive change. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(2).
- Christensen, C. M., Raynor, M. y McDonald, R. (2016). What Is Disruptive Innovation? *Harvard Business Review*.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2008). *Coaching Eficaz: Como orientar sua equipe de trabalho para potencializar resultados*. São Paulo: Editora Gente.
- Collerette, P. y Delisle, G. (1988). *La planificación del cambio: Estrategias de adaptación de las organizaciones*. México D.F.: Trillas.
- Cook, J. (2016). Collaborative action coaching for leaders: A way of enabling transfer and sustainability of learning for all external coaches? *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring Special Issue* (S10), 76-83.
- Cooper, J. (2019). Cognitive dissonance: Where we've been and where we're going. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 32(1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.277>
- Coutu, D., y Kauffman, C. (2009). What can coaches do for you? *Harvard Business Review*, 87, 91-97.
- Cox, E., Bachkirova, T., y Clutterbuck, D. (2014). Theoretical traditions and coaching genres: Mapping the territory. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 16(2), 139-160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422313520194>
- Cox, E., y Patrick, C. (2012). Managing emotions at work: how coaching affects retail support workers' performance and motivation. *International Journal of Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 10(2), 34-51.
- Cox, E. (2013). *Coaching Understood: A pragmatic enquiry into the coaching process*. London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches* (4th Edition). SAGE Publication.
- Critchley, B. (2010). Relational coaching: Taking the coaching high road. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(10), 851-863. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621711011084187>
- David, S. A., Clutterbuck, D., y Megginson, D. (2016). Beyond goals: Effective strategies for coaching and mentoring. *Beyond goals: Effective strategies for coaching and mentoring* (pp. 1-343). DOI:10.4324/9781315569208

- Davis, K. y Newstrom, J. W. (2003). *Comportamiento humano en el trabajo: Comportamiento organizacional* (11.ed.). México D.F.: McGraw-Hill.
- De Haan, E. (2008). I struggle and emerge: Critical moments of experienced coaches. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 60(1), 106-131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.60.1.106>
- De Haan, E. (2008b). I Doubt therefore I coach: Critical moments in coaching practice. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 60(1), 91-105. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.60.1.91>
- De Haan, E., Bertie, C., Day, A., Sills, C. (2010). Clients' critical moments of coaching: Toward a "client model" of executive coaching. *Academy of Management Learning Education*, 9(4), 607-621. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMLE.2010.56659879>
- De Haan, E., Culpin, V. y Curd, J. (2011). Executive coaching in practice: What determines helpfulness for clients of coaching? *Personnel Review*, 40(1), 24-44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00483481111095500>
- De Haan, E., Duckworth, A., Birch, D. y Jones, C. (2013). Executive coaching outcome research: The contribution of common factors such as relationship, personality match, and self-efficacy. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 65(1), 40-57. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031635>
- De Haan, E., Grant, A. M., Burger, Y. y Eriksson, P. O. (2016). A large-scale study of executive and workplace coaching: The relative contributions on relationship, personality match, and self-efficacy. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 68(3), 189-207. <http://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000058>
- De Haan, E. y Nieb, C. (2012). Critical moments in a coaching case study: Illustration of a process research model. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 64, 198–224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0029546>
- De Haan, E. y Duckworth, A. (2013). Signaling a New Trend in Executive Coaching Outcome Research. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 8(1), 6-19.
- De Haan, E. (2019). A systematic review of qualitative studies in workplace and executive coaching: The emergence of a body of research. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 71(4), 227–248. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000144>.
- De Haan, E. (2021). What works in executive coaching: Understanding outcomes through quantitative research and practice-based evidence. *What works in executive coaching: Understanding outcomes through quantitative research and practice-based evidence* (pp. 1-198) doi:10.4324/9781003127055
- De Vries, M. K. (2007). Executive "complexes". *Organizational Dynamics*, 36(4), 377-391. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2007.06.004>
- Dey, I. (1999). Grounding grounded theory: Guidelines for qualitative inquiry. San Diego: Academic Press.

- Dheer, R. J. S., y Lenartowicz, T. (2019). Cognitive flexibility: Impact on entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 115. <https://doi:10.1016/j.jvb.2019.103339>
- DiGirolamo, J. A. (2022). Coaching for sustainability. *International handbook of evidence-based coaching: Theory, research and practice* (pp. 163-172) doi:10.1007/978-3-030-81938-5_13
- Dilts, R.B. (2014). A brief history of logical levels. Disponible en: <http://www.nlpu.com/Articles/LevelsSummary.htm> - Accedido en: 09 set. 2018.
- Dimitrov, D. (2015). Leadership in a humane organization. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 39(2), 122-142. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-07-2014-0051>
- Dinsmore, P. C., y Soares, M. C. (2007). *Coaching prático: o caminho para o sucesso*. Rio de Janeiro: Qualitymark.
- Do, D. T., Nguyen, T. H., Nguyen, T. H. N., Ha, H. H., y Le, T. T. (2020). The influence of leadership style on accountants' commitment with enterprise: An empirical study on vietnamese FDI firms. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(3), 235-243. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no3.235>
- Dobrea, M. y Maiorescu, I. (2015). Entrepreneurial Outcomes and Organisational Performance Through Business Coaching. *Amfiteatru Economic Journal*, 17(38), 247-260.
- Drucker, P. (2001): *Desafios gerenciais para o século XXI*. São Paulo: Pioneira Thomson Learning.
- Echegoyen Olleta, J. (1995). *Historia de la filosofía Griega*. Editorial Edinumen. Madrid.
- Echeverría, R. (2005). *Ontología del lenguaje*. Chile: Dolmen Ediciones.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building Theories from Case Study Research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14 (4): 532-550.
- Ellinger, A., Hamlin, R., y Beattie, R. (2017). Coaching in the HRD Context. En T. Bachkirova, G. Spence & D. Drake (Eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Coaching* (pp. 470-485). London: Sage.
- Elliott, Ray. H. (2005). The parameters of specialist professional leadership coaching. En A. M. Grant, M. Cavanagh, y T. Kemp (Eds.), *Evidence-based coaching: Contributions from the behavioral sciences*. (Vol. 1) (pp. 111-126). Samford Valley: Australian Academic Press.
- Ely, K., Boyce, L. A., Nelson, J. K., Zaccaro, S. J., Hernez-Broome, G. y Whyman, W. (2010). Evaluating leadership coaching: A review and integrated framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21 (4), 585-599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lequa.2010.06.003>
- Erdös, T., de Haan, E., y Heusinkveld, S. (2020). Coaching: Client factors and contextual dynamics in the change process: A qualitative meta-synthesis. *Coaching*, 14(2), 162–183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2020.1791195>

- Evered, R.G. y Selman, J.C. (1989). Coaching and the art of management. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(2), 16-32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(89\)90040-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(89)90040-5)
- Erwin, D. G. y Garman, A. N. (2010). Resistance to organizational change: Linking research and practice. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 31(1), 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731011010371>
- Fatien Diochon, P. (2012). Ethical challenges in business coaching. *Business ethics: A critical approach: Integrating ethics across the business world* (pp. 302-316) <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203119013>
- Fatien-Diochon, P., y Nizet, J. (2015). Ethical codes and executive coaches: One size does not fit all. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 51(2), 277-301. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886315576190>
- Fatien Diochon, P., Martin, F., y Kotte, S. (2019). Entrepreneurial coaching: Handling conflicting hats. Presentation at the 11th ESMT Coaching Colloquium, Berlin, Germany.
- Feldman, D. C., y Lankau, M. J. (2005). Executive coaching: A review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 829-848. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279599>
- Festinger, L. (1957). *Teoría de la disonancia Cognoscitiva*. Madrid: Institutos de Estudios Políticos.
- Fillery-Travis, A. y Collins, R. (2017). Discipline, profession and industry: How our choices shape our future. En T. Bachkirova, G. Spence, y D. Drake (eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Coaching* (pp. 729-744). London: Sage.
- Fleddermann, K., Jacobson, N., y Horst, J. et al. (2023). Opening the “black box” of organizational coaching for implementation. *BMC Health Serv Res* 23, 106. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08948-6>
- Flick, U. (2009). Introdução à pesquisa qualitativa (3^aed). In: Costa, J. E. (Trad.) São Paulo: Artmed.
- Flick, U. (2015). Qualitative Inquiry—2.0 at 20? Developments, Trends, and Challenges for the Politics of Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 21 (7), 599-608. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800415583296>
- Fontana, A. y Frey, J. H. (1994). Interviewing: the art of science. In: N. Denzin, e Y.S. Lincoln (Orgs.), *Handbook of qualitative research*, 361-376, Newbury Park: Sage.
- Ford, J. H., II, y Gilson, A. (2021). Influence of participation in a quality improvement collaborative on staff perceptions of organizational sustainability. *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1) <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-06026-3>
- Foster, S. y Lendl, J. (1996). Eye movement desensitization and reprocessing: Four case studies of a new tool for executive coaching and restoring employee performance

- after setbacks. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 48, 155–161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1061-4087.48.3.155>
- Fusch, P. I. y Ness, L. R. (2015). Are we there yet? Data Saturation in Qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 20 (9), 1408-1416.
- Gabriel, A.S., Moran, C.M. y Gregory, J.B. (2014). How can humanistic coaching affect employee well-being and performance? An application of self-determination theory. *Coaching*, 7(1), 56-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2014.889184>
- Gallwey, W. T. (2016). *O jogo interior do tênis. O guia clássico para o lado mental da excelência no desempenho*. São Paulo: Sportbook.
- Gan, G. C., Chong, C. W., Yuen, Y. Y., Yen Teoh, W. M., y Rahman, M. S. (2020). Executive coaching effectiveness: Towards sustainable business excellence. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2020.1724507>
- García Calvo, A. (1985). *Razón común Heráclito: Edición crítica, ordenación, traducción y comentario de los restos del libro de Heráclito*. Madrid: Lucina.
- Garrett, R. P., Jr., y Welcher, T. (2018). Corporate entrepreneurship as a survival routine. <https://doi:10.1108/S1048-473620180000028005>
- Gegner, C. (1997). Summary of Executive Coaching Research Project. The Coaching & Mentoring Network. <http://www.coachingnetwork.org.uk/information-portal/Articles/ViewArticle.asp?artId=61>
- Gegner, C. (1997). *Coaching: Theory and Practice*. [Tesis de Maestría no publicada]. California, University of San Francisco.
- Gerring, J. (2004). What is a case study and what is it good for? *American Political Science Review*, 98(2), 341-354. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055404001182>
- Gerring, J. (2017). Qualitative methods. *Annual Review of Political Science*. 20, 15-36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-092415-024158>
- Gessnitzer, S. y Kauffeld, S. (2015). The working alliance in coaching: Why behavior is the key to success. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 51(2), 177–197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886315576407>
- Gregory, J. B. y Levy, P. E. (2012). Humanistic/person-centered approaches. En J. Passmore, D. Peterson y T. Freire (Eds.). *The handbook of the psychology of coaching and mentoring*. Hoboken (283-297). NJ: Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118326459.ch15>
- Gibbert, M., Ruigrok, W. y Wicki, B. (2008). What passes as a rigorous case study? *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(13), 1465-1474. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.722>
- Gibson, R. et al. (1998). *Repensando o futuro*. São Paulo: Makron Book Ltda.

- Goldsmith, M. y Reiter, M. (2017). *O efeito gatilho: como disparar as mudanças de comportamento que levam ao sucesso nos negócios e na vida*. São Paulo: Companhia Editora Nacional.
- Goldvarg, D. y Perel de Goldvarg, N. (2012). *Competencias de coaching aplicadas: con estandares internacionales*. Ediciones Granica.
- Goldvarg, D., y Perel, N. (2016). Mentor coaching en acción. Feedback efectivo para un coaching exitoso. Buenos Aires: Granica.
- Goleman, D. (2004). What Makes a Leader? *Harvard Business Review*, 82(1), 82-91.
- Goleman, D., y Boyatzis, R. (2008). Social intelligence and the biology of leadership. *Harvard Business Review*, 86(9), 71-81+136.
- Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., y McKee, A. (2017). *El líder resonante crea más*. Buenos Aires: La Norma Libros.
- Gonnet, J. (2013). El discurso contemporáneo del management. El caso del coaching ontológico. *Estudios Gerenciales*, 29, 86-91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0123-5923\(13\)70023-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0123-5923(13)70023-8)
- Gormley, H. y van Nieuwerburgh, C. (2014). Developing coaching cultures: A review of the literature. *Coaching*, 7(2), 90-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2014.915863>
- Gover, L., Halinski, M., y Duxbury, L. (2015). Is it Just Me? Exploring Perceptions of Organizational Culture Change. *British Journal of Management*, 0, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12117>
- Grabmann, C., Scholmerich, F., y Schermuly, C. C. (2020). The relationship between working alliance and client outcomes in coaching: A meta-analysis. *Human Relations*, 73(1), 35-58. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718819725>
- Graham, S., y Harris, K.R. (1996). Self-regulation and strategy instruction for students who find writing and learning challenging. En M.C. Levy y S. Ransdell, *The science of writing. Theories, Methods, Individual differences, and publications* (pp. 347 – 360). Nueva Jersey: Lawrence Erbaum Associates.
- Grant, A., y O'Connor, S. (2019). A brief primer for those new to coaching research and evidence-based practice. *The Coaching Psychologist*, 15(1), 3-10.
- Grant, A. M. (2012). An integrated model of goal-focused coaching: An evidence-based framework for teaching and practice. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 7(2), 146-165.
- Grant, A. M. (2014). The efficacy of executive coaching in times of organisational change. *Journal of Change Management*, 14(2), 258-280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2013.805159>
- Grant, A. M. (2014b). Autonomy support, relationship satisfaction and goal focus in the coach-coachee relationship: Which best predicts coaching success? *Coaching*, 7(1), 18-38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2013.850106>

- Grant, A.M. (2016). The efficacy of executive coaching: *The Wiley-Blackwell handbook of the psychology of coaching and mentoring* (pp.15–39). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Grant, A. M. (2017). The third ‘generation’ of workplace coaching: creating a culture of quality conversations. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 10(1), 37-53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2016.1266005>
- Grant, A.M. y Cavanagh, M.J. (2004). Toward a profession of Coaching: sixty-five years of progress and challenges for the future. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 2(1), 1-16. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255667407>
- Gray, D. E., Ekinci, Y. y Goregaokar, H. (2011). A five-dimensional model of attributes: Some precursors of executive coach selection. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 19(4), 415-428. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2389.2011.00569.x>
- Gregory, J. B. y Levy, P. E. (2011). It's not me, it's you: A multilevel examination of variables that impact employee coaching relationships. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 63(2), 67-88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024152>
- Gregory, J. B. y Levy, P. E. (2012). Humanistic/person-centered approaches. En J. Passmore, D. Peterson y T. Freire (Eds.). *The handbook of the psychology of coaching and mentoring*. Hoboken (283-297). NJ: Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118326459.ch15>
- Grover, S. y Furnham, A. (2016). Coaching as a Developmental Intervention in Organizations: A Systematic Review of Its Effectiveness and the Mechanisms Underlying It. *PLOS ONE*, 11(7), e0159137.
- Guest, G.; Bunce, A. y Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field Methods*, 18 (1), 59-82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903>
- Guillemin, M. y Gillam, L. (2004). Ethics, reflexivity, and “Ethically Important Moments” in Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 10(2), 261-280.
- Guzmán Barquet, E. A. y Alejo Machado, O. J. (2017). The case study: An effective methodology for business research. *Espacios*, 38(51). <https://www.revistaespacios.com/a17v38n51/17385110.html>
- <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159137>
- Hamlin, R. G., Ellinger, A. D. y Beattie, R. S. (2008). The emergent ‘coaching industry’: a wake-up call for HDR professionals. *Human Resource Development International*, 11(3), 287-305. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678860802102534>
- Hargreaves, A. y Fink, D. (2006). *Sustainable Leadership*. San Francisco CA: Jossey Bass.
- Hargreaves, A., y Goodson, I. (2006). Educational change over time? The sustainability and nonsustainability of three decades of secondary school change and continuity.

Hawkins, P. (2009). Developing an effective Coaching strategy. *Global Focus*, 03(03), p.15-19.

Henricks, M. D., Young, M., y Kehoe, E. J. (2020). Attitudes toward change and transformational leadership: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Change Management*, 20(3), 202-219. doi:10.1080/14697017.2020.1758529

Hoggan, C. D. (2016). Transformative learning as a metatheory: Definition, criteria, and typology. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 66(1), 57-75.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0741713615611216>

Houchens, G. W., A. Stewart, T. y Jennings, S. (2017). Enhancing instructional leadership through collaborative coaching: A multi-case study. *International Journal of Mentoring and Coaching in Education*, 6(1), 34-49. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMCE-07-2016-0057>

Howard, A. R. (2015). Coaching to vision versus coaching to improvement needs: A preliminary investigation on the differential impacts of fostering positive and negative emotion during real time executive coaching sessions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6(Mar), art. 455. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00455>

Huggler, L. A. A. (2007). CEOs on the couch: Building the therapeutic coaching alliance in psychoanalytically informed executive coaching (Tesis de Doctorado). Fielding Graduate University, Santa Barbara, CA.

Hurd, J. J. (2009). Development coaching: Helping scientific and technical professionals make the leap into leadership. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 28, 39 –51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joe.20277>

Ianiro, P.M., Schermuly, C.C., y Kauffeld, S. (2013). Why interpersonal dominance and affiliation matter: An interaction analysis of the coach-client relationship. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 6 (1), 25-46. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2012.740489>

International Coach Federation. *International Coach Federation Brasil Charter Chapter*. Recuperado de <https://www.icfbrasil.org/>

Jones, R. J., Woods, S. A., y Guillaume, Y. R. F. (2016). The effectiveness of workplace coaching: A meta-analysis of learning and performance outcomes from coaching. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 89(2), 249-277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12119>

Joo, B.-K.B. (2005). Executive coaching: A conceptual framework from an integrative review of practice and research. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(4), 462-488. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305280866>

Joo, B. -, Sushko, J. S, y McLean, G. N. (2012). Multiple faces of coaching: Manager-as-

- coach, executive coaching, and formal mentoring. *Organization Development Journal*, 30(1), 19-38.
- Jowett, S.; Kanakoglou, K. y Passmore, J. (2012) The application of the 3+1Cs relationship model in executive coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 64(3), 183–197. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030316>
- Jorgensen, H. H., Owen, L. y Neus, A. (2009). Stop improvising change management! *Strategy and Leadership*, 37(2), 38-44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10878570910941217>
- Kaiser, R. B. (2020). Leading in an unprecedented global crisis: The heightened importance of versatility. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 72(3), 135-154. doi:10.1037/cpb0000186
- Kaiser, R., Sherman, R.A. y Hogan, R. (2023). It takes versatility to lead in a volatile world. *Harvard Business Review*, March 7. <https://hbr.org/2023/03/it-takes-versatility-to-lead-in-a-volatile-world>
- Kane, T. (2007). Ancient China on postmodern war: Enduring ideas from the chinese strategic tradition, Routled.
- Karlsen, J. T., y Berg, M. E. (2020). Coaching leadership style: A learning process. *International Journal of Knowledge and Learning*, 13(4), 356-368.
- Kauffman, C., Charan, R., Peterson, D. B., Maccoby, M., Scoular, P. A. y Grant, A. M. (2009). What can coaches do for you? *Harvard Business Review*, 87(1), 91-97+118.
- Kauffman, C. y Hodgetts, W. H. (2016). Model agility: Coaching effectiveness and four perspectives on a case study. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 68, 157–176. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000062>
- Kerber, K. W., y Buono, A. F. (2018). The rhythm of change leadership. *Organization Development Journal*, 36(3), 55-72.
- Khanna, T. (2014). Contextual intelligence. *Harvard Business Review*, (SEP).
- Kibe, J. K. y Muathe, S. (2018). Executive coaching and organizational performance: Review and a critique of literature and a research agenda. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 6(01), 11–26. <https://ijier.net/ijier/article/view/890>
- Kilburg, R. R. (1996). Toward a conceptual understanding and definition of executive coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 48(2), 134-144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1061-4087.48.2.134>
- Kim, S., Egan, T. M., Kim, W. y Kim, J. (2013). The impact of managerial coaching behavior on employee work-related reactions. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 28(3), 315-330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-013-9286-9>
- Kohli, A. (2016). *Effective Coaching, and the Fallacy of Sustainable Change*. Springer. London, United Kingdom. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39735-1>

- Kombarakaran, F. A., Yang, J. A., Baker, M. N. y Fernandes, P. B. (2008). Executive coaching: It works! *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 60(1), 78-90. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.60.1.78>
- Koontz, H. y Weirich, H. (2007). *Elementos de administración: Un enfoque internacional* (7.ed.). México: Editorial McGraw Hill Interamericana.
- Koroleva, N. (2016). A new model of sustainable change in executive coaching: Coachees' attitudes, required resources and routinisation. *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring* (S10), 84-97. Available at: <https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/c58f7b14-c2ff-4b2f-984e-57338502e796/1/>
- Kotte, S., Diermann, I., Rosing, K. y Möller, H. (2021). Entrepreneurial coaching: A two-dimensional framework in context. *Applied Psychology*, 70(2), 518-555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12264>
- Kotter, J. P. (1997). *Liderando mudança*. (Trad. LeadingChange). (2.ed.). Rio de Janeiro: Campus.
- Kotter, J. P. (1998). Culturas e coalizões. En Gibson, R. *Repensando o futuro: repensando negócios, princípios, concorrência, controle e complexidade, liderança, mercados e o mundo*. São Paulo: Makron Books.
- Kotter, J. P. (2000). *Afinal, o que fazem os líderes? A nova face do poder e da estratégia*. (4.ed.). Rio de Janeiro: Campus.
- Kotter, J. P. (2007). Leading change: Why transformation efforts fail. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(1), 96-103.
- Kovacs, L. C., y Corrie, S. (2017). Executive coaching in an era of complexity. Study 1. Does executive coaching work and if so how? A realist evaluation. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 12(2), 74-89.
- Krausz, R. R. (2007). *Coaching executivo: A conquista da liderança*. São Paulo: Nobel.
- Kwasnicka, E. L. (1991). *Teoria geral da administração: Uma síntese*. (2.ed.). São Paulo: Atlas.
- Lally, P., Van Jaarsveld, C. H. M., Potts, H. W. W. y Wardle, J. (2010). How are habits formed: Modelling habit formation in the real world. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(6), 998-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.674>
- Langley, A. y Royer, I. (2006). Perspectives on doing case study research in organizations. *Management*, 9(3), 73-86.
- Lawler, S. E. (2012). Coaching for sustained change: How is it accomplished? (Tesis de Doctorado), Benedictine University, Chicago.
- Laureiro-Martínez, D., Brusoni, S., Canessa, N., y Zollo, M. (2015). Understanding the exploration-exploitation dilemma: An fMRI study of attention control and decision-making performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 36(3), 319-338. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2221>

- Lawrence, P. (2015). Building a coaching culture in a small Australian multinational organization. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 8, 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2015.1006649>
- Lewin, K, Lippitt, R. y White, R.K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created “social climates”. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 10(2), 269-299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1939.9713366>
- Lewin, K. (1947). Frontiers in group dynamics: Concept, method and reality in social science; social equilibria and social change. *Human Relations*, 1(1), 5-41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872674700100103>
- Lewis-Duarte, M. y Bligh, M. C. (2012). Agents of “influence”: Exploring the usage, timing, and outcomes of executive coaching tactics. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 33(3), 255–281. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731211216461>
- Levenson, A. (2009). Measuring and maximizing the business impact of executive coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 61(2), 103–121. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2009-08129-001>
- Li, R., Pei, S., Chen, B., Song, Y., Zhang, T., Yang, W. y Shaman, J. (2020). Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). *Science*, 368(6490), 489-493. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3221>
- Liedtka, J. (2015). Perspective: Linking Design Thinking with Innovation Outcomes through Cognitive Bias Reduction. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 32(6), 925-938. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jipm.12163>
- Lindorff, M., Worrall, L. y Cooper, C. (2011). Managers' well-being and perceptions of organizational change in the UK and Australia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 49(2), 233–254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/103841111400264>
- London, M., Sessa, V. I., y Shelley, L. A. (2023). Developing self-awareness: Learning processes for self- and interpersonal growth. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 10, 261-288. doi:10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-120920-044531
- Lord, J. y Hutchison, P. (1993). The process of empowerment: Implications for theory and practice. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*, 12(1), 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.7870/cjcmh-1993-0001>
- Louis, D. y Diochon, P.F. (2014). Educating Coaches to Power Dynamics: Managing Multiple Agendas Within the Triangular Relationship. *Journal of Psychological Issues in Organizational Culture*, 5(2), 31-47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpoc.21140>
- Lubin, D.A., y Esty, D.C. (2010). The sustainability imperative: lessons for leaders from previous game-changing megatrends. *Harvard Business Review*, May, 42-50.

- Lyons, L. (2003): O coaching no cerne da estratégia. En Goldsmith, M. (Ed.). *Coaching: o exercício da liderança* (pp. 41-58). Rio de Janeiro: Campus/DBM, Elsevier.
- Mackie, D. (2007). Evaluating the effectiveness of executive coaching: Where are we now and where do we need to be? *The Australian Psychological Society*, 42 (4), 310-318. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00050060701648217>
- Mackie, d. (2014). The effectiveness of strength-based executive coaching in enhancing full range leadership development: A controlled study, *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 66(2), 118-137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000005>
- Maher, S. y Pomerantz, S. (2003). The future of executive coaching: analysis from a marker life cycle approach. *International Journal of Coaching in Organizations*, 1(2), 3-11.
- Maltbia, T. E., Marsick, V. J. y Ghosh, R. (2014). Executive and organizational coaching: A review of insights drawn from literature to inform HRD practice. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 16(2), 161-183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422313520474>
- Mantere, S., Schildt, H. A. y Sillince, J. A. A. (2012). Reversal of strategic change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 172-196. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.0045>
- Mbokota, D. G., Myres, P. K., y Stout-Rostron, D. S. (2022). Exploring the process of transformative learning in executive coaching. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 24(2), 117-141. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15234223221079026>
- Melnyk, S. A., Bititci, U., Platts, K., Tobias, J., y Andersen, B. (2014). Is performance measurement and management fit for the future? *Management Accounting Research*, 25(2), 173-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2013.07.007>
- Mihiotis, A., y Argirou, N. (2016). Coaching: from challenge to opportunity. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(4), 448-463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-10-2014-0139>
- Miles, M. B. y Huberman, A. M. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis. London: Sage, 1994.
- Milner, J., Milner, T., y McCarthy, G. (2020). A coaching culture definition: An industry-based perspective from managers as coaches. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 56(2), 237-254. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886320905126>
- Minayo, M. C. de Souza (2008). O desafio da pesquisa social. En: Minayo, M. C. de; Souza. Pesquisa social: teoria, método e criatividade (27^aed, pp.9-29) Petrópolis: Vozes.
- Mintzberg, H. y Westley, F. (1992). Cycles of organizational change. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(2S), 39-59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250130905>
- Moldoveanu, M., y Narayandas, D. (2019). The future of leadership development. *Harvard Business Review*, 40-48.
- Molyn, J., de Haan, E., van der Veen, R., y Gray, D. E. (2022). The impact of common factors on coaching outcomes. *Coaching*, 15(2), 214-227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2021.1958889>

- Morse, J. M., Barret, M., Mayan, M. y Olson, K. (2002). Verification strategies for establishing reliability and validity in qualitative research. *The International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1(2), 13-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690200100202>
- Murphy, M. C., y Dweck, C. S. (2016). Mindsets and consumer psychology: A response. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 26(1), 165-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2015.06.006>
- Nanduri, V. S. (2018a). How the participants experienced a coaching intervention conducted during company restructure and retrenchment: A qualitative research study using interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Coaching*, 11(2), 144-154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2017.1351459>
- Nanduri, V. S. (2018b). How is behavioral change sustained over time? Coachee perceptions of the effects of coaching one year later. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 13(1), 48-60.
- Nekoranec, W., y Fourrier, D. (2013). Coaching managers through change. T and D, 67(5), 26-29.
- Nieminen, L. R. G., Smerek, R., Kotrba, L. y Denison, D. (2013). What does an executive coaching intervention add beyond facilitated multisource feedback? Effects on leader self-ratings and perceived effectiveness. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 24(2), 145-176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21152>
- Noriega, M. C., y Coloma, M. R. (2016). Regaining leadership through ontological coaching for female EFL instructors. *Asian EFL Journal*, 2016 (SpecialEdition), 120-134.
- Noon, R. (2018). Presence in executive coaching conversations - The C 2 model. *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring* (SpecialIssue12), 4-20. <https://doi.org/10.24384/000533>
- Nowack, K.M. (1997). Congruence between self-other ratings and assessment center performance. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 12 (5), 145-166.
- Nowack, K. (2003). Executive Coaching: Fad or Future? *California Psychologist*. 36, 16-17.
- Offstein, E.H., Dufresne, R.L., y Childers Jr, J.S. (2020). Executive coaching explained: The beginnings of a contingency approach. *Journal of Management Development*, 39(9/10), 1041-1056. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-01-2020-0023>
- O'Neil, D.A., Hopkins, M.M. y Bilimoria, D. (2015). A Framework for Developing Women Leaders: Applications to Executive Coaching. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 51(2), 253-276. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0021886315575550>
- Orenstein, R. L. (2006). Measuring executive coaching efficacy? The answer was right here all the time. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 58(2), 106-116. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.58.2.106>
- Osatuke, K., Yanovsky, B., y Ramsel, D. (2017). Executive coaching: New framework for evaluation. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 69(3), 172-186.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000073>

- Page, N. y de Haan, E. (2014). Does executive coaching work? *Psychologist*, 27(8), 582-586.
- Park, S. y Kim, E. - (2015). Revisiting knowledge sharing from the organizational change perspective. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 39(9), 769-797.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-06-2015-0042>
- Passmore, J. (2009). Mindfulness at work and in coaching. En *Danish Psychology Society Conference*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.454.6504&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Passmore, J. (2010). A grounded theory study of the coachee experience: The implications for training and practice in coaching psychology. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 5(1), 48-62. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/47529315>
- Passmore, J. y Fillery-Travis, A. (2011). A critical review of executive coaching, research: A decade of progress and what's to come. *Coaching*, 4(2), 70-88.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2011.596484>
- Passmore, J., Peterson, D. y Freire, T. (2013). Psychology of coaching and mentoring. En J. Passmore, D. Peterson y T Freire (Eds.), *Wiley-Blackwell Handbook of the Psychology of Coaching & Mentoring* (1-11). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Patton, M. G. (2002). Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods (3^aed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Paudel, S. (2019). Entrepreneurial leadership and business performance: Effect of organizational innovation and environmental dynamism. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 8(3), 348-369. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-11-2018-0136>
- Peterson, D. B. (2011). Executive coaching: A critical review and recommendations for advancing the practice En S. Zedeck (Ed.), *APA Handbooks in Psychology. APA handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 2. Selecting and developing members for the organization) (pp. 527-566). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/12170-018>
- Pinzón, A. F. A., Acosta, J., Suárez, R., y Rojas, Y. (2017). Identificación de estilos de liderazgo con datos aportados por EEG. *Neumann Business Review*, 3(2), 113-126.
<https://www.journaltop.com/index.php/NBR/article/view/86>
- Piza, L. (2017). Herramientas no directivas para el cambio de mirada. En Leonardo Ravier (Ed.) *Coaching humanista. Fundamentos, aplicaciones y herramientas de esencia no directiva*. Unión Editorial. (Kindle Book).
- Pliopas, A. (2017). Drawing the triangle: How coaches manage ambiguities inherited in executive coaching. *Brazilian Administrative Review*, 14(4), 1-23.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-7692bar2017170050>

- Prahalad, C. K. (2010). Column: Why is it so hard to tackle the obvious? *Harvard Business Review*, 88(6)
- Prochaska, J. O. y Diclemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 51 (3), 390-395. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.51.3.390>
- Prochaska, J.O., DiClemente, C. C. y Norcross, J.C. (1992). In search of how people change: Applications to addictive behaviors. *American Psychologist*, 47(9), 1102-1114. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.47.9.1102>
- Prochaska, J.O., Norcross, J.C. y DiClemente, C.C. (2013). Applying the stages of change. *Psychotherapy in Australia*, 19(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/med:psych/9780199845491.003.0034>
- Rafferty, A. E., y Restubog, S. L. D. (2017). Why do employees' perceptions of their organization's change history matter? the role of change appraisals. *Human Resource Management*, 56(3), 533-550. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21782>
- Ravier, L. (2017). *Coaching humanista. Fundamentos, aplicaciones y herramientas de esencia no directiva*. Unión Editorial. (Kindle Book).
- Ravier, L. (2016). *Arte y ciencia del coaching: su historia, filosofía y esencia*. Madrid: Unión Editorial. (Kindle Book)
- Rekalde, I., Landeta, J. y Albizu, E. (2015). Determining factors in the effectiveness of executive coaching as a management development tool. *Management Decision*, 53(8), 1677-1697. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-12-2014-0666>
- Rekalde, I., Landeta, J., Albizu, E., y Fernandez-Ferrin, P. (2017). Is executive coaching more effective than other management training and development methods? *Management Decision*, 55(10), 2149-2162. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2016-0688>
- Rigby, D., y Tager, S. (2008). Learning the advantages of sustainable growth. *Strategy & Leadership*, 36(4), 24-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10878570810888740>
- Rodríguez, J. J. V., Rubiano, M. G., Aponte, C. F., Bustamante, M. C. A., Jaramillo, J. Á., González, E. Q., . . . Vélez, M. A. G. (2020). Aspects of organizational culture and its relation to the organizational change disposition. [Aspectos de la cultura organizacional y su relación con la disposición al cambio organizacional] *Suma Psicologica*, 27(1), 52-61. <https://doi.org/10.14349/sumapsi.2020.v27.n1.7>
- Roesch, S. M. A. (2006). *Projetos de Estágio e de Pesquisa em Administração* (3^aed). São Paulo: Editora Atlas.
- Román Castillo, R. E. y Smida, A. (2017). Uma reflexão ex post facto sobre a condução de estudos multicaso para a construção de teoria em ciências de gestão. *Innovar*, 27(64), 129-144. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/innovar.v27n64.62373>

- Rosha, A., Lace, N. (2018). The open innovation model of coaching interaction in organizations for sustainable performance within the life cycle. *Sustainability*, 10(10), Article number 3516. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103516>
- Rozo-Sánchez, A., Flórez-Garay, A., y Gutiérrez-Suárez, C. (2019). Liderazgo organizacional como elemento clave para la dirección estratégica. *Aibi Revista de Investigación, Administración e Ingeniería*, 7(2), 62-67. <https://doi.org/10.15649/2346030X.543>
- Sahoo, P. y Ashwani. (2020). COVID-19 and indian economy: Impact on growth, manufacturing, trade and MSME sector. *Global Business Review*, 21(5), 1159-1183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150920945687>
- Salles, W; Vieira, F. O; Souza, M. S; y Barros, S. R. S. (2019). O canto do coaching: Uma análise crítica sobre os aspectos discursivos do triunfo ágil difundido no Brasil. *Revista Eletrônica Gestão & Sociedade*, 13 (36), 3231-3260. <https://doi.org/10.21171/ges.v13i36.2972>
- Schalk, M. y Landeta, J. (2017). Internal versus external executive coaching. *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*. 10(2), 140-156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2017.1310120>
- Scheepers, C. B., y Bogie, J. (2020). Uber sub-saharan africa: Contextual leadership for sustainable business model innovation during COVID-19. *Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies*, 10(3), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EEMCS-05-2020-0165>
- Schein, E. H. (2001). *Guia de Sobrevivência da Cultura Corporativa*. (Trad. Mônica Braga). Rio de Janeiro: Editora José Olympio.
- Senge, P., Kleiner, A., Roberts, C., Ross, R., Roth, G. y Smith, B. (2000). *A dança das mudanças: Os desafios de manter o crescimento e o sucesso das organizações que aprendem*. (9.ed.) Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier/Campus.
- Sheldon, C. (2018). Trust your gut, listen to reason: How experienced coaches work with intuition in their practice. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 13(1), 6-20.
- Schermuly, C. C. (2018). Client dropout from business coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 70(3), 250-267. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000112>
- Schermuly, C. C., y Grabmann, C. (2018). A literature review on negative effects of coaching—what we know and what we need to know. *Coaching*, 12(1), 39-66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2018.1528621>
- Schermuly, C. C., Wach, D., Kirschbaum, C., y Wegge, J. (2021). Coaching of insolvent entrepreneurs and the change in coping resources, health, and cognitive performance. *Applied Psychology*, 70(2), 556-574. doi:10.1111/apps.12244.
- Scoular, A. y Linley, A. (2006). Coaching, goal-setting and personality type: what matters? *The Coaching Psychologist*. 2. 9-11.
- Segers, J., Vloeberghs, D., Henderickx, E. y Inceoglu, I. (2011). Structuring and

- understanding the coaching industry: The coaching cube. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 10(2), 204–221. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMLE.2011.62798930>
- Selltiz, C., Wrightsman, L.S. y Cook, S. W. (1987). *Métodos de pesquisa nas relações sociais* (2^aed). In: Oliveira, M. M. H. de (Trad.) São Paulo: EPU.
- Sherman, S., y Freas, A. (2004). The wild west of executive coaching. *Harvard Business Review*, 82(11), 82-90,148.
- Shoukry, H. y Cox, E. (2018). Coaching as a social process. *Management Learning*, 49(4), 413-428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507618762600>
- Siltaoja, M., Malin, V., y Pyykkönen, M. (2015). ‘We are all responsible now’: Governmentality and responsibilized subjects in corporate social responsibility. *Management Learning*, 46 (4), 444-460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507614541199>
- Silva, A. L., y Sachuk, M. I. (2019). The human coping process in the context of organizational change. [O processo de enfrentamento em um contexto organizacional que muda; El proceso de afrontamiento en el contexto de cambio organizacional] *Avances En Psicología Latinoamericana*, 37(2), 255-268. <https://doi.org/10.12804/revistas.urosario.edu.co/apl/a.5046>
- Silva, L. V., Anholon, R., Rampasso, I. S., Silva, D., Quelhas, O. L. G., Leal Filho, W., y Santa-Eulalia, L. A. (2020). Critical analysis of organizational change process: Evidences from a steel company. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(6), 1525-1540. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-05-2019-0199>
- Smith, I. M. y Brummel, B. J. (2013). Investigating the role of the active ingredients in executive coaching. *Coaching*, 6(1), 57-71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2012.758649>
- Smither, J. W., London, M., Flautt, R., Vargas, Y., & Kucine, I. (2003). Can working with an executive coach improve multisource feedback ratings over time? A quasi-experimental field study. *Personnel Psychology*, 56(1), 23-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2003.tb00142.x>
- Sonesh, S. C., Coultas, C. W., Marlow, S. L., Lacerenza, C. N., Reyes, D. y Salas, E. (2015). Coaching in the wild: Identifying factors that lead to success. *Consulting psychology Journal*, 67(3), 189-217. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000042>
- Soparnot, R. (2011). The concept of organizational change capacity. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 24(5), 640–661. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09534811111158903>
- Stake, R. E. (2006). *Multiple case study analysis*. The Guilford Press, New York.
- Stelter, R. (2016). The coach as a fellow human companion. *Coaching psychology: Metatheoretical perspectives and applications in multicultural contexts* (pp. 47-66)

doi:10.1001/918-3-319-31012-1_3 Retrieved from www.scopus.com

- Stephan, U. (2018). Entrepreneurs' mental health and well-being: A review and research agenda. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 17(3), 290-322. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2017.0001>
- Stern, L.R. (2004). Executive coaching: A working definition. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 56(3), 154-162. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.56.3.0>
- Stern, L. y Stout-Rostron, S. (2013). What progress has been made in coaching research in relation to 16 ICRF focus areas from 2008 to 2012? *Coaching: An International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice*, 6(1), 72-96.
- Stober D. R (2006). Coaching from the humanistic perspective. En Stober D. R. y Grant A. M (Eds.), Evidence based-coaching handbook: Putting best practices to work for your clients (pp. 17-50). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Suddaby, R. y Foster, W. M. (2017). History and Organizational Change. *Journal of Management*, 43(1), 19– 38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316675031>
- Svensson, G. y Wood, G. (2007). Sustainable leadership ethics: A continuous and iterative process. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 28(3), 251-268. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730710739666>
- Tamir, L. M. y Finfer, L. A. (2016). Executive coaching: the age factor. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 68(4), 313–325. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000069>
- Taylor, S. N., Passarelli, A. M., y Van Oosten, E.B. (2019). Leadership coach effectiveness as fostering self-determined, sustained change. *Leadership Quarterly*, 30(6), 101313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lequa.2019.101313>
- Theeboom, T., Van Vianen, A. E. M., y Beersma, B. (2017). A temporal map of coaching. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8 (AUG). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01352>
- Theeboom, T., Beersma, B., y van Vianen, A. E. M. (2014). Does coaching work? A meta-analysis on the effects of coaching on individual level outcomes in an organizational context. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 9(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2013.837499>
- Thomke, S. (2020). Building a culture of experimentation it takes more than good tools. it takes a complete change of attitude. *Harvard Business Review*, 2020 (March-April), 2-9.
- Utrilla, P. N.-C, Grande, F.A., Lorenzo, D. (2015). The effects of coaching in employees and organizational performance: The Spanish Case. *Intangible Capital*, 11(2), 166-189. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3926/ic.586>
- Ulrich, D.; Smallwood, N. (2014). *Sustentabilidade da liderança: 7 disciplinas para transformar intenções em ações eficientes*. São Paulo: HSM Editora.

- Vakola, M. (2016). The reasons behind change recipients' behavioral reactions: A longitudinal investigation. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 31(1), 202-215. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-02-2013-0058>
- Van den Heuvel, S., y Schalk, R. (2009). The relationship between fulfilment of the psychological contract and resistance to change during organizational transformations. *Social Science Information*, 48(2), 283-313. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0539018409102415>
- Vandaveer, V. V., Lowman, R. L., Pearlman, K. y Brannick, J. P. (2016). A practice analysis of coaching psychology: Toward a foundational competency model. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 68(2), 118-142. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000057>
- Van Knippenberg, B., Martin, L. y Tyler, T. (2006). Process-orientation versus outcome-orientation during organizational change: The role of organizational identification. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 27(6), 685–704. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.391>
- Vidal-Salazar, M. D., Ferrón-Vilchez, V. y Cordón-Pozo, E. (2012). Coaching: an effectiveness practice for business competitiveness. *Competitiveness Review*, 22(5), 423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10595421211266302>
- Vilallonga, M. (2003). Coaching Directivo: *Desarrollando el liderazgo: fundamentos y práctica del coaching*. Barcelona: Editorial Ariel.
- Villalonga, C., Den Akker, H. O., Hermens, H., Herrera, L. J., Pomares, H., Rojas, I., . . . Banos, O. (2017). Ontological modeling of motivational messages for physical activity coaching. *Paper presented at the ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 355-364. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3154862.3154926>
- Villarreal, O. y Landeta, J. (2010). Case study as a methodology of scientific research in business economics and management. An application of the internationalisation. [El estudio de casos como metodología de investigación científica en dirección y economía de la empresa. Una aplicación a la internacionalización]. *Investigaciones Europeas de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa*, 16(3), 31-52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1135-2523\(12\)60033-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1135-2523(12)60033-1)
- Visser, M. (2012). Learning in executive coaching relationships: A behavioral systems perspective. En Haan, E. y Sills, C. (2012) (Eds.), *Coaching relations*. Reino Unido: Libri Publishing.
- Voltolini, R. (2011). *Conversas com líderes sustentáveis: O que aprender com quem fez ou está fazendo a mudança para a sustentabilidade*. São Paulo: Editora Senac São Paulo.
- Wang, Y., Hong, A., Li, X., y Gao, J. (2020). Marketing innovations during a global crisis: A study of china firms' response to COVID-19. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 214-220. <https://doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.029>

- Wardman, J. K. (2020). Recalibrating pandemic risk leadership: Thirteen crisis ready strategies for COVID-19. *Journal of Risk Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2020.1842989>
- Watkins, M. (2003). *The first 90 days: Critical success strategies for new leaders at all levels*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Wasylshyn, K.M. (2003). Executive Coaching. An Outcome Study. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 55(2), 94-106. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1061-4087.55.2.94>
- Wasylshyn, K.M., Gronsky, B. y Haas, J.W. (2006). Tigers, stripes, and behavior change: Survey results of a commissioned coaching program. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 58(2), 65-81. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1065-9293.58.2.65>
- Whitmore, J. (2012). *Coaching: el método para mejorar el rendimiento de las personas*. Buenos Aires: Paidós.
- Whitmore, J. (2020). *Coaching para performance: os princípios e as práticas de coaching e liderança* (5^aed.). (Maya Reyes Trad.). Rio de Janeiro: Qualitymark.
- Wiginton, J. G., III, y Cartwright, P. A. (2020). Evidence on the impacts of business coaching. *Journal of Management Development*, 39(2), 163-180. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-09-2018-0266>
- Wilson, S. (2020). Pandemic leadership: Lessons from new Zealand's approach to COVID-19. *Leadership*, 16(3), 279-293. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1742715020929151>
- Worldwide Association of Business Coaches. (2007). *Business coaching definition*. Recuperado de <http://www.wabccoaches.com/>
- Xu, X., Payne, S. C., Horner, M. T., y Alexander, A. L. (2016). Individual difference predictors of perceived organizational change fairness. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 31(2), 420-433. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMP-02-2014-0061>
- Yin, R. K. (2010). Estudo de caso: Planejamento e métodos (4^aed). Porto Alegre: Bookman.
- Zeus, P. y Skiffington, S. (2002). *The Coaching at Work Toolkit: A Complete Guide to Techniques and Practices*. Sydney, London: McGraw-Hill.
- Zeus, P. y Skiffington, S. (2005). *The complete guide to coaching at work*. Australia: McGraw-Hill.
- Zhou, K. Z., Tse, D. K., y Li, J. J. (2006). Organizational changes in emerging economies: Drivers and consequences. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(2), 248–263. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400186>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2001). Models of self-regulated learning and academic achievement. En B. J. Zimmerman y D. H. Schunk (Eds). *Self-regulated leaning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice* (2.ed.) (pp. 1-38). New York, NY: Routledge

Zimmerman, B.J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(2), 64-70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2